# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS <br> AGENDA - REGULAR MEETING 

Monday June 25th, 2012 - 7:00 p.m. Location in Council Chambers

ITEM
SUBJECT MATTER

1. CALL TO ORDER

7:00 p.m. Call to Order

## RECOMMENDATION

2. ANNUAL REPORT
a) Corporate Officer's Report -
Annual Report Annual Report
3. RECESS TO PRIMARY COMMITTEE MEETING
4. REGULAR MEETING AGENDA

June $25^{\text {th }}, 2012$ Agenda
2011 Annual Report, consideration of report and submissions and questions from the public

5 MINUTES

- June $11^{\text {th }}, 2012$
- June $11^{\text {th }}, 2012$

6. REGISTERED PETITIONS AND DELEGATIONS

None
7. UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

None
8. REPORTS, QUESTIONS AND INQUIRIES FROM MEMBERS OF COUNCIL (VERBAL)

Members of Council may ask questions, seek clarification and report on issues

Special Meeting Minutes
Regular Meeting Minutes

Issues seeking information on city operations be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer prior to the meeting.

Receive the Report
.
a) Corporate Officer's Report

The City's Representative to the
Regional District of Kootenay Boundary will report to Council on actions of the RDKB.

Council receives the 2011 Annual Report, and considers questions and submissions from the pubic.

Recess meeting into Primary Committee Meeting. Reconvene Regular Meeting at conclusion of

Primary Committee Meeting

Adopt Agenda

Adopt Minutes
Adopt Minutes
9. REPORT - REGIONAL DISTRICT OF KOOTENAY BOUNDARY:

Call Meeting to Order at 7:00 p.m.

## 10. RECOMMENDATIONS FROM STAFF

## FOR DECISIONS:

a) Corporate Officer's Report Volunteer Appreciation Evening for 2012
b) Chief Administrative Officer's Report - Strategic Plan Goal Action
11. REQUESTS ARISING FROM

CORRESPONDENCE:
None
12. INFORMATION ITEMS:

- Summary of Information Items


## 13. BYLAWS

a) Chief Administrative Officer's Report-Bylaw 1934-Interest Payable for Late Comer Agreements
b) Chief Financial Officer's Report - Bylaw 1938-Water Regulations and Rates
c) Chief Financial Officer's Report - Bylaw 1939-Sewer Regulations and Rates

## 14. LATE ITEMS

None
15. QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA
16. ADJOURNMENT

This year's Volunteer Appreciation Evening is scheduled for Thursday, October $11^{\text {th }}, 2012$ at Gallery 2.

Report on Action - Staff to determine the usability of City Land through an Inventory by June, 2012

Information items 12(a) to 12(k)

A bylaw to establish the interest payable for Late-Comer agreements in accordance with Section 939 of the Local Government Act

A bylaw to amend the City of Grand Forks Water Regulations and Rates Bylaw No. 1501

A bylaw to amend the City of Grand Forks Sewer Regulations and Rates Bylaw No. 1500

Council provides notice to the public - calling for nominations from the public for exceptional volunteer service in the City of Grand Forks in accordance with Council Policy \#204.

Council receive the Chief Administrative Officer's report, dated June 19, 2012, regarding the action requiring "Staff to determine the usability of City Lands through an inventory by June, 2012", as outlined in the Corporate Strategic Plan 20122014, and refer it to the Economic Development Advisory Committee for information.

Council to consider giving first , second and third readings to Bylaw No. 1934, "Interest Payable for LateComer Agreements Bylaw No. 1934, 2012.

Council to consider giving first, second and third reading to Bylaw 1938.

Council to consider giving first, second and third readings to Bylaw 1939.

# THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION 

| DATE | $:$ | June 19, 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TOPIC | $:$ | Annual Report 2011 |
| PROPOSAL | $:$ | Receive Annual Report and Consider Questions \& Submissions from <br> the Public |
| PROPOSED BY | $:$ | City Staff |

## SUMMARY:

Section 98 of the Community Charter sets out the requirements for the Annual Municipal Report. Before June $30^{\text {th }}$ in each year, a Council must: a) Prepare an Annual Report, b) Make the report available for public inspection, and c) Have the report available for public inspection at the Annual Meeting. Section 99 of the Community Charter requires that Council must annually consider, at a Council meeting or other public meeting, a) the Annual Report prepared under Section 98 of the Community Charter, and $b$ ) submissions and questions from the public.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:
Option 1: Council receive the Annual Report for 2011 and consider any submissions and questions from the public.

OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:
As this is a statutory requirement of the Community Charter there are no options other than those provided in the Community Charter.

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

The main benefit of the Annual Report is that it gives the public access to a great deal of information on the municipality from the prior year of 2011, as well as important information from the Strategic Plan on Council's objectives and priorities for the Year 2012. There are no disadvantages or negative impacts from this approach.

COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:
All items in the Audited Financial Statements have cost, budget and revenue generation impacts. Most of the items in the Strategic Plan have cost, budget and revenue generation impacts.

STRATEGIC PLAN IMPLICATIONS: Copies of the Strategic Plan are included with the Annual Report to give the public as much information as possible on Council's direction for the years 2008 through 2011.

LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:
Sections 98 and 99 of the Community Charter contain the requirements for the Annual Report.


Department Head or Corporate Officer or Chief Administrative Officer



## Annual Report for the CITY OF GRAND FORKS



As presented to City Council on June 25, 2012
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# AN OPEN LETTER TO THE CITIZENS OF GRAND FORKS FROM MAYOR BRIAN TAYLOR AND COUNCIL 

June 2012

On behalf of the Council of 2011-2014, I am pleased to report on the municipal services and operations for the year ending December 31 ${ }^{\text {st }}$ 2011. The 2011 Annual Report gives a synopsis in a financial format including statistics and taxation information on a comparative basis.

In early 2009, Council undertook a Strategic Planning exercise to determine their focus areas for the 2008 -2011 Council term. These focus areas included beautification, communications, infrastructure, quality of life, and economic development.

Beautification projects in 2011 were focused on entrance signs. A sign committee was formed in 2011 with volunteers from the public and a draft concept was presented to Council just prior to the election in 2011. During the 2008-2011 term, numerous beautification projects were completed including the improvements to the Highway 3 gateways to the Trans Canada Trail which were completed in 2010. The Granby River Walk was completed in 2009 using Job Opportunities funding. The RInC project, including paved pathways from Central Avenue, over the Black Train Bridge through South Ruckle was also formally opened in May, 2011. Further RInC project development including extension from $12^{\text {th }}$ Street to Perley School, the Hutton School highway crossing, bollards, gates, trees, garbage cans, and benches were all completed in 2011. The Gallery 2 courtyard upgrading saw extensive restoration during this term as flower gardens and irrigation were installed and the east side of the building was restored as the former prisoner stairwell was removed due to its unsafe condition. Rejuvenation of Barbara Ann Park continued with the installation of Bollards and the completion of the trail to Barbara Ann Park.

In an effort to continually improve our communication with Grand Forks citizens, the City's newsletter was re-developed and circulated to all residents of the Grand Forks valley in February, April, June, September and November in 2011, via the Gazette "Advertiser". Council made numerous efforts to simplify the newsletter, making it a more user friendly document. In partnership with the Grand Forks Gazette, the newsletter content is produced by City Staff while the layout of the publication is done by the Gazette Staff and circulated with their regular published "Advertiser". This partnership has saved the City money and Staff time. In 2011, the City launched our new website. This website continues to be modified on an ongoing basis as it was custom built and allows more interaction of the public including community notices, surveys, and blogs.

During this past term, comprehensive infrastructure capital planning was a major focus of Council. Council undertook Asset Management Planning in 2011, which included going to referendum at the Local General Election in November of 2011, for the authority to borrow funds to complete some of these very necessary infrastructure upgrades. Adopted in 2011, was Council's Asset Management Investment Plan, the Asset Management Financial Plan, and Council's Asset Management Policy. In November, at the Local Government Election, the electors were requested to approve two borrowing bylaws which will allow for the upgrading of the City's water system to provide fire flows in the west end, and which will allow for the borrowing of $\$ 4.2$ million over the next five years to complete multi-utility projects. Both of these bylaws were approved by the public. Infrastructure will continue to be a major focus for the 20122014 term.

In an effort to maintain the quality of life we enjoy in Grand Forks, during the 2008-2011 term, Council appointed a Public Safety Committee to work on safety issues for the community. The Committee worked hard in 2010 to identify the issue of homelessness and the immediate need for emergency shelter. The Committee was successful in 2010 in putting together a plan to open an extreme weather bed facility intended to house individuals during cold or wet weather conditions. Working with various provincial government agencies, and local organizations, the Committee's work saw the extreme weather bed facility operate on days where temperatures are low and during wet conditions in 2011. This initiative of Council resulted in the formation of the Boundary Emergency and Transitional Housing Society. While City of Grand Forks
2011 ANNUAL REPORT
June, 2012
the Society continues to struggle to adequately fund the facility, volunteers have come forward to keep the facility operating. Council will continue to work with the Society to find ways to keep the facility going.

The Economic Development Task Force worked on various components of an Economic Development Plan during the first two years of the 2008-2011 term. Subsequent to presentations made by the Airport Team, the Industrial Team, the Solar Energy Team, the Arts and Culture Team, the Business Team, and the Agriculture Team, in 2009, Council adopted numerous recommendations for a Grand Forks Economic Development Plan, which was developed in 2011. The Community Transition Plan, funded by the Province of British Columbia was completed in July 2009. A Community First Agreement, was negotiated between the Province of British Columbia and the City of Grand Forks in late 2009 and finalized in 2010. The Community First Agreement enshrined a relationship between the Province and the City which intended that the Province would assist the City in following through with the recommendations outlined in the Transition Plan. The final report to Council and the Province was presented in May 2011.

Roxul Inc. and Interfor, the two major industrial employers, continued to operate on a more regular basis. Citizens continually remind us, through numerous public meetings and by one on one contacts with elected officials, to address priorities in municipal services and to keep taxation reasonable. Council strives to focus on the priorities. In 2010, major employers, mainly Interfor, Pacific Abrasives \& Supply Inc., and International Reload advised Council that the threat of Kettle Valley International Railroad Abandonment would severely impact their operations and negatively impact Grand Forks Jobs. The City has worked with these stakeholders throughout 2011 to support their negotiations with OmniTRAX and BNSF to continue the rail service at some minimal level. To date the service continues.

Council, along with experienced staff have worked with the community to achieve the direction we have set through our strategic plan for the three year term 2009-2011.

Local Government Elections took place in November 2011, and a new term 2011-2014 began with four new Members of Council. In January 2012, we developed a new Corporate Strategic Plan for the term 2011 - 2014. This plan outlines Council's six strategic goals for the term, being Regional Services Integration, Succession Planning, Economic Stimulation, Infrastructure Replacement, Deer Management, and Sustainability Action. Council has already begun working on these goals. A copy of the Corporate Strategic Plan for 2011-2014 is included with this Annual Report.

The new Council will face challenges this term in replacing several staff members who are retiring from the employ of the City during the next three years. This trend will continue throughout the next 5-7 years.

We appreciate the support of the Community.


City of Grand Forks
2011 ANNUAL REPORT
June, 2012
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## Responsibility For Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the accounting princlples and policies disclosed in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements and include amounts that are based on estimates and judgments. Management believes that the financial statements fairly present The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks consolidated financial position and results of operations. The integrity of the information presented in the financial statements, including estimates and judgments relating to matters not concluded by fiscal year-end, is the responsibility of management. The financial statements have been approved by Council.

Management has established and maintained appropriate systems of internal control including policies and procedures, which are designed to provide reasonable assurance that The Corporation of the City of Grand Fork's assets are safeguarded and that reliable financial records are maintained to form a proper basis for preparation of the financial statements.

The independent external auditors, Berg Naqvi Lehmann, Chartered Accountants, have been appointed by Council to express an opinion as to whether the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks financial position, results of operations, and changes in financial position in conformity with the accounting principles and policies disclosed in note 1 to the consolidated financial statements. The report of Berg Naqvi Lehmann, Chartered Accountants, follows and outlines the scope of their examination and their opinion on the consolidated financial statements.


Cecile Atnett
Chief Financial Officer
"A Commitment To Excellence"

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Mayor and Council<br>The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks

We have audited the consolidated statement of financial position of The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks as at December 31, 2011 and the consolidated statement of operations, consolidated statement of changes in net financial assets and consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and in accordance with Section 167 of the Community Charter of BC, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply with ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT (continued) 

To the Mayor and Council
The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks

## Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks as at December 31, 2011, and its financial performance and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.


May 15, 2012

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION




Chief Financial Officer

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

| REVENUE | 2011 Budget | $2011$ Actual | $2010$ Actual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Sales of goods and services (note 13) | \$2,800,700 | \$ 2,619,503 | \$ 2,464,571 |
| Other revenues | $5,574,000$ $\mathbf{4 8 1 , 0 0 0}$ | 5,855,689 | 5,344,020 |
| Senior government grants - operating | 481,000 540,300 | 510,861 | 492,570 |
| Senior government grants - capital | 440,000 | 280,837 | +569,823 |
| Other restricted income - operations library | 440,000 | 280,837 | $2,820,472$ 6,990 |
| Interest income | 10,000 | 97,748 | 51,892 |
| Investment income on sinking fund |  | 6,157 | 5,963 |
| Transfer to Library Maintenance | 9,846,000 | 10,109,564 | 11,756,301 |
|  | - | ( 2,473) |  |
|  | 9,846,000 | 10,107,091 | 11,756,301 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |
| General government | 1,044,800 | 928,814 | 895,095 |
| Public real estate | 244,600 | 348,006 | 284,579 |
| Protective service | 420,700 | 428,665 | 402,569 |
| Transportation services | 1,240,650 | 1,069,466 | 903,835 |
| Environmental health services | 169,400 | 165,149 | 157,868 |
| Public health and welfare | 96,300 | 105,494 | 154,771 |
| Environmental development | 170,700 | 256,489 | 196,554 |
| Recreation and cultural services | 801,800 | 631,273 | 674,312 |
| Utility services |  |  | 674,312 |
| Electrical | 3,471,600 | 3,246,720 | 2,850,198 |
| Water | 965,200 | 659,989 | 671,228 |
| Sewer | 799,800 | 648,198 | 595,075 |
| Debt interest | 271,800 | 37,432 | 35,133 |
| Amortization | 1,500,000 | 1,258,734 | 1,433,537 |
| Gain on disposition | - | ( 305,994) | $(\quad 55,573)$ |
|  | 11,197,350 | 9,478,435 | 9,199,181 |
| ANNUAL SURPLUS (DEFICIT) | ( $1,351,350)$ | 628,656 | 2,557,120 |
| ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | - |  |  |
|  | - | 29,514,569 | 26,957,449 |
| ACCUMULATED SURPLUS (DEFICIT), END OF YEAR | \$(1,351,350) | \$30,143,225 | \$29,514,569 |
| RECONCILIATION TO BUDGET (note 17) |  |  |  |

Chief Finzatial Officer

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET FINANCIAL ASSETS For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANNUAL SURPLUS | \$ 628,656 | \$ 2,557,120 |
| Acquisition of tangible capital assets | ( 998,475) | $(4,797,666)$ |
| Amortization of tangible capital assets | 1,258,734 | 1,433,537 |
| Gain on sale of capital assets | ( 305,994) | ( 55,573) |
| Proceeds from sale of capital assets | 322,743 | 295,248 |
| Net change in propaid expenses and supplies inventory | $(13,284)$ | 29,564 |
| (INCREASE) DECREASE IN NET DEBT | 892,380 | ( 537,770) |
| NET FINANCIAL ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 2,217,240 | 2,755,010 |
| NET FINANCIAL ASSETS, END OF YEAR | \$ 3,109,620 | \$ 2,217,240 |

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS <br> For the Year Ended December 31, 2011

| OPERATING TRANSACTIONS |  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual surplus |  | 628,656 | \$ 2,557,120 |
| Non cash items |  | 620,656 | \$ 2,557,120 |
| Amortization |  | 1,258,734 | 1,433,537 |
| Gain on sale of tangible capital assets |  | (305,994) | ( $\begin{array}{r}1,45,573)\end{array}$ |
| Change in prepaid expenses and supplies inventory |  | 13,284) | (29,564 |
| Change in accounts receivable |  | 655,390 | ( 101,563) |
| Change in MFA debt deposits |  | 314) | ( 974) |
| Change in loan receivable |  | 19,899 | ( 16,505) |
| Change in accounts payable and accrued liabilities |  | 16,501 | ( 225,786) |
| Change in due to trust |  | 192,300) | 50,405 |
| Change in deferred revenues |  | 1,677) | ( 3,934) |
| Change in temporary loan |  | 208,871 | $262,787$ |
| Change in development cost charges |  |  | 1,537,426 |
| Change in restricted revenues |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42,826 \\ & 99,165) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,334 \\ (1,025,058) \end{array}$ |
| Cash Provided by Operating Transactions |  | 2,218,143 | 4,458,780 |
| CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS |  |  |  |
| Purchase of tanglible capital assets | $($ | 998,475) |  |
| Proceeds from sale of tangible capital assets |  | 322,743 | $295,248$ |
| Cash Applied to Capital Transactions | 1 | 675,732) | (4,502,418) |
| INVESTING TRANSACTIONS Increase in investments |  |  |  |
| FINANCING TRANSACTIONS |  |  |  |
| Debt issued |  |  |  |
| Repayment of long-term debt |  | $\begin{gathered} 46,556 \\ \mathbf{4 9 2 , 8 0 6} \end{gathered}$ | 266,775 $(145,914$ |
| Actuarial adjustment | ( | $\begin{array}{r} \text { (92,806) } \\ 15,060) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\binom{145,914)}{(5,963)}$ |
| Cash Received from Financing Transactions | 1 | 162,210) | 114,898 |
| INCREASE IN CASH |  | 380,201 | 71,160 |
| CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR |  | 950,590 | 5,889,430 |
| CASH, END OF YEAR | 7 | 340,791 | \$ 5,960,590 |



## 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies of The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks:

## Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements of the City, which are the representation of management, are prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles for govemments as prescribed by the Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) of the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants. The Consolidate Financial Statements reflect the combined results and activities of the reporting entity which is comprised of the Operating, Capital and Reserve funds. Inter-fund transactions have been eliminated on consolidation.
i) Operating Funds: These funds include the General, Electrical, Water and Sewer operations of the City. They are used to record the operating costs of the services provided by the City.
ii) Capital Funds: These funds include the General, Electrical, Water and Sewer Capital funds. They are used to record the acquisition and disposal of property and equipment and their related financing.
iii) Reserve Funds: Under the Community Charter, City Council may, by bylaw, establish reserve funds for specified purposes. Money in a reserve fund, and interest earned thereon, must be expended by bylaw only for the purpose for which the fund was established. If the amount in a reserve fund is greater than required, City Council may, by bylaw, transfer all or part of the balance to another reserve fund.

## Revenue Recognition

The City's records revenue on the accrual basis and includes revenue in the period in which the transactions or events that give rise to the revenues occur. Taxation and utility fee revenues are recognized in the fiscal period to which they relate. Sale of services and user fees are recognized when the service or product is rendered by the City. Grant revenues are recorded when the funding becomes receivable. Unearned revenue in the current period is recorded as deferred revenue.

## Deferred Charges

The City's records payments for operating expenditures pertaining to future years as deferred charges. They are recorded as expenditures when the economic benefit is realized.

## 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Tangible Capital Assets
Tangible capital assets are recorded at cost less accumulated amortization and are classified according to their functional use. Amortization is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estlmated useful life commencing in the year the asset is put into service. Donated tangible capital assets are reported at the fair value at the time of donation. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

| Building | $40-75$ years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Building improvements | $10-40$ years |
| Fixtures, furniture, equipment and vehicles | $5-20$ years |
| IT infrastructure | $4-10$ years |
| Parks infrastructure | $15-50$ years |
| Paving and roads | $10-100$ years |
| Sewer infrastructure | $10-100$ years |
| Water infrastructure | $10-100$ years |

## Restricted Revenues and Deferred Revenues

Receipts which are restricted by the legislation of senior governments or by agreements with external parties are deferred and reported as restricted revenues. When qualifying expenditures are incurred restricted revenues are brought into revenue at equal amounts.

Revenues received in advance of expenditures which will be incurred in a later period are deferred until they are eamed by being matched against those expenditures.

Financial Instruments
The City's financial instruments consist of cash, temporary investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, capital lease obligations and long-term debt. It is management's opinion that the City is not exposed to significant interest, currency, or credit risks arising from these financial instruments. The fair values of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values.

## Budget Figures

The budget figures are based on the adopted Five-Year Financial Plan for the year 2011.
Use of Estimates
The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reporied amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Significant areas requiring estimates include the useful lives of tangible capital assets for amortization, the determination of payroll and employee future benefit accruals and the provision for contingencies. Actual results could differ from management's best estimates as additional information becomes available in the future.

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) <br> As At December 31, 2011

2. CASH

| Restricted cash | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statutory Reserves |  |  |
| Deferred Gas Tax Grant funds | + 2,871,602 | \$ 2,904,598 |
| Deferred development cost funds | $\begin{array}{r} 1,011,546 \\ 375,857 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 816,128 \\ & 333,999 \end{aligned}$ |
| Unrestricted cash and investments | 4,259,005 | 4,054,725 |
|  | 3,081,786 | 1,905,865 |
|  | \$ 7,340,791 | \$ 5,960,590 |

## 3. DEBT RESERVE FUNDS - RAUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY

The Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia provides capital financing for regional districts and their member municipalities. The Authority is required to establish a Debt Reserve Fund. Each regional district through its member municipalities who share in the proceeds of the debt issue is required to pay into the Debt Reserve Fund certain amounts set out in the debt agreements. The interest earned by the Fund less administrative expenses becomes an obligation to the regional districts. If at any time a regional district has insufficient funds to meet payments on its obligations, it must then use the monies to lts credit within the Debt Reserve Fund. Upon maturity of a debt issue, the unused portion of the Debt Reserve Fund established for that issue will be discharged to the Municipality. The proceeds from these discharges will be credited to income in the year they are received. As at December 31, 2011 the total of the Debt Reserve Fund was:

## Sewer fund

 General fund

Only the cash portion of MFA deposits is included as a financial asset.

## 4. LOAN RECEIVABLE

The City has entered into a long term capital lease agreement with the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary in consideration of the sale of a building and lands. The term of the agreement is 12 years commencing August 1, 2010. Annual blended payments are $\$ 27,000$.

# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATERENTS (Continued) <br> As At December 31, 2011 

## 5. EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS

The City provides a benefit to its unionized employees upon retirement. Those eligible employees who retire from service shall receive an amount equal to one and one half of their accumulated sick leave credits, up to, but not exceeding, 150 days. Employees absent for medical reasons have wages charged against, and deducted from the sick leave accumulated. Upon retirement, any employee having accumulated sick leave is eligible to recelve a cash gratuity payment. The payment amount is a percentage of accumulated sick leave based on years of service. The City has committed to fully funding this future benefit over the years of the employees' current service. As at December 31, 2011, $\$ 488,516$ (2010 - $\$ 450,785$ ) has been accrued by the City to cover this obligation.

Contracts between the City and members of its management team establish a sick leave bank of 1086 days for managers which provides full pay for any management employee from onset of illness until coverage commences under long term disability insurance. As at December 31, 2011, $\$ 331,782$
$(2010-\$ 322,119)$ has been accrued by the City (2010-\$322,119) has been accrued by the City to cover this obligation.

## 6. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS



The requirements for future repayments of principal on existing MFA debt for the next five years are estimated as follows:

|  | General Capital |  | Sewer Capita! |  | Total Capital |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$ | 45,140 | \$ | 6,495 | \$ | 51,635 |
| 2013 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
| 2014 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
| 2015 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
| 2016 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
|  |  | 225,700 | \$ | 32,475 |  | 258,175 |

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) <br> As At December 31, 2011

6. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS (continued)

The requirements for future repayments of principal on existing capital lease obligations for the next five years are estimated as follows:

| 2012 | $\$ 108,970$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2013 | 98,343 |
| 2014 | 89,113 |
| 2015 | 47,673 |
| 2016 | 2,517 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 346,616 |
| Less amount representing interest | 11,855 |
|  | $\$ 334,761$ |

7. TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS


# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) <br> As At December 31, 2011 

## 8. FEDERAL GAS TAX GRANT

Gas Tax funding is provided by the Government of Canada. The use of the funding is established by a funding agreement between the City and the Union of British Columbia Municipalities. Gas Tax funding may be used towards designated public transit, community energy, water, wastewater, solid waste and capacity building projects, as specified in the funding agreements.

|  |  | 2011 | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opening balance | \$ |  |  |  |
| Amount received in the year | \$ | 799,719 | \$ | 581,597 |
| Interest eamed |  | 212,698 |  | 212,717 |
| Less amount spent on eligible projects |  | $\begin{aligned} & 11,237 \\ & 12,108) \end{aligned}$ |  | 5,405 |
| Closing balance of unspent funds | \$ 1,011,546 |  | \$ | 799,719 |

9. OPERATING FUND EQUITY

|  |  | 2011 | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General operating fund | \$ | 550,175 | 494,717 |  |
| Electrical utility operating fund |  | 550,175 |  |  |
| Sewer utility operating fund |  | $\begin{aligned} & 850,312 \\ & 422.2241 \end{aligned}$ |  | $647,553$ $256.278)$ |
| Water utility operating fund |  | $409,600$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 256,278) \\ & 430,315 \end{aligned}$ |
| Operating fund equity at year end |  | 387,863 |  | 316,307 |

10. RESERVE FUND CONTINUITY

|  | Balance Beginning of Year | Purchases |  | Transfors <br> (to) from her Fund |  | Sales | Interest Earned |  | Balance End of Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital reserve | \$ 278,788 | \$ |  | - | \$ | - | \$ 3,724 | \$ |  |
| Equipment replacement | 497,201 | ( 23,250) |  | 59,044 |  | 20,550 | - 3,724 | \$ | 282,512 559,817 |
| Land sale | 402,918 | - |  | ,04 |  | 298,750 | 17,870 |  |  |
| Tax sale land | 59,251 | - |  | - |  |  | 1796 |  | 719,538 60,047 |
| Cash in lieu of parking | 16,447 | - |  | - |  | - | 221 |  | 60,047 16,668 |
| Slag sale | 1,029,734 | - |  | - |  | 269,940 | 17,983 |  | 1,317,657 |
|  | \$ 2,284,339 | \$( 23,250) | \$ | 59,044 | \$ | 589,240 | \$ 46,866 |  | 2,956,239 |

## 11. EQUITY IN TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS

Equity in Tangible Capital Assets (TCA) represents the net book value of total capital assets less longterm obligations assumed to acquire those assets. The change in consolidated equity in tangible
capital assets is as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity in TCA, beginning of year Add: | \$25,913,921 | \$22,904,365 |
| Capital acquisitions |  |  |
| Debt principal repayments | 998,475 |  |
| Actuarial adjustment | 192,806 15,960 | 145,914 5,963 |
| Less: | 15,060 | 5,963 |
| Disposition of Capital Asset at NBV Assets purchased funded by debt | ( 16,749) | ( 239,675 |
| Assets purchased funded by debt Amortization | ( 46,556) | ( 266,775) |
|  | (1,258,734) | (1,433,537) |
| Equity in TCA, end of year | \$25,799,123 | \$25,913,921 |
| Represented by: |  |  |
| General capital fund |  |  |
| Electrical utility capital fund | \$17,76,259 |  |
| Sewer utility capital fund | $\begin{array}{r} 736,642 \\ 4,074,327 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 769,811 \\ 3.967571 \end{array}$ |
| Water utility capital fund | $\begin{aligned} & 4,074,327 \\ & 3,222,895 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,967,571 \\ & 3,347,225 \end{aligned}$ |
| Capital fund equity at year end | \$25,799,123 | \$25,913,921 |

## 12. MUNICIPAL PENSION PLAN

The City and its employees contribute to the Municipal Pension Plan (the plan), a jointly trusteed pension plan. The Board of Trustees, representing plan members and employers, is responsible for overseeing the management of the pension plan, including investment of the assets and administration of benefits. The pension plan is a multi-employer contributory pension plan. Basic pension benefits provided are defined. The plan has about 173,000 active members and approximately 63,000 retired members. Active members include approximately 35,000 contributors from local governments.

Every three years an actuarial valuation is performed to assess the financial position of the plan and the adequacy of plan funding. The most recent valuation as at December 31, 2009 indicated an unfunded liability of $\$ 1,024$ million for basic pension benefits. The next valuation was as at December 31, 2012 with results available in 2013. Defined contribution plan accounting is applied to the plan as the plan exposes the participating entities to actuarial risks associated with the current and former employees of other entities, with the result that there is no consistent and reliable basis for allocating the obligation, plan assets and cost to individual entities participating in the plan.

The City's employer contributions to the plan in the fiscal year were $\$ 243,909$ (2010-\$216,276).
13. SALE OF SERVICES

|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garbage collection and RDKB landilill contract | \$ | 168,227 | \$ | 164,625 |
| Cemetery |  | 167,903 | \$ | 164,025 20,914 |
| Transportation custom work orders |  | 19,837 |  | 24,555 |
| Environmental development |  | 39,764 |  | 66,404 |
| Campground |  | 11,654 |  | 2,550 |
| Sundry |  | 29,737 2224 |  | 31,650 |
| Slag |  | 2,224 |  | 2,900 |
| Electrical utility user and connection fees |  | 269,940 |  | 261,193 |
| Sewer utility user and connection fees |  | 3,834,378 |  | 3,386,751 |
| Waterworks utility user and connection fees |  | $\begin{aligned} & 719,424 \\ & 722,601 \end{aligned}$ |  | $685,626$ $696.852$ |
|  |  | 3,855,689 |  | 5,344,020 |

14. EXPENDITURES BY OBJECT

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goods and services | \$ 5,211,618 | \$ 4,724,866 |
| Interest and finance charges | 37,432 | 35,133 |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | 3,276,645 | 3,061,218 |
| Amorization | 1,258,734 | 1,433,537 |
| Gain on disposal | ( 305,994) | ( 55,573) |
|  | \$9,478,435 | \$ 9,199,181 |

## 15. COLLECTION FOR OTHER GOVERNMENTS

The City collected and remitted the following taxes on behalf of other Governments. These are not included in the City's financial statements.

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Provincial Government - School Taxes |  |  |
| Provincial Government - Police Tax Levy | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 1 , 5 4 7}$ | $\$ 1,522,908$ |
| British Columbia Assessment Authority | 189,760 | 175,387 |
| Regional Hospital Districts | 46,666 | 47,182 |
| Municipal Finance Authority | 170,542 | 115,655 |
| Regional District of Kootenay Boundary | $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ | 120 |
|  | $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 1 , 8 5 6}$ | $1,126,282$ |

16. TRUST FUNDS

Funds held in trust and administered by the City are as follows:

| Assets 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash <br> Due from (to) the General Operating Fund | \$ |  |  |  |
|  | * | $\begin{array}{r} 140,682 \\ 405) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132,540 \\ 1,272 \end{array}$ |
|  | \$ | 140,277 | \$ | 133,812 |
| Trust Fund Balances |  |  |  |  |
| Employee assistance program | \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 130,595 \\ 9,682 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125,188 \\ 8,624 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
|  | \$ | 140,277 | \$ | 133,812 |

Trust funds are not included in the City's financial statements.

## 17. RECONCILIATION TO BUDGET

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Annual surplus | $\$(1,351,350)$ | $\$ 2,323,200$ |
| Debt principal repayments | $(251,900)$ | $(250,700)$ |
| Purchase of tangible capital assets other than by debt | $(2,653,500)$ | $(5,625,000)$ |
| Budgeted transfer from (to) reserve/accumulated surplus | $1,286,750$ | 490,000 |
| Non-cash item - amortization | $\mathbf{1 , 5 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $1,312,500$ |
| Debt proceeds | $\mathbf{1 , 4 7 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $1,750,000$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Contingent Liabilities
The City, as a member of the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary, is jointly and severally liable for the future capital liabilities of the Regional District.

The loan agreements with the Municipal Finance Authority provide that if the Authority does not have sufficient funds to meet its payments and obligations, it shall make payments from the debt reserve fund which is in turn established by a similar debt reserve fund of the City and all other borrowing participants. If the debt reserve fund is deficient, the Authority's obligations become a liability of the Regional District, and may become a liability of the participating municipalites.
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## 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES (continued)

Reciprocal Insurance Exchange Agreement
The City is a subscribed member of the Municipal Insurance Association of British Columbia (The "Exchange") as provided by Section 3.02 of the Insurance Act of the Province of British Columbia. The main purpose of the Exchange is to pool the risks of liability so as to lessen the impact upon any subscriber. Under the Reciprocal Insurance Exchange Agreement, the City is assessed a premium and specific deductible for its claims based on population. The obligation of the City with respect to the Exchange and/or contracts and obligations entered into by the Exchange on behalf of its subscribers in connection with the Exchange are in every case several, not joint and several. The City irrevocably and unconditionally undertakes and agrees to indemnify and save harmless the other subscribers against liability losses and costs which the other subscriber may suffer.

## Contractual Obligations

The City has contracted with the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary to provide Building and Plumbing Inspection services. Under the contract the City is obliged to pay the Regional District a requisition based on various components calculated annually and the Regional District is obliged to remit all fees collected on behalf of the City.

Property Held for Redevelopment
The City holds real property which it intends to offer for sale in the future under terms compatible with its development plans.

## 19. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation.
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$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Total } \\
2010 \\
\$ 2,464,571 \\
4,769,229 \\
1,132,206 \\
569,823 \\
2,820,472 \\
\hline 11,756,301 \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

## Schedule of 2011 Capital Projects

# The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks <br> Schadule of Capital Projects Undertaken <br> For the year ended December 31, 2011 

| OFFICE AND TECHNICAL EQUIPMENT |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PUBLIC WORKS EQUIPMENT | \$ | 86,141 |
| PUBLIC WORKS STORAGE SHED ROOF AND SAND SHED |  | 130,408 |
| JAMES DONALDSON GRANDSTAND ROOF |  | 87,990 |
| FIBRE OPTICS PROJECT - IN PROGRESS |  | 21,374 |
| 25TH ST SIDEWALK / HIGHWAY 3 RAMP |  | 175,716 |
| 13ST EMERGENCY PIPE REPLACEMENT |  | 107,467 |
| CARSON ROAD WEATHER STATION |  | 130,750 |
| CITY PARK RUGBY FIELD |  | 10,702 |
| SCADA SYSTEM |  | 16,505 |
| CAMPGROUND SOLAR HOT WATER SYSTEM |  | 58,954 |
| WESTSIDE FIREFLOW PROTECTION |  | 12,108 |
| RINC / LOCAL MOTION PROJECT - MULTI-USE PATHWAYS |  | 29,182 |
| CITY PARK LIFT STATION \& HEAT EXCHANGE TRANSFER SYSTEM - IN PROGRESS |  | $\begin{aligned} & 79,827 \\ & 51,349 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \$ | 998,473 |
| FUNDED BY: |  |  |
| MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY - CAPITAL LEASES |  |  |
| APPROPRIATIONS FROM EQUIPMENT RESERVE | \$ | 21,200 |
| GRANTS FROM OTHER GOVERNMENTS |  | 58,486 |
| SURPLUS - SOME TIMING RE: BORROWING |  | $\begin{aligned} & 277,638 \\ & 641,149 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | \$ | 998,473 |

## Statement of Property Tax Exemptions

In accordance with Section 224 of the Community Charter, the following properties in the City of Grand Forks were provided permissive property tax exemptions by Council in 2011.

| Legal Description | Civic Address | Organization | Value of Permissive <br> Exemption |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Lot 1, D.L. 380, S.D.Y.D., <br> Plan KAP54909 | $7230-21^{\text {st }}$ Street | Grand Forks Curling <br> Club | $\$ 7,011.78$ |
| Lot 5, Block 10, D.L. <br> 108, S.D.Y.D., Plan 23 | 366 Market <br> Avenue | Grand Forks Masonic <br> Building | $\$ 688.03$ |
| Lot A, D.L. 108, S.D.Y.D., <br> Plan 38294 | $97872^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue | Sunshine Valley Little <br> Peoples Centre | $\$ 1,058.24$ |
| Lot 8, Block 25,Plan 23, <br> DL 108 S.D.Y.D. | 68672 Ave | Slavonic Senior <br> Citizen's Centre | $\$ 813.29$ |
| Lot A, DL 108 S.D.Y.D. <br> Plan 6691 | $72392^{\text {nd }}$ St | Hospital Auxiliary <br> Thrift Shop | $\$ 1,781.71$ |
| Lots 23, 24, 25, 26 Block <br> 29, DL 108 S.D.Y.D. 121 | $73536^{\text {th }}$ St | Royal Canadian <br> Legion | $\$ 2,991.74$ |
| Lots 10 and 17 -20, <br> Block 18, D.L. 108, <br> S.D.Y.D., Plan 86 | $56571^{\text {st }}$ Avenue | Grand Forks Senior <br> Citizens, Branch No. <br> 68 | $\$ 2,533.30$ |
| Lot 1, D.L. 585, S.D.Y.D., <br> Plan 27903 | $7850-2^{\text {nd }}$ Street | Grand Forks Wildlife <br> Association Hall | $\$ 2,712.24$ |
| Parcel B, B Block 45, D.L. <br> 108, Plan 72 | $876-72^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue | Abbeyfield <br> Centennial House <br> Society | $\$ 2,026.55$ |
| Parcel F and Parcel G. <br> Block 35, District Lot 108, <br> Plan 72 | Parcels F \& G, <br> $72^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue | Habitat for Humanity <br> Boundary Society | No 2011 Assessments |
| Lot A, Plan 29781, District <br> Lot 108, Land District 54 | $7130-9^{\text {th } \text { Street }}$ | Boundary Lodge | $\$ 3,770.70$ |

In accordance with Section 226 of the Community Charter, the following properties in the City of Grand Forks were provided property tax exemptions in 2011.

| Legal <br> Description | Civic Address | Organization | Expiry Date | Value of Tax <br> Exemption |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot 1 Plan <br> KAP91480 | $570-68$ Ave | Interfor | 2015 | $\$ 125,860.43$ |
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# Declaration and Identification of Disqualified Council Members Made Under Section 111 of the Community Charter 

None



CITY OF GRAND FORKS

## STRATEGIC ACTION PLANNING WORKSHOP

January $\mathbf{2 9}{ }^{\text {th }} \& \mathbf{3 0}^{\text {th }}, 2009$

## PARTICIPANTS

| COUNCIL \& STAFF/ADMINISTRATION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PARTICIPANTS |  |  |  |
| Chris Moslin | Cher Wyers | Gene Robert | Brian Taylor |
| Michael Wirischagin | Joy Davies | Christine Thompson | Victor Kumar |
| Lynne Burch | Blair Macgregor | Dale Heriot | Wayne Kopan |
| Sasha Bird | Mike Noseworthy | Ross Idler | Dave Reid |
| Dean Chapman | Staff Sergeant Jim | Gary Onions | Alex Love |
|  | Harrison |  |  |

## Facilitated By

DAVE FAIRBAIRN


## TRAINING CORP.

City of Grand Forks
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## BACKGROUND

The City of Grand Forks engaged in a 2 day Strategic Action Planning Worksop to establish their direction over the next 3 years. This was especially appropriate in 2009 because a new Mayor and several new Councillors had been elected. The elected officials were joined by the City Administrator, several Departmental Managers, and other key players working for the City. Apart from the obvious benefits to the action planning decisions that were made, the mix of participants allowed for useful teambuilding between members of a new Council and between Council members and city staff. In the past, City employees have made valuable contributions to the planning process and the connection Council members made with them at the workshop have been extremely useful.

The Action Planning format selected has been successful in the past, including with previous Grand Forks Councils, It moves the group from discussion of general but important issues into highly specific goal setting, and breaks down to several categories .

## WORKSHOP PROCESS

The workshop process chosen by the facilitator was one that had been successfully used over several years with many Councils, Boards, and organizations in 3 or 4 different provinces. It is a functional and uncomplicated format that does not get in the road of group thinking or group decision-making. The process is systematic and clear-cut.

- VISION \& VALUES
- GENERATING POTENTIAL AREAS OF FOCUS
- ISOLATING PRIMARY AREAS OF FOCUS
- GOAL SETTING FOR EACH PRIMARY FOCUS AREA
- DEVELOPING STRATEGY AND TACTICS FOR EACH GOAL SET
- ACTION
- EVALUATION


## VISION AND VALUES

The discussion of vision and values was important for this group because of its newly formed nature, a clear statement of values and a delineation of vision are the key components of a mission statement. Limits of time prohibited the writing of a mission statement and there wasn't a clear need for one here anyway. What was important was a discussion around vision and values to see if everybody was on the same page about what this council stood for and where it wanted to go in the future. To facilitate discussion several questions were prepared in advance for each of the vision and values section of the exercise. These questions are reproduced below along with the key points that three groups working simultaneously developed. Because 3 groups were working at the same time some of the key points were duplicated and repeated. This demonstrated that the group was in substantial agreement and that several common themes were evident in both vision and values.

## VALUES

## 1. What are the $\mathbf{4}$ or $\mathbf{5}$ most important things that must be preserved, protected or maintained by the City of Grand Forks?

- Water Quality
- Country Appeal
- Waste Water Treatment
- Infrastructure
- Quality of Life
- Small Town Feel
- Keep What we Have
- Heritage
- Roads/Sidewalks
- Economy/Employment
- Self Sufficiency
- Health Services
- Way of Life
- Accessibility (physical)
- Safety
- History/Culture
- Trees
- Environment


## 2. What are the primary reasons you work for or with the City of Grand Forks?

- Money
- Career
- Serve Public
- To Insure City Moves Forward
- People We Work With
- Pride in Community
- Destiny - Contribute
- Our Good Fortune
- Make A Difference
- We Care
- Life Style Choice
- Pensions
- Variety of Work


## 3. What do the citizens of Grand Forks with whom you engage value most about the community?

- Affordability
- Small Town Feel
- Recreation
- Level of Energy
- City Services
- Friendly Atmosphere
- Natural Beauty
- Quality of Life
- Beauty of the Valley
- Warm, Caring People
- Visual Appearance
- Around Arts \& Culture
- Recreation \& Trails
- Collaborative Nature of Citizens
- Climate
- Environment
- Cultural Life
- Safety
- Quality of Life
- Trees \& Rivers


## 4. What do you most care about regarding Grand Forks?

- People
- Great Outdoors
- Safe Neighbourhoods
- Heritage
- Safety
- Ability to Walk
- Essential Services
- Nature
- People

5. In light of the discussion you have had what are the $\mathbf{4}$ or $\mathbf{5}$ core values for the city of Grand Forks?

- Safety
- Affordability
- Cultural Activities
- Sustainability
- Recreation
- Infrastructure
- Environment/Air/Water
- Maintaining Community
- Small Town Feeling
- Open City Government
- Employment Expansion
- Enhance Appeal To Various Populations
- Safety And Quality of Life


## VISION

## 1. What should we start doing or stop doing in the city of Grand Forks?

## Start

- Long term water planning
- Control recreation services
- Partnering youth to seniors
- Continuous River Walks and Trail Development

Stop

- Garbage Contract
- Recycling Downtown
- Improve Communication
- Collaboration \& Integration
- Accept that Change is Inevitable
- Eliminate Them vs. Us
- Recover or Generate Energy
- Encourage Entrepreneurship
- Market Grand Forks
- Continue Beautification
- Move "Whispers Of Hope"
- Address Aging Infrastructure
- Downward Slide in Medical \& Mental Health
- Public Education and Engagement
- Explore \& Utilize New Technologies
- More Openness and Transparency
- Promote Sports and Recreation
- Promote Tourism and Culture
- Preserve Heritage Buildings
- Stop Delivering Projects Without Community Input

2. What are some positive changes that could be made in the community?

- Bylaw Enforcement
- Employment Opportunities
- Support Agriculture
- Showcase Positive Attributes
- Better Signage
- Support Youth
- Listen \& Learn - Seniors and Youth
- Be More Energy Efficient


## 3. What are the citizens' expectations of us?

- High level of services and low level of taxes
- Sidewalks in Ruckle Subdivision
- Alternative Energy
- Better Communications
- Fiscal Responsibility
- Leadership
- Prompt Services
- Professionalism
- No debt
- Value for their money
- Honesty
- Integrity
- Be Good Listeners

4. How do you want this community to look in 3 years?

- More self-sufficient
- Reduced water \& air pollution
- Cleaner \& Greener
- Look Prosperous
- Good River Access
- Walkways Along River
- Diversify Economy
- Financially Independent
- Finish Dyking
- Long term water conservation plan including water meters


## 5. In light of your discussion, what are the 4 or 5 key elements of your vision for Grand Forks?

- Employment \& Business Opportunities
- Marketing Tourism
- Completion of Trails and Bike Paths
- Water Conservation
- Sustained Intelligent Growth
- Explore \& Utilize New Technologies
- More Trails
- Beautification of Town
- Regional Services
- Market \& Promote Grand Forks
- Maintain \& Upgrade Infrastructure
- Clean \& Green Where We Can


## POTENTIAL FOCUS AREAS

The group was asked to generate a list of actions or initiatives that would be potentially useful for the city to engage in over the next 3 years. The focus area is a broad category of endeavor such as beautification, finance, infrastructure, etc. It is not a highly specific activity such as put a float in the trail parade or replace the stop sign on $16^{\text {th }}$ St. Those activities, if important, would normally be a goal included in a focus area or part of the on-going infrastructure initiatives of the city .

Participants were split into sub-groups and asked to brainstorm for 10 or 15 minutes to generate a list of all the potential focus areas they could think of. With 3 or 4 groups working simultaneously for 10 minutes it would be virtually impossible to miss an area of any importance. Small groups also facilitate more input from more people than is usually the case with large groups. Duplication of a focus area from several or all of the sub-groups high-light the likely importance of the item.

The groups did an excellent job identifying potential focus areas. All of the lists are captured in following page; it may be useful at a future date to review this list. If circumstances change in the city some of the focus areas might rise to the level of primary importance.

- Infrastructure- Upgrade Equipment
- Communication
- Quality of Life
- Safety
- Heritage
- Cleaner \& Greener
- Self Sufficiency
- Waste Management
- Tourism \& Promotion
- Health Care
- Emergency Services
- Regional District
- Finances
- Human Resources
- Sustainability (Energy,Food,Water)
- Wireless Downtown
- Communications
- City Sponsored Events
- Market Street Walking Mall Days
- Promote Local Agriculture
- Maximize Resources
- Climate Change-Energy Efficient
- Employment - Encourage New Business
- New Technology
- Riversides
- Environment
- Energy
- People
- Affordability
- Water Conservation
- Quality of Services
- Law \& Order
- Recreation
- Municipal Boundary Extensions
- Air Quality
- Economic Development
- Wildlife
- Tourism
- Clean and Green
- Needs vs. Wants
- Display Antiques Throughout Town
- Trees Along Trail on West Side of Town
- Electrical Distribution Upgrades
- Downtown Golf Cart Friendly
- Alternate Green Transportation/ Green Transit System
- Transit/Transportation (Taxi, Airport, Sidewalks)
- Youth \& Senior Integration to Community
- Engaging Youth \& Seniors
- Growth \& Development (sustained Intelligent Growth)
- Education (Energy, Pollution, Recycling, Conservation, Composting
- Beautification: i) downtown core; ii) west end entrance; iii) river walkways;
iv) Ruckle swimming hole; v) connecting bike \& pedestrian paths; vi) green areas \& parks; vii) sidewalks \& paving; viii) finish campground
- Infrastructure: i) Ruckle sidewalks \& paving; ii) City Park lift station; iii) solar lighting; iv) water upgrades \& supplies v) west end reservoir
- Arts and Culture: i) Heritage preservation; ii) community events; iii) City Park stage \& washrooms; iv) home for fall fair; v) utilize airport facilities; vi) improve swimming holes; vii) aquatic center hours
- Marketing and Promotion: i) communication; ii) transportation (bus); iii) equipment replacement (in Ruckle); iv) bylaws; v) enforcement
- Community Safety (Safe Homes - Safe Communities)
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## PRIMARY FOCUS AREAS

No organization can focus on 50 or 60 focus areas at once in addition to their normal ongoing activities and expect to do them all well. Some focus areas are more important, crucial or vital than others. The trick is to identify them.

Agree on them and decide on the optimum number that can be successfully managed. Many groups have difficulty arriving at consensus and find it a long and difficult process. The consensus building method chosen for the participants was "split—group prioritizing ". Groups were re-blended into 4 sub-groups, each containing both elected officials and city employees, and instructed to select their top 4 primary focus areas from the list of potential focus areas they had created. Those areas were to be the most meaningful and have the most positive impact on grand forks over the next 3 years.

If 3 or 4 groups selected the same primary focus area consensus or substantial agreement would be fairly obvious. If a couple of groups made the same selection some negotiation would be in order, single items would have to sold to the total group by the subgroup suggesting it . The worst that could happen was that each of the 4 sub-groups select 4 items completely different from the other three, leaving a list of 16 primary focus areas. That big a list of primary focus areas would probably be unworkable , and other agreement seeking tactics such as "pair-wise analysis " or the "nominal group technique" could be used to narrow the list .

Below are the lists of the top 4 selections from the 4 sub-groups.

| GROUP ONE | GROUP TWO |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - Beautification | - | Beautification |
| - Infrastructure | - | Infrastructure |
| - Communications | - Communications |  |
| - Economic Development | - | Quality of Life |
| GROUP THREE | GROUP FOUR |  |
| - Infrastructure | - Infrastructure |  |
| - Beautification | - Communications |  |
| - Economic Development | - Economic Development |  |
| - Regional Service | - Beautification |  |

The 4 groups were pretty much in agreement on the primary focus areas. After some discussion to rationalize slight differences 5 primary focus areas were agreed upon and participants volunteered or were selected to write goals in each of these areas.

| BEAUTIFICATION <br> - Mike Noseworthy <br> - Ross Idler <br> - Chris Moslin <br> - Wayne Kopan | INFRASTRUCTURE <br> - Dean Chapman <br> - Brian Taylor <br> - Alex Love <br> - Sasha Bird |
| :---: | :---: |
| COMMUNICATIONS <br> - Christine Thompson <br> - Lynne Burch <br> - Blair Macgregor | ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT <br> - Joy Davies <br> - David Reid <br> - Dale Heriot |
| QUALITY OF LIFE (Human Resources) |  |
| - Gene Robert <br> - Gary Onions <br> - Victor Kumar | - Cher Wyers <br> - Sgt. Harrison |

## GOAL-SETTING

Goal-setting is the key activity in strategic action planning. It is true that an organization could engage in some activity with a primary focus area such as infrastructure but the planning wouldn't be at all precise goal-sets supply the "what" factor. They specify exactly what will happen - what exact activities and actions someone will take - to produce desired results in connection with the focus area.

Participants were asked to ensure that every goal met 5 criteria. Goals should be:

1] Specific;
2] Measurable;
3] Achievable;
4] Realistic;
5] Time-Framed

The sub-groups listed above would generate goals. Anybody in the room could approach a group to provide ideas and suggestions during the process. When all the goals were written, the group would publish them and explain them and the total group would provide feedback and suggest any changes or additions. The focus areas and the goals-sets are reproduced below. The names of two or three monitors are also supplied. Their job is to make sure the goals are achieved in that focus area. The Mayor has indicated that he might appoint some Councillors to provide additional oversight.

# PRIMARY FOCUS AREA: BEAUTIFICATION 

MONITORS: Chris Moslin \& Ross Idler

## GOALS

1. Plant 300 trees in 3 years *city park * cemetery *west end corridor *boulevards
2. Create 1 km of wheelchair accessible river walk *riverside drive area
3. Build and landscape west end "Welcome" Sign *Spraggett and Central Avenue complete with WOW! Factor
4. Upgrade east end Welcome Sign
5. Construct xeriscape rock garden gateways *Trans Canada trail
6. De-uglify city park campground *Complete as many sites as possible - not all of them partially
7. Upgrade "Art Gallery/Museum/Visitor Information" grounds *flowerbeds, etc
8. Rejuvenate Barbara Ann Park *install bollards *continue trail * landscape
9. Relocate recycle bins from Firehall $\quad{ }^{*} 68^{\text {th }}$ Ave $\& 2^{\text {nd }}$ Street?
10. Display a "living" roof *gyro park

## PRIMARY FOCUS AREA: COMMUNICATIONS

MONITORS: Dean Chapman \& Christine Thompson

## GOALS

1. Immediately undertake a redesign of the City's Newsletter to simplify the messages and to circulate at a minimum in February, April, June, September, and November.
2. Assign each member of Council, for each month, commencing March 2009, and ending October 2011, to write a column on a current issue to be published in the Gazette the $3^{\text {rd }}$ week of the month.
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3. On a yearly basis invite the public to tour various city venues with staff and Council in order for the public to have a better understanding of city services and what it takes to provide them.
4. In 2010, initiate a comprehensive of the City's Website to determine where improvements are required, and make any required improvements.
5. In September 2009, conduct a public survey, requesting specific input into services provided by the City to determine what level of services the city should provide.

## PRIMARY FOCUS AREA: INFRASTRUCTURE

MONITORS: Brian Taylor \& Sasha Bird

## GOALS

1. Complete comprehensive infrastructure capital plan by end of April, 2009
2. Educate the public on the comprehensive Capital Plan (May/June 2009)
3. Go to referendum to secure funding authority (July 2009)
4. Construct City Park lift station (August/September 2009)
5. Trails - Complete network plan and install new trails to link the community as per plan by 2011
6. Drainage - Determine priorities according to infrastructure capital plan
7. Comprehensive capital plan:
8. Electrical distribution
9. Sewer
10. Water
11. Roads
12. Trails
13. Electrical
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1. Complete distribution upgrades f1 \& f2
2. Purchase new line trunk
3. Staff succession planning (Apprenticeship)
4. Water
5. Research alternative energy supply
6. Residential metering
7. Westside reservoir
8. Feasibility study for location of new well and nitrate concentrations
9. Educate public on conservation measures
10. Sewer
11. Construct city park lift station
--- upgrade Ruckle sewer
--- construct stage and washrooms
12. Repair all structural defects
13. Complete video assessment
14. Upgrade sewer lines [ currently under capacity \& structurally defective as per infrastructure plan ]
15. Roads
16. Upgrade $22^{\text {nd }}$ St and Kettle River Drive according to approved financial plan and funding
17. Sidewalks
18. Commence review of sidewalk plan on March $1^{\text {st }}$ and complete by March 31, 2009
19. Install sidewalks according to approved Financial Plan
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# PRIMARY FOCUS AREA: QUALITY OF LIFE 

MONITORS: Gene Robert \& Jim Harrison

## GOALS

1. Retain what we have
2. Public health
3. Recruit the \#3 of doctors by November 30, 2011
*Partnership with RDKB
*Funding from above
*Marketing health asset brochure
*Local professionals
4. Recruit 5 support staff by Nov 30,2011
5. Hold 3 recruiting sessions in 3 years (contract / funding / assets)
6. Public safety
7. Bylaw enforcement
*Install a municipal ticket information bylaw
*Partnership with local R.C.M.P. to enforce specific peace order by-laws by November 30, 2011
*Local R.C.M.P. to provide training for B.E.O.
*City to review bylaws (Community Charter)
8. Safe premises bylaw
*Secure and review copy of safe premises bylaw
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3. Create a committee to research and prepare recommendations for S.P.B.
*building inspection
*police
*fire
*health

# PRIMARY FOCUS AREA: ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT 

MONITORS: Joy Davies \& David Reid

## GOALS

1. Strike committees for economic development program
*marketing and promotion
*tourism
*industry
*regional services
*small business
*events

## 2. Tourism and events

1. Enhance tourism by focusing energy on one event
2. Bring people in to spend money in Grand Forks
3. Regional services
4. Expand boundaries to increase tax base and development
5. More input on regional services e.g. Recreation
6. Industry and small business
7. Make Grand Forks more accessible for industry and small business
8. Solicit business to come to Grand Forks

Facilitator's Note: These goals need to be more specific, measurable, and time-framed.

## FACILITATOR'S NOTE ON GOALS

Facilitator's note on the goal-sets in general: Most of the goal-sets are quite good. Some are excellent. There are places were some of the goals are vague and indefinite. These goals should be written with more specificity and contain measurement factors. Some goals lack time frames and these should be added.
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## SUMMARY

Elected officials and staff of the city of Grand Forks did impressive work in their strategic action planning workshop. They achieved substantial agreement, if not consensus, around their values and vision for the City. Participants worked quickly and effectively to generate a comprehensive list of potential areas of endeavour upon which the City could focus.

The group was excellent at isolating the 5 focus areas most vital to them over the next 3 years. The goal - sets for these 5 primary focus areas were for the most part quite or very good with usually only minor revisions or additions being necessary. The formulating of strategy and tactics for achieving goals will be the responsibility of those engaging in the action with support from the people tasked as monitors in each primary focus area.

Your group was very motivated and hardworking. It was a pleasure working with you. Good luck in your endeavours

Dave Fairbairn<br>Workshop Facilitator

|  | City of Grand Forks 2009-2011 Strategic Plan Summary Update |  | COMPLETION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FOCUS AREAS | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2011 | COMMENTS |  |
| Beautification |  | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| Plant 300 trees in 3 years *city park * cemetery *west end corridor *boulevards | Planting commenced starting with the Cemetery and City Park; 180 trees in the Cemetery and 200 trees in the City Park | Trees planted under Job Opportunities Funds and Trees for Tomorrow grant | $\begin{aligned} & \text { November } 30^{\text {th }} \text {, } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |
| Create 1 km of wheelchair accessible river walk *riverside drive area | Granby River Walk is all wheel chair accessible; Riverside is all wheel chair accessible | Walkway completed using Job Opportunities funds; Paving to be done to make it wheel chair handy. Paving to be done as funds become available | December 2009 walk way completed. |
| Build and landscape west end "Welcome" Sign and pathway Spraggett to $25^{\text {th }}$ Street, to complete with WOW! Factor | In 2008, grading completed and pathway paved from North Fork/Spraggett Rd to RDKB Office/Recreation Complex. <br> Easement for pathway and sign obtained. In 2011 a "Sign Committee" was struck upon receipt of an offer of assistance from the Grand Forks Rotary Club to construct the sign. | West End Sign to be tendered and included in future financial plan. | 2011-2012 |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Upgrade east end Welcome Sign | "Grand Forks" sign in flowers done <br> in 2007 \& 2008 \& 2009 in annual <br> plants. In 2010 the "Grand Forks" <br> sign was done in perennials (yellow <br> colour) | Summer of 2011 sign <br> should be in full bloom. <br> Annual funding required <br> tomaintain. | 2011 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Construct xeriscape rock garden <br> gateways *Trans Canada <br> trail | Included in the work plan for the <br> RlnC Project. Construction of <br> Project took place in July 2010. | RInC Project of paved <br> pathways from Central <br> Avenue, over the Black <br> Train Bridge through South <br> Ruckle completed in 2010. | April, 2011 |
| City park campground *Complete <br> as many sites as possible - | 200 trees planted in 2009 in the City <br> Park; partial landscape work done in <br> the campground. More landscape <br> work done in 2010. Landscape work <br> to continue in future years. | Further landscaping subject <br> to available funding. The <br> next phase for this project <br> includes the completion of <br> the irrigation and the <br> planting of lawn to replace <br> the wood chips. | Dec. 31, 2011 |
|  | Flower gardens provided in 2008, <br> irrigation done 2008; Restoration of <br> the east side of the building to <br> remove the former prisoner stairwell, <br> due to its unsafe condition. Funding <br> provided in 2010 Financial Plan. <br> Completed in 2010. | No available funding in <br> 2011 for top dress, level <br> and re-seed the southem <br> lawn. Project to be <br> considered in future <br> budgets. | December 31, <br> 2011 |
| Upgrade "Art <br> Gallery/Museum/Visitor <br> Information" grounds *flowerbeds <br> etc |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rejuvenate Barbara Ann Park <br> *install bollards *continue trail * <br> landscape | Bollards installed, Trail completed to <br> Barbara Ann Park 2008; Northward <br> Trail subject to development of <br> private properties. | Future work in Barbara Ann <br> Park will include a new <br> waterline service to the <br> park washrooms. | 2012-2020 |
| Relocate recycle bins from the Fire <br> Hall | Part of the overall contract on <br> recycling and waste collection. <br> Removal of bins will be considered <br> once recycling collection for <br> commercial customers has been <br> resolved. | Consultation with RDKB on <br> Recycling | 2012-2014 |
| Living Roof Display in Gyro Park. | Part of the 2009-2011 Strategic <br> Plan. A good idea but upon <br> investigation, it was determined that <br> the structures in Gyro Park would <br> need to be re-engineered and rebuilt <br> to support the loads that a living roof <br> would place on the structures. | This project may be <br> considered in the future. It <br> is cost prohibitive at this | 2014-2017 |
| Communications | More focused message; Newsletters <br> done March, May, July, September <br> \& November; In 2010, a partnership <br> was formed with the Grand Forks <br> Gazette to publish newsletters in the <br> weekly West Kootenay Advertiser. <br> This partnership resulted in a <br> reduction of costs to publish and <br> circulate newsletters. | On-going communication <br> tool | annual |
| Immediately undertake a redesign <br> of the City's Newsletter to simplify <br> the messages and to circulate at <br> a minimum in February, April, <br> June, September, and November. |  |  |  |


| Assign each member of Council, for each month, commencing March 2009 , and ending October 2011, to write a column on a current issue to be published in the Gazette the $3^{\text {rd }}$ week of the month. | A few columns written. | Time commitment and issues to write about | Dec. 31, 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| On a yearly basis invite the public to tour various city venues with staff and Council in order for the public to have a better understanding of city services and what it takes to provide them. | - In 2010 school children were provided a tour of City Hall, complete with presentation by Staff on the services provided by the City. <br> - In 2011 a public tour of the new solar hot water system in the Campground washrooms was conducted by city staff. <br> - Tour of City Hall led by the Mayor as well as a "mock" council meeting. | Original proposal for public tours of city venues not implemented. Specific requested tours provided. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| In 2010, initiate a comprehensive review of the City's Website to determine where improvements are required, and make any required improvements. | Website Re-design tendered in late 2010. Pro Creative Design Labs awarded contract. New Website launched in August 2011. | Website continues to be modified on an ongoing basis as it was custom built and allows more interaction of the public including blogs, community notices, surveys, and further community portals. Webmaster hired to update as requested. | Dec 2011 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In September 2009, conduct a public survey, requesting specific input into services provided by the City to determine what level of services the city should provide. | Survey designed and sent based on levels of satisfaction on services and other community issues | Survey compilation in November, 2009. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ |
| Infrastructure |  |  |  |
| Complete comprehensive infrastructure capital plan by end of April, 2009 | Report on Infrastructure done and released in April 2009. October 2010 KWL (Kerr, Wood, Leidal) along with City Staff made a public presentation on the Infrastructure deficit facing the community. Council stated their desire to proceed with Asset Management Planning. | Consultation and input from the public on options for financing and the state of the infrastructure. Council presented referendum question for borrowing funds to complete infrastructure projects to the public at the 2011 Local Government Election. Two referendum questions received an affirmative vote to finance the cost of the infrastructure upgrades. | Projects will continue under this mandate until 2016. |
| Educate the public on the comprehensive Capital Plan (Sept/Oct 2010) | Report available on the website and on Disc at city Hall since April 2009 | Public Meeting with Consultant held in the fall of 2010. Asset Management Public Outreach Campaign conducted in the fall of 2011. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Go to referendum to secure } \\ \text { funding authority }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Referendum questions presented to } \\ \text { the electorate during the 2011 local } \\ \text { government elections. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Referendums received an } \\ \text { affirmative vote at the } \\ \text { election. Projects can now } \\ \text { proceed and borrowing } \\ \text { bylaws can be finalized } \\ \text { upon completion of the } \\ \text { projects. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { November, } \\ 2011\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Construct City Park lift station } \\ \text { (August/September 2009) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Tender awarded in August 2009; } \\ \text { construction commenced end of } \\ \text { October with completion May 2010. } \\ \text { Landscaping of project was not } \\ \text { completed. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Project completed in May } \\ \text { 2010. New landscaping } \\ \text { proposed for 2012, pending } \\ \text { confirmation of funding. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Project } \\ \text { completed } \\ \text { 2010. } \\ \text { Landscaping } \\ \text { 2012-2014 }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Trails - Complete network plan and } \\ \text { install new trails to link the } \\ \text { community as per plan by 2011 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Granby River walk completed. South } \\ \text { Ruckles project received RInC grant, }, \\ \text { and tendered in July, 2010. RInC } \\ \text { Project Construction Completed in } \\ \text { November, 2010. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Construction of City's trail } \\ \text { walkway system ongoing } \\ \text { pending funding. Further } \\ \text { RInC project development } \\ \text { including extension from } \\ \text { 12 }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { July 2011 }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { School, bollards, gates, }\end{array}\right]$

| Comprehensive capital plan: | KWL Report addresses these capital <br> components <br> As per the Asset Management <br> Investment Plan developed in 2011. | Work to be completed over <br> the next 20 years | $2012-2032$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Electrical distribution | As per the Asset Management <br> Investment Plan developed in 2011. <br> As per the Asset Management <br> Investment Plan developed in 2011. <br> Work to be completed over <br> the next 20 years | Work to be completed over <br> the next 20 years | 2012-2032 |
| 4. Water | Asper the Asset Management <br> Investment Plan developed in 2011. | Work to be completed over <br> the next 10 years | 2012-2032 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Water |  |  |  |
|  | Discussed as part of the KWL <br> Report in the fall of 2010. Also <br> discussed as part of the Urban <br> Systems Water Demand <br> Management Plan in 2011. | Time constraints <br> Conservation and <br> education are key. <br> Application for Gas Tax <br> funding submitted in late <br> 2010 to fund 100\% of the <br> residential metering project, <br> was denied. | December 2011 |
| 3. Westside reservoir | No work done in 2010. During <br> discussions on the Asset <br> Management Plan in 2011, <br> determined to proceed with a plan to <br> delay the construction of the <br> reservoir. A plan to install <br> emergency generator systems on all <br> wells as well as the construction of <br> new well which will achieve the <br> same fire flow rating required by the <br> Fire Underwiters. A referendum <br> question for "Emergency Fire Flow <br> Protection was put before the <br> electorate during the 2011 local <br> government election, and supported <br> by the voters. | Planning and Engineering <br> for project to commence in <br> 2012, | December 2011 |


| 5. Educate public on conservation measures | Preliminary work needs to be done. Studies require further initiation <br> City Newsletter and change in irrigation and watering times done. | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sewer |  |  |  |
| 1. Construct city park lift station | Main construction complete. Landscaping was not completed. | Completion Fall 2011 | Dec, 2011 |
| --- construct stage and washrooms | Stage base was constructed as part of the city park lift station project. Cover/roof of the stage to be looked at in the future. | Stage completion March 2011. Roof subject to available funding. | Dec 2012-2016 |
| 2. Repair all structural defects | Part of KWL plan. | Subject to available funding | Dec 2012-2016 |
| 3. Complete video assessment | The project will be dependent on the Infrastructure Capital plan funding and is tentatively scheduled for 2012 $-2016$ | Subject to available funding | 2012-2016 |
| 4. Upgrade sewer lines [ currently under capacity \& structurally defective as per infrastructure plan ] | Part of KWL Infrastructure Report | Included in the Asset Management Investment Plan | 2012-2014 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roads <br> 1. Upgrade 22 <br> nd St and Kettle <br> River Drive according to approved <br> financial plan and funding | Referendum Question in November 2011 for borrowing up to $\$ 5$ million over 5 years for multi-use utility | May be considered in 2012 as a multi-use utility project priority. | 2012 to 2016 |
| Sidewalks |  |  |  |
| 1. Commence review of sidewalk plan on March $1^{\text {st }}$ and complete by March 31, 2009 | Part of the KWL Report. Now part of the Asset Management Investment Plan. | Priority sidewalks will be considered during the prioritization of multi-use projects. | 2012 to 2016 |
| Quality of Life |  |  |  |
| Retain what we have |  |  |  |
| Public health |  |  |  |
| 1. Recruit 3 doctors by November 30, 2011 | Part of the Public Safety Committee | Meetings occurring | December 2011 |
| *Partnership with RDKB |  |  |  |
| *Funding from above |  |  | December 2011 |
| *Marketing health asset brochure | Part of the Public Safety Committee | Meetings occurring |  |
| *Local professionals |  |  |  |


| Recruit 5 support staff by Nov 30, 2011 | Public Safety Committee | Work with Interior Health Authority | 2011-2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hold 3 recruiting sessions in 3 years (contract / funding / assets) | Public Safety Committee | Work with Interior Health Authority | 2011-2014 |
| Public safety <br> 1. Bylaw enforcement <br> *Partnership with local R.C.M.P. to enforce specific peace order by-laws by November 30, 2011 <br> *City to review bylaws (Community Charter) | Staff Report circulated to Council in Feb 2010 on the costs $(\$ 100,000)$ of hiring a Bylaw Enforcement Officer. No Bylaw Enforcement Officer hired <br> No funding available for enforcement <br> Bylaws are in compliance; Municipal bylaws need not be enforced | No funding provided to hire bylaw enforcement officer within the City | 2012- and beyond |
| Safe premises bylaw <br> 2. Secure and review copy of safe premises bylaw | Review conducted in 2008; costly proposition for the City; Bylaw enforcement funding required; No further action until funding is allocated |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Create a committee to research } \\ \text { and prepare recommendations for } \\ \text { S.P.B. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Public Safety Committee created in } \\ \text { *building inspection } \\ \text { 2009 and was instrumental in } \\ \text { establishing the Emergency All } \\ \text { Weather Shelter Beds. }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { BETHS Boundary } \\ \text { Emergency Transitional } \\ \text { Housing Society continues } \\ \text { to operate the shelter } \\ \text { November thru March, with } \\ \text { some financial assistance } \\ \text { from BC Housing. }\end{array} & \text { ongoing }\end{array}\right\}$

| 2. More input on regional <br> services e.g. Recreation | Regional Services |  | Dec 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Industry and small business <br> 1. Make Grand Forks more <br> accessible for industry and small <br> business | Community Transition Plan <br> completed in July, 2009; Community <br> First Agreement developed, <br> between the Province and the City <br> as to initiatives intended to transition <br> our economy going fonward. <br> Agreement signed in May, 2010, <br> and completed May, 2011. <br> No | All 8 issues identified in the <br> plan were actioned in some <br> way. | 2010-2011 |
| 2. Solicit business to come to | No specific action undertaken by <br> Council | May, 2011 |  |
| Grand Forks |  |  |  |

## CITY OF GRAND FORKS SUMMARY OF COUNCIL VISION 2012-2014

The following summary has been compiled from the election platforms printed in the Grand Forks Gazette of the elected candidates to the offices of the Mayor and Councillors for the Council Term commencing December $5^{\text {th }}, 2011$ to the first Monday in November, 2014.

- Work towards self-sustainability.
- Achieve a healthy and sustainable economy that supports the people that live here, seniors and young people.
- Individual Councillors to unite as a team, and actively engage in work that the residents have determined needs to be done.
- Revitalize the agricultural community.
- Utilize Grand Forks' position on one of the busiest highways in Canada
- Maximize the life of our roads, sewer, water, storm and electrical systems through a stable, long-term plan. Infrastructure planning.
- Concern for the homeless. Strive to seek long-term solutions, including assistance from other levels of government.
- Build an Age-Friendly Community. Take care of those of whom have taken care of us and provide jobs to our children and keep them here in Grand Forks.
- Continue the commitment to Asset Management. Long term planning to provide taxpayers with taxation stability.
- Work with the Public on Environmental Issues - Water Quality, Air Quality, and Carbon Neutrality.
- Lobby for a Provincial Wildlife Management Plan to deal with the deer issue.
- Keep taxes low for residents and local business owners
- An excellent work relationship with Areas C and D.
- Market Our City.
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## City of Grand Forks

Grand Forks, British Columbia
Strategic Planning Workshop January $\mathbf{2 5}^{\text {th }}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}{ }^{\text {th }}, 2012$
Facilitated by Rick Beauchamp
R. A. Beauchamp \& Associates


Adopted by Council February, 2012

Brian Taylor
Bob Kendel
Neil Krog
Patrick O'Doherty
Gary Smith
Cher Wyers

PARTICIPANTS
Lynne Burch Bev Porter Alex Love
Cecile Arnott David Reid Dale Heriot
Diane Heinrich Mike Noseworthy
Hal Wright
Sasha Bird
Wayne Kopan

Dean Chapman
Steve Howard, (Day One only)
James Traynor, (Day Two only)

## What is Strategic Planning?

Strategic Planning is a system to lead, manage, and change an organization in a conscious, well planned out, integrated fashion, based on corporate priorities and a well-defined vision.

Strategic planning is essentially a process of determining a local government's long term vision, current goals, and developing an action plan to achieve those goals. It also provides an opportunity for the City Council and senior staff to communicate strategic priorities to line staff and citizens, thereby providing direction for internal operating departments to align their service delivery activities with the corporate priorities.

The Corporate Strategic Plan for the City of Grand Forks is the basis for determining the City's Vision, Mission, Values, Goals and Actions to be accomplished, within the next three-year mandate of the City Council. This plan is based on a collaborative process, in which members of Council, Management Staff and employees participated. It represents an effort by Council in reaching consensus on important issues in the municipality setting some specific goals for 2012-2014.

The Plan demonstrates the City Council's commitment in working with staff to build a safe, sustainable and complete community that will provide diverse employment opportunities and continued high quality of life for the residents of Grand Forks. While the Council realizes that priorities will change with time, it is the City Council's plan to provide for this process of strategic thinking with the firm belief that the Grand Forks residents will benefit from this on-going exercise.

To assist with the development of a corporate vision, mission and values a SWOT analysis was used to identify the key internal and external factors that are important to achieving stated objectives. This analysis examined community and organization strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats. The results were used to identify a "baseline" to establish the corporate vision for the future of Grand Forks. within the Boundary Country."
"The City of Grand Forks is committed to providing quality governance and excellent services that enhance and advance the quality of life for our community."


## GARDEN CITY

1) G-Growing responsibly
2) A-Accountability
3) R-Resilience
4) D - Diversity
5) E-Efficiency
6) N - Natural Beauty
7) C - Collaboration with Integrity
8) i-Innovation
9) T-Transparency
10) Y-Young at Heart

## CORPORATE PRIORITIES 2012-2014:

1) Regional Services Integration - CAO / Director of Finance

- Fire contract with improvement district within Area D
- Regional Fire Service Opportunities
- Parks and playground
- Ski Hill
- Capital replacement for all services
- Cats Management
- Airport
- Waste Management
- Cultural Services (museum, art gallery)
- Economic Development
- Emergency Planning
- Treatment plant sludge dumping


## Actions

1) Staff evaluate cost implications for all proposed new and re-negotiated services with the RDKB - April, 2012
2) Develop a strategy for regional service integration - June, 2012

## 2) Succession Planning - Council / CAO

- CAO and 3 Operations staff retiring


## Actions

1) Council to develop Action Plan to replace CAO - March, 2012
2) CAO to develop Action Plan to replace electrical lineman - February, 2012
3) Staff develop database of potential employee retirements - February, 2012
4) Staff develop a succession plan for the organization - September, 2012
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## 3) Economic Stimulation - Council / CAO / Staff

- Encouraging development which will increase tax base
- Community identity and tourism promotion
- Downtown revitalization incentives
- Enhancing Open Market
- Economic Strategy
- Marketing airport and foreign investment


## Actions

1) Develop a community identity theme - Council, March 31, 2012
2) Staff evaluate tax incentive bylaws in other municipalities for fit for the City and report back to Council - CAO, June, 2012.
3) Develop an action plan for downtown rejuvenation - Council and CAO, December, 2012
4) Determine the usability of City lands through an inventory - Tech Services, June, 2012
5) Refer the sub-regional economic development strategy to the Economic Development Advisory Committee for review and recommendations - CAO - July, 2012
6) Develop a marketing strategy following feedback from the Economic Development Committee - 2013

## 4) Infrastructure Replacement Strategy

## Actions

1) Implement fire flow protection back-up generation PW-2012-2013
2) Investigate suitable location for new water well / nitration PW-2012-2013
3) Explore alternate river crossing for water PW-2012
4) Prioritize multi-utility projects PW - 2012-2014
5) Building structure assessment for replacement PW -2013-2014
6) Parks assessment Recreation - 2014

## 5) Deer Issue - Council / CAO

Action

1) Develop an Action Plan for dealing with the deer issue (Deer Committee feedback) - December - 2012

## 6) Sustainability Actions - Public Works <br> Actions

1) Water meters - staff prepare report including data and costing for Council decision to move forward on water meter installation - September, 2012
2) Develop a water meter implementation plan explaining consequences and costs for public-2013-2014

## OPERATIONAL PRIORITIES 2012-2014:

$>$ Asset Management - Emergency Water Supply for Fire Protection. Need to proceed with plan including time-lines to install back-up generators on all wells. Need to do study on location of new well. 2012

- Asset Management - Determine Priority for Multi-Utility Project. With Assistance from Professional Engineers, develop a priority list of Multi-Utility Projects. 2012
$>$ Asset Management - Acquire Engineering needed for the first priority on the MultiUtility Project list and tender the project. 2013
$>$ Asset Management - Construct the first priority project on the Multi-Utility Project list. 2014
> Fibre Optic Cable Network - Joint Project with School District 51. Need to finalize agreement with the School District. Potential for Revenue Generation, but need someone to develop business case on how this would work. Also need to ensure that the City has trained linemen in fibre installation and maintenance. 2012
$>$ Cemetery Rehabilitation Project - Includes the leveling of cemetery gravesites and relandscaping. Project further includes the surveying of future grave spaces. To be completed in three phases: First Phase in 2012, Second Phase in 2013, and Third Phase in 2014.
L Lift Station Landscaping Proiect - Includes the asphalt and/or concrete work on the west side of $4^{\text {th }}$ Street, the construction and planting of the amphitheatre berm, the installation of irrigation on the east side of City Park from $5^{\text {th }}$ Street east to $4^{\text {th }}$ Street as well as the irrigation in the City Park Campground, and the plantings required. 2012.
$>$ West and East side Entrance Signs
$>$ Airport Lighting
> Carbon Neutrality

City of Grand Forks
2011 ANNUAL REPORT
June, 2012

## ADVOCACY PRIORITIES 2012-2014:

> Policing Costs
> Low Cost Housing
> Auditor General Audit Commission

## Summary of Goals and Actions In Date Order

- CAO to develop Action Plan to replace electrical lineman - February, 2012
- Staff develop database of potential employee retirements - February, 2012
- Council to develop Action Plan to replace CAO - March, 2012
- Develop a community identity theme - Council, March 31, 2012
- Staff evaluate cost implications for all proposed new and re-negotiated services with the RDKB - April, 2012
- Develop a strategy for regional service integration - June, 2012
- Staff to evaluate tax incentive bylaws in other municipalities for fit for the City and report back to Council - CAO, June, 2012.
- Determine the usability of City lands through an inventory - Tech Services, June, 2012
- Refer the sub-regional economic development strategy to the Economic Development Advisory Committee for review and recommendations - CAO - July, 2012
- Staff develop a succession plan for the organization - September, 2012
- Water meters - staff prepare report including data and costing for Council decision to move forward on water meter installation - September, 2012
- Develop an Action Plan for dealing with the deer issue (Deer Committee feedback) December - 2012
- Develop an action plan for downtown rejuvenation - Council and CAO, December, 2012


## Summary of Goals and Actions By Years

- Develop a marketing strategy following feedback from the Economic Development Committee - 2013
- Implement fire flow protection back-up generation PW-2012-2013
- Investigate suitable location for new water well / nitration PW-2012-2013
- Explore alternate river crossing for water PW - 2012
- Prioritize multi-utility projects PW - 2012-2014
- Building structure assessment for replacement PW -2013-2014
- Parks assessment Recreation - 2014
- Develop a water meter implementation plan explaining consequences and costs for public-2013-2014

|  | City of Grand Forks 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan Goals \& Objectives |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | ACTIONS RESPONSIBILITIES | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2012 | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| Regional Services Integration |  |  |  |  |
| - Fire contract with Improvement District within Area D <br> - Regional Fire Service Opportunities <br> - Parks and Playgrounds <br> - Ski Hill <br> - Capital Replacement for all Services <br> - Cats Management <br> - Airport <br> - Waste Management <br> - Cultural Services (Museum, Art Gallery) <br> - Economic Development <br> - Emergency Planning <br> - Treatment Plant Sludge Dumping | 1. Staff to evaluate cost implications for all proposed new and renegotiated services with the RKDB - April, 2012 <br> 2. Develop A Strategy for Regional Service IntegrationJune, 2012 <br> Responsibility - Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer | Draft Staff Report circulated in April, 2012 |  |  |

## City of Grand Forks 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan Summary Update

| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | ACTIONS : <br> RESPONSIBILITIES | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2012 | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Succession Planning |  |  |  |  |
| - Chief Administrative <br> Officer and 3 <br> Operations Staff Retiring | 1. Council to Develop an Action Plan to Replace the CAO-March, 2012 <br> 2. CAO to Develop Action Plan to replace Electrical Lineman February, 2012 <br> 3. Staff to Develop database of potential employee retirementsFebruary, 2012 <br> 4. Staff to Develop a succession plan for the organization September, 2012 <br> ResponsibilityCouncil and the Chief Administrative Officer | Staff Report presented to Council in early March, 2012 <br> Staff Report presented to Council in early March, 2012 |  |  |

City of Grand Forks
2011 ANNUAL REPORT
June, 2012


[^0]|  | City of Grand Forks 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan Summary Update |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | ACTIONS ; RESPONSIBILITIES | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2012 | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| Economic Stimulation Cont'd |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5. Refer the subregional economic development strategy to the Economic <br> Development <br> Advisory <br> Committee for <br> Review and <br> Recommendation - <br> July, 2012 <br> 6. Develop a marketing strategy following feedback from the Economic Development Committee - 2013 <br> Responsibility Council and the Chief <br> Administrative Officer |  |  |  |

City of Grand Forks
2011 ANNUAL REPORT
June, 2012

## City of Grand Forks

## 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan

Summary Update

| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | ACTIONS / <br> RESPONSIBILITIES | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2012 | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infrastructure Replacement Strategy |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Implement fire flow protection back-up generation -2012-2013 <br> 2. Investigate suitable location for new water well / nitration -2012-2013 <br> 3. Explore alternative River Crossing for Water 2012 <br> 4. Prioritize multi-utility projects -2012-2014 <br> 5. Building structure assessment for replacement -2013-2014 |  |  |  |

City of Grand Forks
2011 ANNUAL REPORT
June, 2012

|  | City of Grand Forks 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan Summary Update |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | ACTIONS $i$ RESPONSIBILITIES | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2012 | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| Infrastructure Replacement Strategy Cont'd |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. <br>  <br>  <br> Aarks <br> Recreation- <br>  <br> 2014 <br> Responsibility: <br> Manager of Technical <br> Services, Chief <br> Financial Officer, and <br> Chief Administrative <br> Officer |  |  |  |


|  | City of Grand Forks 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan Summary Update |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | ACTIONS: RESPONSIBILITIES | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2012 | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| Beer tove |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Develop an Action Plan for Dealing with the Deer Issue (Deer Cominittee Feedback) December, 2012 <br> Responsibility: <br> Council with the Deer Committee and the Chief Administrative Officer |  |  |  |


|  | City of Grand Forks 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan Summary Update |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORPORATE PRIORITIES | ACTIONS ! RESPONSIBILITIES | RESULTS ACHIEVED IN 2012 | COMMENTS | COMPLETION |
| Sustainability Action |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Water meters Staff to prepare a report including data and costing for Council decision to move forward on water meter installation, September, 2012 <br> 2. Develop a water meter implementation plan explaining consequences and costs for public-20132014 <br> Responsibility: <br> Manager of Technical Services and Chief Financial Officer |  |  |  |
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# CITY OF GRAND FORKS <br> 2012-2014 COMMITTEE \& LIAISON STRUCTURE 

COUNCILLOR KENDEL: Appointed as the Economic Development Advisory Committee Chair and liaison for the Boundary Museum Society Organization.

COUNCILLOR KROG: Appointed as liaison to Gallery 2 and to the Boundary District Arts Council.

COUNCILLOR O'DOHERTY: Appointed as liaison to local sports events such as Grand Forks International and Border Bruins.

COUNCILLOR SMITH: Appointed liaison for the Phoenix Foundation; co-chair to the Economic Development Advisory Committee; liaison to the Deer Committee; cochair of the Environment Committee; and first liaison to the Grasslands Group.

COUNCILLOR WIRISCHAGIN: Appointed liaison to the Recreation Commission.
COUNCILLOR WYERS: Appointed as alternate Director to the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary and West Kootenay Regional Hospital Board, representative to the AKBLG; liaison to the Boundary Restorative Justice Committee and Citizens on Patrol; liaison to the Grand Forks Public Library; Chair of the Environment Committee, and second liaison to the Grasslands Group.

MAYOR TAYLOR: Appointed as representative to the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary \& Electoral Area Directors' Relations, West Kootenay Regional Hospital Board, Transportation, Relations with Tri-Cities (Trail, Nelson, Castlegar), MLA \& MP Contacts, Boundary Communities, Press \& Media Relations. Troubleshooting. Special Interest Areas: Senior's Housing, Health Care, Agriculture \& Local Markets. Woodlots and Community Forests. Conventions, hosting of dignitaries and community receptions.

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS

## REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL

MONDAY JUNE $11^{\text {th }}, 2012$

## PRESENT:

```
MAYOR BRIAN TAYLOR
COUNCILLOR BOB KENDEL
COUNCILLOR NEIL KROG
COUNCILLOR PATRICK O'DOHERTY
COUNCILLOR GARY SMITH
COUNCILLOR CHER WYERS
CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER L. Burch
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER C. Arnott
CORPORATE OFFICER D. Heinrich
GALLERY
```


## CALL TO ORDER:

The Mayor called the Meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

## ADOPTION OF AGENDA:

Amendment to the Agenda:
The Mayor advised that a late item needed to be added to the Regular Meeting Agenda as 12 (a) West Jet Service to West Kootenay request for letter of support request from Mayor Lawrence Chernoff of the City of Castlegar.

MOTION: SMITH / KENDEL
RESOLVED THAT THE JUNE $11^{\text {H }}$, 2012, REGULAR MEETING AGENDA BE ADOPTED AS AMENDED.

CARRIED.

MOTION: SMITH / KENDEL
RESOLVED THAT THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON MONDAY, MAY $28^{\text {TH }}, 2012$, BE ADOPTED AS CIRCULATED.

CARRIED.

## MOTION: O'DOHERTY/WYERS

RESOLVED THAT THE MINUTES OF THE PRIMARY COMMITTEE MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON MONDAY, MAY $28^{\text {TH }}, 2012$, AND ALL RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED THEREIN BE ADOPTED AS CIRCULATED.

CARRIED.

## REGISTERED PETITIONS AND DELEGATIONS:

## None

## UNFINISHED BUSINESS

None

## REPORTS, QUESTIONS AND INQUIRIES FROM MEMBERS OF COUNCIL (VERBAL)

## Councillor O'Doherty:

Councillor O'Doherty reported on the following items:

- He reported on his attendance at the 2012 FCM Conference in Saskatoon and advised that the meetings and sessions that he attended proved to be very informative. He advised that some of his meetings included: policing issues and sports programs. He further reported that he had met with the Minister in charge of supplying grants to municipalities, and that he gave the Minister his contact information for the City.


## Councillor Wyers:

Councillor Wyers reported on the following items:

- She reported on her attendance at the $7^{\text {th }}$ Annual BC Rural Communities Summit held in Grand Forks from June $7^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}, 2012$, and advised that the group in attendance came together with excellent networking opportunities. She further spoke with regard to some of the individuals that she had the opportunity to converse with that were present at the Summit.


## Councillor Kendel:

Councillor Kendel reported on the following items:

- He reported on his attendance at the BC Rural Communities Summit from June 7$9^{\text {th }}, 2012$ which was held in Grand Forks, and commented on the valuable networking opportunities which were developed.


## Councillor Krog:

Councillor Krog reported on the following items:

- He had no report for this evening.


## Councillor Smith:

Councillor Smith reported on the following items:

- He reported on his attendance at the BC Rural Communities Summit held in Grand Forks from June 7-9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, and that the seminars he attended were very informative and provided numerous important contacts.


## Mayor Taylor:

The Mayor reported on the following items:

- He reported on his attendance at the FCM Annual Conference which was held in Saskatoon May $31^{\text {st }}$ to June $4^{\text {th }}$ and advised that there was approximately 1700 people all lined up with the same message - the need for infrastructure within their communities. He commented that FCM staff could prove to be of help to our community with regard to infrastructure resources and further commented that the FCM would be closer to home next year as it is in Vancouver.
- He advised that there will be a Deer Count tomorrow morning at 5:00 am and further advised that the Deer Committee will be meeting at City Hall at 1:00 pm this Tuesday.
- He reported that the BEDC (Boundary Economic Development Committee) Regional meeting will be this Wednesday and advised that one of the topics up for discussion is on Regional Community Forests.

MOTION: O'DOHERTY / SMITH
RESOLVED THAT ALL REPORTS OF MEMBERS OF COUNCIL GIVEN VERBALLY AT THIS MEETING, INCLUDING MAYOR'S REGIONAL REPORT, BE RECEIVED.

CARRIED.

## REPORT FROM THE REGIONAL DISTRICT OF KOOTENAY BOUNDARY (VERBAL)

The minutes from April $26^{\text {th }}$, 2012 Regional District of Kootenay Boundary meeting are included in this report.

- The Mayor advised that the Regional District's new kitchen waste program will be going forward toward the next steps in providing this service to the City residents

MOTION: WYERS / O'DOHERTY
RESOLVED THAT THE MAYOR'S REPORT ON THE ACTIVITIES OF THE REGIONAL DISTRICT OF KOOTENAY BOUNDARY, GIVEN VERBALLY AT THIS MEETING, BE RECEIVED.

CARRIED.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FROM STAFF FOR DECISIONS:

a) Chief Administrative Officer's Report - Strategic Plan Goal Action

In accordance with the Strategic Plan which was adopted on Feb 20 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2012$, Staff was directed to evaluate tax incentive bylaws in other municipalities for fit with the City and report back to Council by the end of June, 2012

MOTION: SMITH / KROG
RESOLVED THAT THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER'S REPORT DATED JUNE $5^{\text {TH }}$, 2012, REGARDING THE ACTION, REQUIRING "STAFF TO EVALUATE TAX INCENTIVE BYLAWS IN OTHER MUNICIPALITIES FOR FIT FOR THE CITY AND REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL BY THE END OF JUNE, 2012", AS OUTLINED IN THE CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLAN 2012-2014, BE RECEIVED, AND REFERRED TO THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR FURTHER REVIEW AND DISCUSSION WITH DOWNTOWN PROPERTY OWNERS, AND REPORT BACK TO COUNCIL LATER THIS YEAR.

CARRIED.

## REQUESTS ARISING FROM CORRESPONDENCE:

## None

## INFORMATION ITEMS:

MOTION: O'DOHERTY / KENDEL
RESOLVED THAT INFORMATION ITEMS NUMBERED 10(a) TO 10(I)
BE RECEIVED AND ACTED UPON AS RECOMMENDED AND/OR AS AMENDED.
CARRIED.
a) Correspondence from the Boundary Museum - Acknowledging receipt of funding from the City. Recommend to receive for information.
b) Correspondence from Sylvia Treptow- Comments with regard to Stop the Violence Campaign. Recommend to receive for information.
c) Correspondence from Dr. Evan Wood - Information regarding the Stop the Violence BC. Recommend to receive for information.
d) Email correspondence from Sue Thomson - Comments with regard to Metal Hanging Baskets. Recommend to receive for information.
e) Sarah Unruh of 2012 Boundary Ladies Fastball Tournament - Requesting permission to sell liquor at Angus MacDonald Park for Fastball Tournament June $23^{\text {rd }}$ to $24^{\text {th }}, 2012$. Recommend that Council grants permission to sell liquor at the event.

## MOTION: O'DOHERTY / SMITH

RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL APPROVE THE ISSUING OF A SPECIAL OCCASION LIQUOR LICENSE TO THE BOUNDARY LADIES FASTBALL ORGANIZATION FOR THE EVENT FROM JUNE $23^{\text {RD }}$ TO $24^{\text {TH }}$, 2012, AT THE ANGUS MACDONALD PARK SUBJECT TO THE BOUNDARY LADIES FASTBALL ORGANIZATION OBTAINING $3^{\text {RD }}$ PARTY (PARTY ALCOHOL) LIABILITY INSURANCE, NAMING THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS AS AN ADDITIONAL INSURED ON THAT POLICY FOR THE TWO DAY EVENT; ALL LIQUOR PROVIDERS TO HOLD A SERVE-IT-RIGHT LICENSE CERTIFICATE; AND ICBC "DRINKING AND DRIVING" WARNING POSTERS TO BE DISPLAYED. CARRIED.
f) Grand Forks Flying Association COPA Flight 62 Insurance Coverage for the Grand Forks Flying Association - For the Grand Forks Flying Association "Airport Appreciation Day Fly-In" on August $2^{\text {th }}$, 2012. Recommend that Council grants permission to the Grand Forks Flying Association to hold an Airport Appreciation Day Fly-in on August $\mathbf{2 6}^{\mathbf{t h}}, \mathbf{2 0 1 2}$, at the Grand Forks Municipal Airport.

MOTION: WYERS / KENDEL
RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL AGREES TO OFFICIALLY SPONSOR AN AIRPORT APPRECIATION DAY FLY IN ON AUGUST $26^{\text {TH }}, 2012$ AS OUTLINED IN THE CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE GRAND FORKS FLYING ASSOCIATION COPA (CANADIAN OWNERS AND PILOTS ASSOCIATION) FLIGHT 62, AT THE GRAND FORKS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT.

CARRIED.
g) From BC Ideas - Introduction to an online site intended for the submission of ideas that address health, social and environmental changes. Recommend to receive for information.
h) From UBCM - In The House - Legislative Update. Recommend to receive for information.
i) From UBCM - Resolutions Deadline for UBCM. Recommend to receive for information - Council to note that the deadline for resolutions in June $30^{\text {th }}$, 2012.
j) May 28th Task List - List of Completed and In-Progress Tasks. Recommend to file.
k) Economic Development Committee Minutes - Minutes from April 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}, 2012$. Recommend to receive for information.
I) Economic Development Committee Minutes - Minutes from April 24 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2012$. Recommend to receive for information.

## BYLAWS:

None

## LATE ITEMS:

a) Correspondence from Mayor Lawrence D. Chernoff of Castlegar, with regard to the West Kootenay Regional Airport West Jet Services to West Kootenay request for letter of support.

MOTION: WYERS / SMITH
RESOLVED THAT THE MAYOR WRITE A LETTER OF SUPPORT TO MAYOR LAWRENCE D. CHERNOFF, AS CHAIR FOR THE WEST KOOTENAY REGIONAL AIRPORT ADVISORY COMMITTEE, WITH REGARD TO WEST JET SERVICES COMING TO THE WEST KOOTENAY REGION. CARRIED.

## QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC AND THE MEDIA:

LES JOHNSON - He reported that the U-Tube statistics for Council's Primary Committee Meeting from May $28^{\text {th }}, 2012$, indicates that 33 reviews have been received to date.

## ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION: O'DOHERTY
RESOLVED THAT THIS REGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL BE ADJOURNED AT 7:26 P.M. CARRIED.

## CERTIFIEDCORRECT:

MAYOR BRIAN TAYLOR
CORPORATE OFFICER- DIANE HEINRICH

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS

## SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL

 MONDAY, JUNE $11^{\text {TH. }}, 2012$PRESENT: MAYOR BRIAN TAYLOR COUNCILLOR BOB KENDEL COUNCILLOR NEIL KROG COUNCILLOR PATRICK O'DOHERTY COUNCILLOR GARY SMITH COUNCILLOR CHER WYERS<br>CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER L. Burch<br>CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER C. Arnott<br>CORPORATE OFFICER D. Heinrich

The Chair called this Special Meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

## IN-CAMERA RESOLUTION:

MOTION: SMITH / KROG
RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL CONVENE AN IN-CAMERA MEETING AS OUTLINED UNDER SECTION 90 OF THE COMMUNITY CHARTER TO DISCUSS MATTERS IN A CLOSED MEETING WHICH ARE THE SUBJECT OF SECTIONS 90(1) (a) PERSONAL INFORMATION ABOUT AN IDENTIFIABLE INDIVIDUAL WHO HOLDS OR IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR A POSITION AS AN OFFICER, EMPLOYEE OR AGENT OF THE MUNICIPALITY OR ANOTHER POSITION APPOINTED BY THE MUNICIPALITY; OF THE COMMUNITY CHARTER.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT PERSONS, OTHER THAN MEMBERS, OFFICERS, OR OTHER PERSONS TO WHOM COUNCIL MAY DEEM NECESSARY TO CONDUCT CITY BUSINESS, WILL BE EXCLUDED FROM THE IN-CAMERA MEETING.

CARRIED.

## ADJOURNMENT:

MOTION: KENDEL
RESOLVED THAT THIS SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL BE ADJOURNED AT 6:01 P.M.

CARRIED.

## CERTIFIED CORRECT:

## THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION

DATE June 18 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2012$<br>TOPIC : Reports, Questions and Inquiries from the Members of Council<br>PROPOSAL : Members of Council May Ask Questions, Seek Clarification and Report on Issues

## PROPOSED BY : Procedure Bylaw / Chief Administrative Officer

## SUMMARY:

Under the City's Procedures Bylaw No. 1889, 2009, the Order of Business permits the members of Council to report to the Community on issues, bring community issues for discussion and initiate action through motions of Council, ask questions on matters pertaining to the City Operations and inquire on any issues and reports.

## STAFF SUGGESTION FOR HANDLING QUESTIONS AND INQUIRIES: (no motion is required for this)

Option 2: Issues which seek information on City Operations or have been brought to the attention of the Members of Council prior to the meeting of Council should be referred to the Chief Administrative Officer so that Staff can provide background and any additional information in support of the issues and the member can report at the meeting on the issue including the information provided by Staff. Further the member may make motions on issues that require actions. It is in the interest of fiscal responsibility members may wish to avoid committing funding without receiving a report on its impact on the operations and property taxation.
OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:
Option 1: Submit a motion for Approval: Under this option, a member might wish to submit an immediate motion for expediency to resolve an issue or problem brought forward by a constituent. This approach might catch other members by surprise, result in conflict and might not resolve the problem. Option 2: Issues, Questions and Inquiries should be made with the intent to resolve problems, seek clarification and take actions on behalf of constituents. Everyone is well served when research has been carried out on the issue and all relevant information has been made available prior to the meeting. It is recognized that at times this may not be possible and the request may have to be referred to another meeting of Council.

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

Option 1: The main advantage of using this approach is to bring the matter before Council on behalf of constituents. Immediate action might result in inordinate amount of resource inadvertently directed without specific approval in the financial plan.
Option 2: The main advantage is that there is a genuine interest to resolve issues and seek clarifications without spending too much resources of the City. The disadvantage is that there may be issues brought forward which have no direct municipal jurisdiction, however, due to the motion of Council arising from the issue, resources are directed and priorities are altered without due process. COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:
Both options could result in expenditures being incurred as a result of a motion on an issue without supporting documentation and report on its implications.

## LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:

The Procedure Bylaw is the governing document setting out the Order of Business at a Council meeting.


Reviewéd by Chief Admínistrative Officer

## THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION

DATE : June $18{ }^{\text {th }}, 2012$
TOPIC : Report - from the Council's Representative to the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary
PROPOSAL : Regional District of Kootenay Director representing Council Will report on actions and issues being dealt with by the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary
PROPOSED BY : Procedure Bylaw / Council


#### Abstract

SUMMARY: Under the City's Procedures Bylaw No. 1889, 2009, the Order of Business permits the City's representative to the Regional District of Kootenay to report to Council and the Community on issues, and actions of the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary.


## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Option 1: Receive the Report.

## OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:

Option 1: Receive the Report: Under this option, Council is provided with the information provided verbally by the Regional District Director representing Council.
Option 2: Receive the Report and Refer Any Issues for Further Discussion or a Report: Under this option, Council provided with the information given verbally by the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary Director representing Council and requests further research or clarification of information from Staff on a Regional District issue

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

Option 1: The main advantage is that all of Council and the Public is provided with information on the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary.
Option 2: The main advantage to this option is the same as Option 1.

## COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:

There is no direct financial impact on the provision of information.

## LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:

The Procedure Bylaw is the governing document setting out the Order of Business at a Council meeting. Bylaw 1889, Council's Procedure Bylaw, was implemented in early February to include a specific line item in the Order of Business at a Regular Meeting to include a Report on the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary.


Department Head or Corporate Officer or Chief Administrative Officer


Reviewed by Chief Administrative Officer

# THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION 

DATE : June 21, 2012<br>TOPIC : Volunteer Appreciation Night<br>PROPOSAL : Call for Nominations - Policy \#204<br>PROPOSED BY : City Staff

## SUMMARY:

In August, 2009, Council adopted a policy outlining procedures, for the giving of recognition to a volunteer or a group of volunteers for service above and beyond of those generally performed in the Community. A copy of the policy is attached for reference. The policy outlines that prior to giving any recognition of volunteers; the City must call for nominations publicly in a Regular Meeting of Council and through the City's newsletter. There are no time frames attached to the policy. Staff is proposing that the Volunteer Appreciation Night be held on Thursday, October 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2012$. In this regard, it is being proposed that the Call for Nominations be announced publicly at the June 25, 2012 Regular Meeting. Nomination forms will be available at the City Hall reception desk. Completed nominations must be submitted to City Hall prior to Friday, August $24^{\text {th }}, 2012$. The venue for this event will be at Gallery 2 in the Heritage Courthouse building.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Option 1: Council provides notice to the public calling for nominations from the public for exceptional volunteer service in the City of Grand Forks in accordance with Council Policy \#204.

## OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:

Option 1: Council calls for nominations from the public for exceptional volunteer service in accordance with Council Policy \#204. This option will ensure that the public is notified that Council will receive nominations of individuals for volunteer recognition, in accordance with Council's policy. Option 2: Council declines to proceed with the proposed call for nominations. In declining to proceed with the call for nominations for exceptional volunteer service, Council will effectively be contravening their own policy.

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

Option 1: Proceeding with the public call for nominations, signals to the public that Council will publicly recognize individuals or groups of individuals who have gone above and beyond in serving our community as a volunteer. A further benefit to this option is the fact that Council is seen as acting on Council policy. There is no disadvantage to this option.
Option 2: Declining to call for nominations for volunteer recognition will contravene City Policy. Council may choose an alternative date if it is determined that the proposed date is not convenient for the majority of the Members of Council.

COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:
There are costs to hosting a Volunteer Appreciation night, which are normally budgeted for on an annual basis. Costs for this evening in the past number of years have averaged under $\$ 1,000$.

## LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:

Council Policy outlines the procedure for the recognition of special volunteers.


Department Head or Corporate Officer or Chief Administrative Officer


Reviewed by Chief Administrative Officer

## CITY OF GRAND FORKS

POLICY TITLE: Volunteer of the City POLICY NO: 204
EFFECTIVE DATE: August 17, 2009 SUPERSEDES:
APPROVAL: Council PAGE: 1 of 1

## POLICY:

Council may give recognition to a volunteer or a group of volunteers for service above and beyond of those generally performed in the Community.

## PROCEDURE:

When Council is giving consideration to the recognition to an individual or group, it shall make its deliberations "in camera" and when a decision to give recognition has been made, it shall then be announced by the Mayor at the Annual Community Volunteer Recognition Evening.

Further, when giving consideration to recognizing a special volunteer, Council may consider the following criteria:

- the individual or group should be honoured for its volunteer work in the City of Grand Forks or for volunteer work that has had an impact on the City of Grand Forks
- the individual or group should not have been paid or received any form of financial remuneration for the work or activity for which the volunteer is being considered
- The individual or group should have made a significant commitment to the community to be considered for the recognition.
- There is substantial support from the Community through letters of recommendations outlining the volunteerism history and the impact on the community.
- A special volunteer recognition may be given after the passing of the individual.
- $\quad$ Prior to giving any recognition of volunteer of the year, the City must call for nominations publicly in a Regular Council meeting and through the City's Newsletter.


## CITY OF GRAND FORKS

## EXCEPTIONAL VOLUNTEER SERVICE

## NOMINATION FORM

> PERSON /OR GROUP BEING NOMINATED (Please print clearly with correct spelling)

SHORT BIOGRAPHY OF THEIR VOLUNTEER SERVICES (which will be read that evening):
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
ARE THEY, HE, SHE ABLE TO ATTEND THE VOLUNTEER EVENING? $\qquad$

YOUR NAME OR GROUP: $\qquad$
PLEASE PROVIDE A PHONE NUMBER OR EMAIL ADDRESS THAT WE MAY CONTACT IN EVENT THAT YOUR NOMINATION IS ACCEPTED AS ONE OF THE AWARDS FOR THIS YEAR: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
All Nominations need to be submitted to City Hall by August $24^{\text {th }}, 2012$

# THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION 

DATE<br>TOPIC<br>: June 19, 2012<br>PROPOSAL<br>: Strategic Plan Goal Action<br>: Report on Action - Staff To Determine The Usability Of City Lands Through An Inventory By June 2012<br>PROPOSED BY : City Staff

## SUMMARY:

At the Regular Meeting of February $20^{\text {th }}, 2012$, Council adopted the Corporate Strategic Plan 20122014. The basis of the plan was developed during a $11 / 2$ day Workshop held on January $25^{\text {th }}$ and $26^{\text {th }}$, 2012, attended by Members of Council and Staff representatives. The report outlines 6 main strategic goals as well as several actions to accomplish these goals, 13 of which are identified to be completed in the year 2012. Three of these actions were to be completed by the end of June, 2012. These three actions are:

1. Staff to evaluate tax incentive bylaws in other municipalities for fit for the City and report back to Council by the end of June, 2012.
2. Staff to Determine the usability of City lands through an inventory by the end of June, 2012.
3. Develop a Strategy for Regional Service Integration.

This report is intended to focus on the Action "Staff To Determine The Usability Of City Lands Through An Inventory By June, 2012". The City owns numerous blocks of land within the municipal boundary. Most of these parcels are used for municipal purposes, such as parks, buildings and facilities, parking lots, roadways, trails, dykes and wet lands.

Attached to this report is a map identifying all city-owned lands. The colour of the identified parcel depicts the zoning of the property. The colour depicts city owned land which is zoned residential. Not all of this "residential" property is suited for residential development. For example the large block of property to the north and west of Riverside Drive area is actually Observation Mountain and is not suitable for development. The large block coloured red to the south is actually wet lands property and serves as the entrance to the Johnson Flats area. The yellow coloured parcels are commercial property. Again this zoning does not actually mean vacant commercial property for sale. There are some parcels in the downtown core which are actually parking lots and public buildings such as the library and the circuit court building. The colour is industrial property. For the most part these properties are the location of city services. For example in the south east corner of the municipality, the industrial lands are the location of the public works yard, the sewage treatment facility, the sewage lagoons, the chlorine chamber and a portion of the Trans Canada Trail. In the north east corner of the municipality, these lands are the location of the City's gravel pit, the slag pile properties and the remainder are hillside and not suitable for development. The grecin coloured parcels are Community Use properties and are generally the location of parks, playgrounds and the Evergreen Cemetery. The purble coloured properties are the Airport lands. The northeast portion of the Airport lands is the location of Vienna Woods which is not suitable for airport development.

Also attached to this report, is a spreadsheet identifying each city owned parcel, its location, the size, the zoning, and a general description of what it is used for.

## DISCUSSION:

There are a couple of note-worthy city-owned properties that are usable and marketable. On the map, in southwest corner of the municipality is block of property, zoned high density residential (coloured red on the map). This property is between Extra Foods and the Gables. It is 5.8 acres in size and is suitable for multi-family development. The land is surplus to the needs of the City. Newly developed $72^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue borders the north end of the property, $27^{\text {th }}$ Street is directly west, and to the south is $68^{\text {th }}$ Avenue.

In the same area, on the south side of Central Avenue, in the southwest corner of the municipality is block of property, zoned highway commercial. This property is directly east of Kal Tire. To the east is $25^{\text {th }}$ Street, to the south is $72^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue. This block of land is 2.7 acres and suitable for commercial development serving the travelling public, such as hotels, motels, restaurants, service stations, gas bars, convenience stores, retail sales, personal service establishments, etc. The land is surplus to the needs of the City.

To the south, in the very south west corner of the municipality is a large parcel of land, commonly known as Parcel Z. This parcel is zoned Community Use, which signals public facility or park use. This zoning was chosen as the site was once considered for the Fall Fair. The site is some 27 acres. The property has potential for different development, however there is an existing restrictive covenant on the property that advises that should the property be rezoned and developed as residential use, there will need to be constructed a vegetative buffer ( 100 meters deep) that will serve as a buffer between the developed property and the agricultural properties to the south, which are included within the Agricultural Land Reserve. This covenant was required by the Agricultural Land Commission and the vegetative buffer will need to be constructed to the Commission's standards and regularly maintained by the City. The fareast corner of Parcel Z is the home of Angus McDonald Park.

South of Angus McDonald Park are a number of small city owned lots, some of which are on the east side of Kettle River Drive and some on the west side of Kettle River Drive. The east side lots may be considered future residential properties, which the west side properties could be consolidated with the existing Parcel $Z$, or could even be considered as future park lands. The current zoning for all these identified properties is currently community use. Any future change of use, ie: residential, would require a zoning amendment. From the spreadsheet Council will note that there are a number of these small lots, but considering their small size, less than $1 / 4$ of an acre, lot consolidation would have to take place to render the property developable.

There are two residential view building lots on Columbia Drive \& McCallum View Drive. These lots are marketable however utilities would have to be extended. At one time, the City did advertise the lots for sale which did not result in any offers.

There are 2 smaller residential lots on the north west corner of $68^{\text {th }}$ Avenue and $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street. While both lots are undersized, if consolidated together, they may be a potential building lot. The marketability of this site is questionable as it is across $68^{\text {th }}$ Avenue from the Interfor sawmill.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Option 1: That the Chief Administrative Officer's Report, dated June 19, 2012, regarding the action, requiring "Staff To Determine The Usability Of City Lands Through An Inventory By June, 2012", as outlined in the Corporate Strategic Plan 2012-2014, be received and referred to the Economic Development Advisory Committee for information.

OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:
Option 1: That the Chief Administrative Officer's Report, dated June 19, 2012, regarding the action, requiring "Staff To Determine The Usability Of City Lands Through An Inventory By June, 2012", as outlined in the Corporate Strategic Plan 2012-2014, be received and referred to the Economic Development Advisory Committee for information: This option recognizes that Staff has reported out on the actions requested of them, and outlined in the Corporate Strategic Plan 2012-2014, and suggests that Council make the Economic Development Advisory Committee aware of this information when discussing economic development activities.

Option 2: Council receives the report for information. This option suggests that Council has received the report, as outlined in the 2012-2014 Corporate Strategic Plan, but has chosen not to pursue the initiative further at this time.

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

Option 1: The benefit of this option is that the report, outlining the responses to Council's requests outlined in the Corporate Strategic Plan, is provided, and the information will be shared with other groups.
Option 2: The benefit to this option is that Council has received the report as outlined in the Corporate Strategic Plan.

COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:
There is no direct cost in receiving this report.

## STRATEGIC PLAN IMPACT:

This report outlines Staff's investigation and reporting requirements outlined as an Action under the "Economic Development" Goal in the Corporate Strategic Plan 2012-2014, adopted by Council on February 20, 2012.

The next Action under Regional Services Integration is for the City to Develop a Strategy for Regional Service Integration by June 30, 2012. Council will need to determine how they plan to achieve this goal.

A further Action under the Economic Development Goal is due by July 31, 2012, which is for the CAO to refer the sub-regional economic development strategy to the Economic Development Advisory Committee for review and recommendations back to Council. This report, which was developed by the Economic Development Task Force during the 2008-2011 term has already been forwarded to the Economic Development Advisory Committee.

## LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:

Most municipal Councils meet at the beginning of their terms to outline their goals and objectives in a Strategic Planning session. This exercise is crucial as Council must, in accordance with the Community Charter outline their goals and objectives, and the measures that will be used to determine progress respecting those objectives, for the current and next year, in the Annual Municipal Report.


Reviewed by Chief Administrative Officer





|  | 268.000 | Lot 9 S31 DL 108 Plan 121 | W. $7^{\text {an }}$ St on Central (slough area) | 0.09 acres | CU | 10,100 | 37,300 | Environmentally sensitive area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 268.005 | Lot 10 831 DL 108 Plan 121 | W. $7^{\text {h }}$ St on Cantrai (slough area) | 0.09 acres | CU | 10,100 | 37,300 | Environmentally sensitive area |
|  | 268.010 | Lot 11 B31 DL 108 Plan 121 | $8^{\text {th }}$ Si (slough area) | 0.09 acres | CU | 10,100 | 37,300 | Environmentally sensitive area |
|  | 263.015 | Lot 12 B31 DL 108 Flen 121 | $8^{\text {th }}$ St (slough area) | 0.09 acres | CU | 10,100 | 37,300 | Environmentally sensitive area |
|  | 268.030 | Lot 13 331 DL 108 Plan 121 | $8^{\text {\% }} \mathrm{St}$ (slough area) | 0.082 acres | CU | 21,800 | 42,700 | Environmentally sensitive area |
|  | 268.040 | Lot 14\%31 DL 108 Plan 121 | $3^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ (slough area) | 0.082 acres | CU | 21,800 | 42,700 | Environmentally sensitive area |
|  | 284.005 | L.ot 1 DL 108/381/585 Plan KAP69199 | $7700-7^{\text {in }}$ Streyt (Fire Dept siorage barn) | 2.37 acres | R1 | 253,700 | 253,700 | Fire department storage |
|  | 349.000 | Lot 20 E38 DL 108 Plan 72 | $11^{\text {ih }}$ St behind Perley School | 0.121 acres | R1 | 6,100 | 6,100 | Used as lane access |
|  | 349.100 | Lot 21 B38 DL 108 Plan 72 | $11^{\text {th }}$ St behind Perley School | 0.121 acres | R1 | 6,900 | 6,100 | Used as lane access |
|  | 351.000 | Lst 23 338 DL 108 Plan 72 | $72^{\text {rid }}$ Ave behind Perley School | 0.023 acres | $R 1$ | 1,300 | 1,300 | Used as lane access |
|  | 353.000 | Lot 24 333 OL 103 Plan 72 | $72^{\text {nd }}$ Ave beinind Perley School | 0.023 acres | F1 | 1,300 | 1,300 | Used as lane access |
|  | 353.000 | Block B DL 381 Fian 72 | $12^{\text {h }}$ Street used as lane by high scinool | 0.216 acres | R1 | 100 | 100 | Used as lane access |
|  | 393.000 | Lot 1 B42 DL 102 Plan 72 | $11^{6 / 5}$ St $372^{\text {n/ }}$ Ave behind Farley School | 0.186 acres | R1 | 57,900 | 57,900 | Slough area/future road widening |
|  | 425.000 | Lot 7 E46 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park (BMX area) | 0.032 acres | CU | 4,200 | 4,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 428.500 | Lot 1 DL 108 Plan KAP62300 | City Park (BMXX track) | 0.279 acres | CU | 28,600 | 43,000 | Part of City Park |
|  | 428.550 | Lot 2 DL 108 Plan KAP62600 | City Park (BMX track) | 2.184 acres | CU | 113,000 | 170,000 | Part of City Park |
|  | 430.000 | Lot 1347 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Fark land | 0.083 acres | CU | 14,300 | 21,500 | Part of City Park |
|  | 430.010 430.020 | Lot $2 \mathrm{B47} \mathrm{DL} 108$ Pran 86 | City Park land | 0.083 acres | CU | 14,300 | 21,500 | Part of City Park |
|  | 430.020 | Lot 3 B47 DL $\frac{108 \text { Plan } 35}{108 \text { Plan } 96}$ | City Park land | 0.082 acres | CU | 14.200 | 21,300 | Part of City Park |
|  | 430.040 | Lot 4 B47 DL 108 Plan 86 | Clty Park lands | 0.082 acres | CU | 14,800 | 21,300 | Part of City Park |
|  | 430.050 | Lot 5 S47 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.081 acres | CU | 14,100 | 21,100 | Part of City Park |
|  | 430.060 | Lot 7 B47 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.081 acres | CU | 14,100 | 21,100 | Part of City Park |
|  | 430.070 | Lot 8347 DL. 108 Flan 85 | City Park lands | 0.08 acres | CU | 14,000 | 21,000 | Part of City Park |
|  | 431.000 | Lot 9 B47 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 21,000 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 431.010 | Lot 10 B47 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.026 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 431.020 | Lot 11 B47 DL 108 Fian 86 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 431.030 | Lot 12. B47 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 431.040 | Lot 13 B47 DL 103 Fian 86 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 432.000 | Lot 14 B47 DL 108 Plan 33 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 432.010 | Lot 15347 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 432.030 | Lot 17 B 477 DL 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  | 432.040 | Lot 18 B47 DL. 108 Plan 86 | City Park lands | 0.086 acres | Cu | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |
|  |  |  |  | 0.086 acres | CU | 14,800 | 22,200 | Part of City Park |




|  | 620.070 | Lot 881 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.088 acres | CU | 20,000 | 20,000 | Cemetery land |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 620.075 | Lot 9B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.072 acres | CU | 20,300 | 20,300 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.080 | Lot 10E1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 24,900 | 24,900 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.085 | Lot 11 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 820.090 | Lot 12 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 320.120 | Lot 13 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.125 | Let 14 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cometery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.130 | Lot 11 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.135 | Lot 16E1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.140 | Lot 17 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22.700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.145 | Lot 18 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 620.150 | Lot 19 B1 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.000 | Lot 1 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.005 | Lot 2 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cometery lands | 0.053 acros | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.010 | Lot 3 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cematery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.015 | Lot 4 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.020 | Lot 5 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.025 | Lot 6 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemotery lands | 0.083 acres | Cu | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.030 | L.ot 7 E2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | Cu | 22,700 | 22,700 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.035 | Lot 8 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemietery lands | 0.056 acres | CU | 26,500 | 26,500 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.040 | Lot 9 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.045 | Lot 10 B2 DL. 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.050 | Lot 11 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.055 | Lot 12 B2 DL 382. Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.060 | Lot $13 \mathrm{B2}$ DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.065 | Lot 14 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.070 | Lol 15 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.11 acres | CU | 30,300 | 30,300 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.075 | Lot 16 B 2 DL 382 Plan 2? | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cometery land |
|  | 621.080 | Lot 17 B2 DL 382 Flan 22. | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cometery land |
|  | -621.085 | Lot 18 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cerneterv lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.090 | Lot 19 E2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 321.095 | Lct 20 B2 DL 382 Plian 22 | Cemetary lands | 0.033 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 621.100 | L.ol 21 B2 DL 382 Plan 22 | Cometery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cernetery land |
|  | 021.105 | Lot 22 B2 DL 362 Plan 22 | Cemetery lands | 0.083 acres | CU | 23,000 | 23,000 | Cemetery land |
|  | 625.003 | Block 6, DL 382 Plan 22 | Donaldsoin Drive | 0.061 acres | R-1 | 500 | 500 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 625.005 | Block 6, DL382 Plan 22 | Donaldson Drive | 0.046 acres | R-1 | 300 | 300 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 625.017 | Lot 4 B6 DL 332 Pian 22 | Donaldson Drive | 0.103 acres | R-1 | 18,700 | 18.700 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 625.019 | Lot 5 B6 DL 382 Plan 22 | Donaldson Drive | 0.103 acres | R-1 | 18,700 | 18,700 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 325.021 | Lot 6 B6 DL 382 Plan 22 | Donaldson Drive | 0.11 acres | R R-1 | 19,100 | 19,100 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 625.023 | Lot 7 B6 DL 382 Plan 22 | Donaldson Dive | 0.112 acres | R-1 | 20,300 | 20,300 | Donaldson Drive Trail |




|  | 823.075 | Pt 8 B41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaldson Drive | 0.012 acres | R-1 | 100 | 100 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 823.080 | Pt 9 B41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaildson Drive | 0.017 acres | R-1 | 100 | 100 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 823.090 | Pt 10 E41 DL380 Plan 35 | Donaldson Drive | 0.022 acres | R-1 | 200 | 200 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 827.005 | Pt 11 B 41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaldsan Drive | 0.026 acres | R-1 | 200 | 200 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 827.015 | Pi 12 B41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaldson Drive | 0.03 acres | R-1 | 300 | 300 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 828.950 | Pt 13 E41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaldson Drive | 0.033 acres | R-1 | 300 | 300 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 82.9 .010 | Pt 14 B41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaldson Drive | 0.037 acres | R-1 | 300 | 300 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 829.015 | Pt 15 341 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaldson Drive | 0.039 acres | $\mathrm{R}-1$ | 300 | 300 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 829.020 | Pt 16 B41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Doneldson Drive | 0.041 acres | $\mathrm{R}-1$ | 400 | 400 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 829.060 | Pt 17 E41 DL 380 Plan 35 | Donaldson Drive | 0.044 acres | R-1 | 400 | 400 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
|  | 873.000 | Lot 2 DL 380 Plan KAP54909 | $19^{\text {th }}$ Street | 9.637 acres | CU | 480,400 | 434,400 | Skate board park/sanistation |
| 2 | 875.030 | Lot 2 DL 533 Plan KAP65880 | $68^{\text {B1 }}$ Ave $227^{\text {th }}$ St (east of Extra Foods) | 5.83 acres | R3 | 187,700 | 222,700 | Vacant multi-family lot |
| 3 | 375.055 | Lot A DL 520 Plan Kap33253 | Central Ave (by Kal Tira) | 2.767 acres | HC | 264,000 | 252,000 | Vacant highway commercial lot |
|  | 875.100 | Lot 1 DL 520 Flan KA? 63754 | 7101-25 ${ }^{\text {di }}$ Ave (the Gables) | 2.192 acres | R3 | 3,454,000 | 3,449,000 | City property leased to the Gables |
|  | 877.001 | Lot 3 DL 330/520 Plan 32149 | $72^{\text {nin }}$ Ave (bahind Dick Bartlett Park) | 5.016 acres | CU | 173,000 | 184,000 | Future School site |
|  | 879.005 | Road on Plan KAP642 3 DL 520 | Road connecting $25^{\text {h }}$ to $68{ }^{\text {ts }}$ Ave | 0.507 acres | R3 | 8,400 | 8,400 | Dedicated road |
|  | 830.005 | Lot ${ }^{\text {L DL } 330 / 520 ~ P l a n ~} 38774$ | 1924-75 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave (James Donaldson Park) | 4.549 acres | CU | 1,350,000 | 1,297,000 | Easeball pak |
|  | c01.000 | Lot 13 B43 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson \& $7^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 0.054 acros | R-1 | 2,425 | 2,425 | Access to McCallum View Drive |
|  | 901.005 | Lot 14, B43 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson \& $77^{\text {m }}$ Ave | 0.049 acres | R-1 | 2,600 | 2,600 | Access to NicCa!!!um View Drive |
|  | 901.010 | Lot 15 E43 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldison \& $777^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 0.045 acres | R-1 | 3,000 | 3,000 | Access to $20^{\text {th }}$ St from Donaldson Dr. |
|  | 901.015 | Lot 16 B43 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson \& $77^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 0.04 acres | R-1 | 3,400 | 3,400 | Access to $20^{\text {b }}$ St from Donaldson Dr. |
|  | 901.020 | Lot 17 B43 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson \& $77^{7 h}$ Ave | 0.035 acres | R-1 | 3,500 | 3,500 | Access to $20^{\text {th }}$ St from Donaldson Dr. |
|  | 901.025 | Lot 18 B43 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson $\frac{3}{} 77^{\text {th }}$ Avo | 0.078 acres | R-1 | 41,100 | 41,100 | Access to $20^{\text {lh }} \mathrm{St}$ from Donaldson Dr. |
|  | 912.000 | Lot 12345 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson \& 21* ${ }^{\text {a }}$ St juncture | 0.106 acres | R-1 | 4,800 | 4,800 | Donaldson \& $21^{\text {st }} \mathrm{St}$ junction |
|  | 912.005 | Lot 17 845 OL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson \& $21{ }^{\text {si }}$ ( | 0.049 acres | R-1 | 2,300 | 2,300 | Access to $20^{6 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{St}$ from Donaldson |
|  | 912.005 | Lot 17 B45 DL 520 Plan 83 Lot 18 B45 DL 520 Plan 83 | Donaldson \& $21^{\text {st }}$ | 0.054 acres | R-1 | 7.400 | 7,300 | Access to $20^{\text {ln }}$ St from Donaldson |
|  | 912.010 | Lot 18 B45 DL 520 Plan 33 | Donaldson \& 21* | 0.058 acres | R-1 | 2,600 | 2,600 | Access to $20^{1 \mathrm{~h}}$ St from Donaldson |


|  | 918.000 | Lot 32845 DL. 520 Plan 83 | Eetween $76{ }^{\text {tii }} 877^{\text {t/ }}$ Ave \& $21^{35}$ St | 0.072 acres | $\mathrm{R}-1$ | 3,300 | 3,300 | Road extension of 78 Avenue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 968.500 | Lot E B8 DL 520 Plan 1339 | 7700 Elock of $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street | 0.072. acres | R-1 | 3,300 | 3,300 | Road extension of $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue |
|  | 969.000 | Lot 9 E8 DL 520 Plan 1339 | 7700 Block of $2.2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street | 0.072 acres | R-1 | 6,400 | 6,400 | Road extension of $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue |
|  | 939.005 | Lot 10 B8 DL 520 Plan 1339 | 7700 Block of $22^{\text {nd }}$ Street | 0.072 acres | R-1 | 3,300 | 3,300 | Road extension of $78^{\text {th }}$ Avenue |
|  | 998.705 | Lot 1, DL 520, Plan KAP59893 | 8120 Donaldson Erive | 0.384 acres | 1-2 | 274700 | 267,500 | Leased to RDKB (S.P.C.A.) |
|  | 999.050 | DL 520 Plan B4206 | Contral Avenue | 0.548 acres | HC | 60,600 | 66,300 | Central Avenus expansion |
|  | 1088.055 | Lot 1 DL 380 Plan KAP83466 | Donaldson Drive (CPR trail) | 1.826 acres | R-1 | 100,000 | 123,000 | Donaldson Drive Trail |
| 1 | 1095.010 | Parial 7 DL 533 Plan 28940 | 2699-68 ${ }^{\text {Th }}$ Avenue | 27.108 acres | CU | 720,300 | 718,400 | Part Angus McDonald Ball Park |
| 1 | 1095.011 | Closed Rd DL 533 Plan '<AP77531 | $66^{\text {th }}$ Avenue south of $68^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 2.712 acres | CU | 106,000 | 106,000 | Vacant City property S. of $68^{\text {th }}$ Ave |
| 1 | 1105.000 | Lot 1 319 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.138 acres | CU | 26,900 | 26,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.005 | Lot 2 319 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {h }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.138 acres | CU | 42,600 | 42,600 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.015 | Lot 3510 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Parik | 0.138 acres | CU | 42,600 | 42,000 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.020 | Lot 4 319 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {fi }}$ Ave south of Arpus MacDonald Park | 0.138 acres | CU | 42,600 | 42,600 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.025 | Lot 5 B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $07^{\text {ii }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.126 acres | CU | 42,200 | 42,200 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.028 | Lot 6 B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{67}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.062 acres | CU | 22,000 | 22,000 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.031 | Lot 7 B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.033 acres | CU | 14,000 | 14,000 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.035 | Lot 8-9 B19 DL 533 Plan 69 (part ralway) | $67^{\text {h }}$ Ave south of Angus MiacDonald Park | 0.031 acres | CU | 7,900 | 7,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.040 | L.ot 8, 319 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus Macionald Park | 0.049 acres | CU | 8,000 | 8,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.050 | Lot 9, B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.075 acres | CU | 15,900 | 15,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.055 | Lot 10, B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {ti }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.087 acres | CU | 17,900 | 17,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.060 | Lot 11, B19 DL 533 Flan 69 | $67^{\text {ih }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.087 acres | CU | 17,900 | 17,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | $\frac{1105.035}{1105.070}$ | Lot 12, B19 DL 533 Flan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.087 acres | CU | 17,900 | 17,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.070 | Lot 13, B19 D- 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {in }}$ Ave south of Anqus MacDonald Park | 0.087 acres | CU | 17,900 | 17,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.075 | Lot 14, B19 DL 533 P Plan 69 | $67^{* i 1}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.087 acres | CU | 14,900 | 14,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.080 | Lot 15, B19 DL. 533 Pian 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.087 acres | CU | 14,900 |  |  |
| 1 | ¡105.090 | Lot 13, B19 DL 533 Plan 69 |  | 0.087 acres | CU | $\frac{14,900}{27,000}$ | 14,900 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.095 | Lot 17, B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {¢ }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.072 acres | CU | 15,400 | 15,400 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.100 | Lot 17, B19 DL 533 Plan 69 (part railway) | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave souih of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.033 acres | CU | 15,400 | -8,400 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.110 | Lot 18, E19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {Th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.019 acres | CU | 6,500 | 6,500 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.120 | Lot 19, B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Annus MacDonald Park | 0.078 acres | CU | 27,400 | 27,400 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.130 | Lot 20. B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonaid Park | 0.138 acres | CU | 42,600 | 42,600 | Vacant City property |
| 1 | 1105.140 | Lot 21, B19 DL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.138 acres | CU | 42,600 | 42,600 | Vacant City property |


| 1 | 1105.150 | Lot 22, 319 CL 533 Plan 69 | $67^{\text {din }}$ Avo south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.138 acres | CU | 42,600 | 42,600 | Vacant City property |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1107.100 | Lot 4-5 B2.0 DL 533 Phan 69 (part railway) | $66^{\text {th }}$ Ave south of Angus MacDonald Park | 0.013 acras | R-4 | 52,800 | 4,800 | Vacant City property |
|  | 1125.000 | Lot 23 B2 DL 533 Plan 67 | End of $19^{\text {mh }}$ St | 0.191 acres | F-4 | 14.000 | 14,000 | Cooper bridge access road |
|  | 1135.000 | Lot 19 B4 DL 533 Plan 67 | End of $19^{\text {dit }}$ St (across rocid of above) | 0.172 acres | R-4 | 11,200 | 11,200 | Future access into Johnson Flats |
|  | 1135.020 | Lot 20 E4 DL 533 Plan 67 | End of $19^{\text {84 }} \mathrm{Si}$ | 0.183 acres | R-4 | 11,500 | 11,500 | Future access into Johnson Flats |
|  | 1136.001 | Lot 21 B4 DL 533 Plan 67 | W end of $13^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | 0.172 acres | R-4 | 33,700 | 33,700 | Future access into Johnson Flats |
|  | 1136.020 | Lot 22 B4 DL 533 Plan 67 | W end of $18^{\text {bin }}$ St | 0.172 acres | R-4 | 36,000 | 36,000 | Future access into Johnson Flats |
|  | 1138.000 | Pt Lot 24-25 84 DL 533 Plan 67 | 1844-60 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | 0.172 acres | R-4 | 45,000 | 45,000 | Acquired by tax sale (LloydWalters) |
|  | 1156.000 | Lot 188 DL L 533 Plan 67 | $18^{\text {en }} \mathrm{St}$ (part of Cernetery lands) | 0.172 acres | CU | 49,500 | 49,500 | Cemetery lands |
|  | $\frac{1155.010}{1156.020}$ | Lot 2 B8 DL 533 Plan 67 | $18^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ (part of Cemetery lands) | 0.2 acres | CU | 32,100 | 32,100 | Cemetery lands |
|  | 1156.020 | Lot 3 B8 DL 533 Plan 67 | $18^{\text {fl }}$ St (part of Cemetery lands) | 0.175 acres | CU | 30,700 | 30,700 | Cemetery lands |
|  | 1156.030 | Lot 4 B8 DL 533 Plan 67 | $18^{\text {in }}$ S: (part of Cemetery lands) | 0.154 acres | CU | 29,000 | 29,000 | Cemetery lands |
|  | 1156.040 | Lot 588 DL 533 Plan 67 | $18^{\text {bh }} \mathrm{St}$ (part of Cemetery lands) | 0.336 acres | CU | 17,900 | 17,900 | Cemetery lands |
|  | 1163.000 | Lot 25 B8 DL 533 Plan 67 | Eend of $18^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$ | 0.172 acres | R-4 | 14,500 | 14,400 | Future access to $59^{\text {di }}$ Avenue |
|  | 1167.000 | DL 533 Pian DD63404F exc Plan 69 | Pt rail grade south $66{ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 3.076 acres | CU | 53,200 | 53,200 | Foot access to Kettle River swim area |
|  | 1235.000 | Lot 14 B3 DL 586 Plan 586 | $17^{\text {th }}$ St (slough) below McCallum View Dr | 1 acra | R-1 | 44,300 | 63,300 | Environmentally sensitive area |
|  | $\frac{1230.100}{1240.000}$ | Lot 4 DL 380 Plan KAF31706 | $77^{\text {dh }}$ Ave W of Phoenix Way | 1.443 acres | R-1 | 15,200 | 76,200 | Designated road |
|  | 1240.000 | Lot 3 S4 DL 330 Plan 535 | Columbia Dr \& McCallum View Dr | 1.52 acres | R-1 | 62.100 | 69,900 | Vacant city property |
|  | 1240.200 | Lot 434 DL 380 Plan 586 | Columbia Dr \&: McCallum View Dr | 1.45 acres | R-1 | 61,100 | 68,700 | Vacant city property |
|  | 1298.900 | Plan 312504 DL 380 | Closed road N. of $81^{35}$ Avenue | 6.08 acres | R-1 | 64,700 | 64,700 | Future bypass to $5^{\text {tr }}$ Street |
|  | 1328.000 | Parcel A DL 380 Plan ES037 | Est. a Public Hwy under H13892 (Central) | 0.051 acres | R-1 | 41,100 | 39,200 | Future highway expansion |
|  | 1342.000 | Lot 18 B3 DL 493 Plan 89 | Lot E. Granby Rd@ Victoria Way | 0.079 acres | R-1 | 3,900 | 3,900 | Vacant city property on Granby River |
|  | 1355.000 | Lot 19 B3 DL 493 Plan 89 | Lot E. Granby Rd@ Victoria Way | 0.079 acres | R-1 | 3,900 | 3,900 | Vacant city property on Granby River |
|  | 1355.020 | Lot 12 B12 DL 493 Plan 33 | Bluff St below Valley Hgts subeivn | 0.243 acres | R-1 | 8,000 | 8,000 | Steep hillside |
|  | 1355.040 | Lot 13 B12 DL 493 Plan 33 | Bluff St below Valley Hets subdvn | 0.264 acres | R-1 | 8,100 | 8,100 | Steep hillside |
|  | 1385.000 | Parcel 2 DL 493 Plan B3475 | Highway \% ${ }^{\text {j }} 3$ East | 0.287 3.3 acres | R-1 | 8,200 | 8,200 | Steep hillside |
|  | 1366.130 | Lot 26 DL 493 Plan $28 \div 28$ | Reservoir area Valley rigts Dr | 3.3 acres | R-4 | 19,500 | 19,500 | Weicome to GF sign/hillside |
|  | 1366.190 | Lot 36 DL 493 Plan $28^{\prime \prime} 128$ | Between Maple St \& Valley Higts Dr | 1.29 acres | R1A | 72,800 16,200 | 72,800 | Valley Heights raservoir |


| 5 | 1369.000 | Flan B5052 DL 493 | S. Valley Higts subdvn (motorcross area) | 39.17 acres | R-4 | 265,000 | 265,000 | Part of mountain by old motorcross araa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1370.000 | Plan 82093 DL 494. | Granby Road | 129.4 acres | R-4 | 388,000 | 511.000 | Mountain |
|  | 1371.000 | DL 195 | Granby Road | 0.5 acres | R-4 | 16,700 | 18,100 | Pumphouse on Granby Rd |
|  | 1373.700 | Lot 1, DL 495 Plan KAP71087 | Old Granby Townsite on Granby Rd | 42.75 acres | $1-3$ | 167,000 | 167,000 | Old Granby townsite/mountain |
|  | 1374.100 | Lol 1 DL 495 Pian 27381 | Slag piles on Granby Rd | 12.9 acres | 1-4 | 59.700 | 59,700 | Slag piles on Granby Road |
|  | 1374.200 | Lot 2 DL 495 Plan 27331 | Slas piles on Granby Rd | 74.51 acres | $1-4$ | 63,300 | 63,300 | Slag piles on Granby Road |
|  | 1374.300 | Lot 3 Di. 495 Plan 27381 | Slag piles on Granby Rd | 1.45 acres | 1-4 | 49,300 | 49,300 | Slag piles on Granby Road |
|  | 1374.400 | Lot 4 DL 495 Pian 27381 | Slag piles on Granby Rd | 10.03 acres | 1-4 | 68,700 | 68,700 | Slag piles on Granby Road |
|  | 1375.030 | Plan 5597A DI. 1623 | Granby Rd | 2.11 acres | 1-3/1-4 | 160,000 | 160,000 | Property north of landfill |
|  | 1375.040 | Plan 5597A DL 1623 | Granby Rd | 2.58 acres | 1-3 | 49,000 | 184,000 | Property nortit of slag piles on river |
|  | 1377.000 | Plan 40 DL 49.4951623 | 8978 Granby Road | 362.6 acres | 1-3:1-4 | 603,000 | 603,000 | Mountain/gravel pit |
|  | 1381.000 | Paicel A DL 653 Filan B6075 | E Hwy 3 (Morrissey Ck Rd) | 1.052 acres | R-4 | 93,000 | 93,000 | Mountain side |
|  | 1408.005 | Lot A DL 382 Plan 30036 | 6S23-10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street | 0.372 acres | R-1 | 63,200 | 63,200 | Community garden in Ruckles |
| 6 | 1445.000 | Lot 1812 DL 534 Plan 36 | $2^{\text {nd }}$ St \& $68^{\text {in }}$ Ave | 0.076 acres | R-1 | 21,500 | 21,500 | Vacant property |
| 6 | 1445.100 | Lot 2 B12 DL 534 Plan 36 | $2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{St} ~ \& 68{ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 0.076 acres | R-1 | 21,500 | 21,500 | Vacant property |
|  | 1494.050 | Lot 2 LL 534 Plan 43597 | $68^{\text {b/ }}$ Ave S $4^{\text {Hh }}$ St on part of sawmill land | 0.273 acres | 1-2 | 17,800 | 26,900 | Electrical sub-station |
|  | 1568.000 | Lot 2 E38 DL 534 Plan 108 | Used as access road to south ruckle/ind way | 0.381 acres | R-1 | 7,500 | 7.500 | Non-gazetted road |
|  | 1568.100 | Lot 3 B 38 DL 534 Plan 108 | Used as access road to south ruckle/ind way | 0.351 acres | R-1 | 59,600 | 59,600 | Non-gazetted road |
|  | 1597.000 | DL 534 Plan 12184 | Closed Rd $2^{\text {ra }}$ St \& 68 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave | 0.129 acres | l-2 | 12,700 | 22,900 | Never registerd/need as part of 68th |
|  | 1600.051 1600.052 | Parcel E DL 534 Plan KAP66797 | $65^{\text {³ }}$ Ave easement over Parcel E (Roxul) | 0.223 acres | 1-2 | 15,100 | 28,500 | Rail access over city easement |
|  | 1600.052 1600.053 | Farcel F DL 534 Plan KAP63797 | $65^{\text {th }}$ Ave easement over Parcel F (Roxul) | 0.444 acres | 1-2 | 18,200 | 34,400 | In transfer negotiations with Roxul |
|  | 1600.053 | Parcal G DL 534 Plan KAP66797 Parcel H DI 53A Fan KAF'6797 | $65^{\text {th }}$ Ave easement over Parcel G (Roxul) | 0.027 acres | $1-2$ | 12,200 | 25,200 | In transfer negotlations with Roxul |
|  | 1600.054 | Parcel H DI 53A Flan KAF'6797 | $65^{\text {T }}$ Ave easement over Parcel H (Roxul) | 0.318 acres | $1-2$ | 15,800 | 29,800 | In transfer negotiations with Roxul |
|  | 1600.151 | Parcel A DL 534 Plan KAP66797 | 65 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Ave easement (Roxul) | 0.128 acres | \|-2 |  |  |  |
|  | 1600.152 | Parcel D DL 534 Plan KAP66797 | $65^{\text {th }}$ Ave easernent (Roxul) | 0.107 acres | $\frac{1-2}{1-2}$ | 149,100 2,600 | $\frac{137,700}{5,000}$ | Chiorine contact chamber |
|  | 1601.100 | Lot 1 DL 534 Plan KAP67972 | Sewage Lagoon property | 9.513 acres | 1-2 | 1,488,000 | 1,541,000 | City right of way to chamber Sewage lagoon |
|  | $\underline{1602.000}$ | Lot 5 DL 534 Plan 17928 | Property E Sewage Lagoon on Kettle River | 3.514 acres | 1-2 | 180,100 | 194,100 | Sewage discharge shack |
|  | 1607.000 | Lot 9 UL 534 Plan 17928 | $65^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | 4.571 acres | 1-2 | 133,000 | 157,000 | E. Sewago Lagoon on Ḱettle River |


|  | 1611.100 1611.200 | Lot 1 DL534 Plan KAP86416 | City Whs area (Roxul lease lot) | 1.5 acres | 1-1 | 88,400 | 109,000 | Roxul leases for truck storage area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1611.200 | Lot 2 Dl 534 Plan KAP36416 | 165 Industrial Drive | 3.11 acres | I-1 | 149,000 | 165,000 | WWT Plant - |
|  | 1611.300 | Lot 3 LLS34 Plan KAP36416 | City Wks area (DCChambers lease Iot) | 1.06 acres | I-1 | 68,000 | 85,500 | DCChambers lease for truck storage |
|  | 1611.400 | Lot 4 DL534 Plan KAP86416 | 130 Industrial Drive | 8.99 acres | 1-1 | 2,536,000 | 2,568,000 | City works yard area and building |
|  | 161í500 | Lot 5 LL534 Plan KA.P86416 | Sagamore Avenue | 3.7 acres | I-1 | 165,000 | 184,000 | Industrial proparty on Coronation Place |
|  | 1612.600 | Plan 1457 DL 534 Am Lot 3 DL 534 Plan 21622 | Southeast comer of Sagamora \& $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street | 0.065 acres | 1-1 | 6,500 | 14,500 | Designated park area and roadway |
| 4 | -1621.000 | $\frac{\text { Am Lot } 3 \text { DL } 534 \text { Plan } 21622}{\text { Lot } 1 \text { DL } 534 \text { Flan } 27119}$ | Property around the airport | 6.14 acres | AP | 232,000 | 279,000 | Future airpori expansion |
|  | 1325.200 | Lot 1 DL 534 Flan 27119 | Birch Street (airport) | 3.193 acres | $A P$ | 91,100 | 100,000 | Future airport expansion |
|  | 1626.000 | Lot 1 DL 534 Plan 20731 | Property around air | 1.91 acres | $\frac{A P}{A P}$ | 104,000 | 127,000 | Future airport expansion |
|  | 1626.025 | DL 534 Plan M1245\% | Closed Rd at E. end of runway (Birch Rd) | 0.75 acres | AP | 51,400 | 70,500 | Part of runway |
|  | 1627.000 | Lot 2, DL 534 Plan 18181 | 5980-2 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ St (Airport Terminal bldg) | 44.50 acres | AP | 4,031,000 | 3,934,000 | Airport terminal and hangar sites |
|  | 1628.000 | Lot 3 DL 534 Plan 8735 | E. end of runway | 1.07 acres | $A P$ | 68,300 | 64,400 | Runway |
|  | 1629.000 | Lot 4 DL 534 Plan 8735 | E. end of runway | 2.4 acres | $A P$ | 125,000 | 109,000 | Runway |
|  | 1630.000 | Lot 5 DL 534 Plan 8735 N 1/2 | E. end of runway | 1.43 acres | AP | 83,600 | 77,500 | Runway |
|  | 1631.000 | Lot 5 DL 534 Plan 8735 S 1/2 | E. end of runway | 0.50 acres | AP | 36,000 | 42,900 | Runway |
|  | 1632.000 | Lot 6 DL 534 Plan 8735 | $E$. end of runway | 0.48 acres | $A P$ | 34,700 | 42,100 | Runway |
|  | 1633.000 | Lot 2 DL. 534 Plan 2731 | E. end of runway | 3 acres | AP | 145,000 | 121,000 | Runway |
|  | 1634.000 | Lot 3 DL. 534 Plan 2731 | $E$. end of runway | 1 acre | AP | 65,300 | 82,500 | Runway |
|  | 1700.102 | Dedicated park on Plan KAPC0309 | North end of Riverside Drive | 0.358 acres | R-1 | 46,600 | 46,600 | Designated trail along Granby River |

N:planning/city owner property/city owned property listing 2012

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS

## COUNCIL INFORMATION SUMMARY

 FOR JUNE $25^{\text {TH }}, 2012$Date: June 19 th 2012
Agenda: June 25th, 2012
Proposal: To Receive the Items Summarized for Information
Proposal By: Staff

## Staff Recommendation:

That Information Items numbered 12(a) to 12(k) be received and acted upon as recommended.

|  | ITEM | SUBJECT MATTER | RECOMMENDATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORRESPONDENCE TO/FROM MAYOR AND COUNCIL |  |  |  |
| 12(a) | Thank-you letter from BC Senior Games | For Council's donation to the Zone 6 participants | File |
| 12(b) | From Selkirk College Kid's Science Camp | Asking for financial support of $\$ 300.00$ | Refer to Staff to work with the College to provide "in-kind" support to the Science Camp in lieu of funding request |
| 12(c) | Thank-you Letter from Kettle Valley Food Co-op | For $\$ 100$ sponsorship in their April workshop \& to Councillor Krog who participated in the event | File |
| 12(d) | From FCM regarding Lift Station Heat Exc System | Advising of remittance of final payment in regard to the Green Municipal Fund Study Grant Agreement | Receive for information |
| 12(e) | Canada Day Parade Information | Invitations to various business to participate | Receive for information - Deadline to enter was June $20^{\text {th }}, 2012$ |
| 12(f) | Canada Day Committee Request | For Council participation in opening ceremonies at 11:00 and cutting of the cake at 12:30 | Council to advise who will be attending. The Mayor is emceeing |
| 12(g) | Correspondence from Derrald Thompson regarding Riverside Park (his old swimming hole) | Regarding his offer to cover the costs of supplying \& placing two benches and memorabilia | To refer to Staff to write a report and bring to Council for consideration |
| CORRESPONDENCE TOIFROM STAFF |  |  |  |
| 12(h) | Statement of Financial Information (SOFI) | Request for approval of the statements and schedules included in the Statement of Financial Information produced under the Financial Information Act | Council to receive the Chief Financial Officer's Report. Council further approves the statements and schedules included in the Statement of Financial Information for the City of Grand Forks as at December 31st, 2011, as attached. |
| 12(i) | Correspondence from Phoenix Mountain Alpine Ski Society | Requesting permission to operate a Beer Garden at James Donaldson Park for July $1^{\text {st }}$ Canada Day Event | Council approves the issuing of a special occasion liquor license to the Phoenix Mountain Alpine Ski Society for a Beer Garden on July $1^{\text {st }}, 2012$ from 6:00 PM to 11PM for Canada Day at James Donaldson Park, subject to |

## COUNCIL INFORMATION SUMMARY

|  |  |  | the Phoenix Mountain Alpine Ski Society obtaining $3^{\text {rd }}$ party (party alcohol) liability insurance, naming the City of Grand Forks as an additional insured on that policy for the event; all liquor providers to hold a serve-it-right license certificate; and ICBC "drinking and driving" warning posters to be displayed. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| GENERAL INFORMATION |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| FEDERAL AND PROVINCIAL GOVERNMENT |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| INFORMATION FROM UBCM/FCM/AKBLG |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| MINUTES FROM OTHER ORGANIZATIONS |  |  |  |
| 12(j) | Canada Day Committee Minutes | From June $13^{\text {th }}$, 2012 | Receive for information |
| 12(k) | June $11^{\text {th }}$ Task List | List of Completed and InProgress Tasks | File |

# KOOTENAY BOUNDARY ZONE 6 

Kathy Gregory, Corresponding Secretary
99 - 825 Merry Creek Road Castlegar, B.C., V1N 2P1

2503651802
June 8, 2012

## The City of Grand Forks

Box 200
Grand Forks, B.C.
VOW 1H0

## Dear City Council

Your Official Income Tax receipt, if needed, will be forthcoming from the Provincial Treasurer of the 55 Plus BC Seniors Games. On behalf of all of the Zone 6 participants I wish to thank you for your generous donation of $\$ 400.00$. We wish to let you know how very much we appreciate your continued support of the Zone 6 Senior Games group.

Your donation will certainly help our efforts to encourage and increase participation in and preparation for the upcoming games in Burnaby.

You may keep informed of the Seniors Games information on the Web Site at www.bcseniorsgames.org.

With thanks,


Kathy Gregory
Corresponding Secretary, Zone 6

$$
\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
$$

June 13, 2012

Mayor Taylor and Council
The City of Grand Forks
Box 220, $72174^{\text {th }}$ Street,
Grand Forks, B.C.
VOH 1H0

Dear Mayor and Council,

Selkirk College - Grand Forks Campus - is proud to be hosting three Science Campus this year for children between the ages of 6-12 years.

With the support of the Kootenay Association of Science and Technology, and Community Futures Boundary, we've been able to secure a qualified instructor, two assistants, and have been busily working on developing curriculum.

In an effort to ensure that children from throughout the Boundary are able to access the camp, we've priced the camps very competitively and are hoping that all children are able to access the camps if they so wish.

As the camps are in their infancy, there are significant costs associated with purchasing materials, developing curriculum and marketing materials and creating a safe and interactive learning environment. In order to help offset costs, we are formally requesting your support financially in the amount of $\$ 300.00$. If you are unable to support this worthwhile endeavor financially, we welcome suggestions for your support via other means (in kind etc).

Your support will help to create a much needed fun, interactive learning environment in your own community. If the camps get off the ground this summer, we anticipate being able to run them for years to come.

Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Kettle Valley Food Coop

## Box 207

Grand Forks, BC VOH 1H0

RECEIVED
JUN : 8 8 2012
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS

4 June 2012

City of Grand Forks
Box 220
Grand Forks BC V0H 1H0


Attn: His Worship Brian Taylor; Councillor Neil Krog

Dear Mr. Mayor,

Thank you to the City for your generous Sponsorship of $\$ 100.00$ in support of our April Workshop: Marketing - A Community Approach at which Councillor Neil Krog was a welcome participant.

The Kettle Valley Food Co-op (KVFC) had a successful workshop which focused on local marketing challenges, strategies and tactics. It was a success in large part to the great community support and to the fact that participation by representatives of many area organizations and key individuals made it a great networking opportunity and discussion forum. The City's sponsorship of $\$ 100$ certainly played a key part in the workshop's success-as we could provide the 30 participants of this all-day workshop with a tasty and nutritional lunch, as well as healthy nutritional breaks in a fitting venue.

At the workshop-the City of Grand Forks was thanked from the podium at both the morning and afternoon sessions for their support, and was thanked publically in our KVFC newsletter "The Edible Kettle" which goes out weekly to all our Coop members.

We appreciate your support of the Kettle Valley Food Coop, and trust that we can serve one another in a co-operative manner as we interact in future endeavours.

With thanks


Nancy Nikolai
KVFC Project Coordinator nancy.nikolai@gmail.com
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Ottawa, Ontario
Mailing address/
Adresse postal
T. 613-241-5221
F. 613-244-1515 www.fern.ca

His Worship Mayor Brian Taylor and Members of Council
City of Grand Forks
7217- 4th Street
P.O. Box 220

Grand Forks, BC
VOH 1 HO
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Project Title: } & \text { City of Grand Forks Lift Station Heat Exchange System } \\ \text { Application Number: } & \text { GMF } 9643\end{array}$

## Dear Mayor Taylor and Members of Council:

We would like to inform you that a payment was made from FCM to the City of Grand Forks in the amount of $\$ 21,250$. This amount constitutes payment for the second and final contribution in regard to the Green Municipal Fund Study Grant Agreement for the project mentioned above.

The FCM is grateful to the City of Grand Forks for its initiative and its partnership with the Green Municipal Fund.

Yours sincerely,


Brock Carlton Chief Executive Officer

BC:vl

$$
\text { WE:, } \frac{\sqrt{2} \text {-Chr of EF LIFT Sintion }}{(\text { Hent Exchanger System }}
$$

From: ${ }^{1}$ "YOUR DOLLAR STORE 180" [yds180@telus.net](mailto:yds180@telus.net) Mon, Jun 11, 2012 3:06:43 ...
Subject: canada day parade
To: $\quad$ "'4H"' [boundarybitandbridle@live.ca](mailto:boundarybitandbridle@live.ca) ${ }^{\text {B }}$ "'ABH CARS"' [abhcars@shaw.ca](mailto:abhcars@shaw.ca) "'ARTS COUNCIL"' [info@boundary-arts.ca](mailto:info@boundary-arts.ca)
'"ATV club"' [mckinnondave@gmail.com](mailto:mckinnondave@gmail.com)
E"'bonny"' [daveandbonny@gmail.com](mailto:daveandbonny@gmail.com) 型 "'Border Bruins"' [paval@telus.net](mailto:paval@telus.net)

## B. "'Bron and Sons"' [edbron@bronandsons.com](mailto:edbron@bronandsons.com) <br> JUN 122012 <br> "'CIBC"' [susan.harris@cibc.com](mailto:susan.harris@cibc.com) BInfo City of Grand Forks

हl "'Clydes"' [steve@clydes.pub.com](mailto:steve@clydes.pub.com) "' "curves"' [curvgf@telus.net](mailto:curvgf@telus.net)
F "'Dan Barrett"' [danjbarrett@live.ca](mailto:danjbarrett@live.ca) 3|"'dog sleds"'
"'EDITOR GAZZETTE"' [editor@grandforksgazette.ca](mailto:editor@grandforksgazette.ca) ${ }^{1}$ "'FALL FAIR PRESIDE...
["'figure skate"' [muchmom@msn.com](mailto:muchmom@msn.com) "'Flexus"' [flexus@shaw.ca](mailto:flexus@shaw.ca)
"'FOOD BANK"' [boundaryfoodbank@gmail.com](mailto:boundaryfoodbank@gmail.com)
F "'GAZZETTE"' [advertising@grandforksgazette.ca](mailto:advertising@grandforksgazette.ca)


Bmacgregor@grandforks.ca ."'GF Library" [hbuzzell@gfpl.ca](mailto:hbuzzell@gfpl.ca)
"'GFDSCU"' [asoukoreff@gfdscu.com](mailto:asoukoreff@gfdscu.com) [贯 "'gfi"'
B "'GFI"' [joanhthomas@hotmail.com](mailto:joanhthomas@hotmail.com) '"Girl Guides"' [restavenjord@telus.net](mailto:restavenjord@telus.net) "'Happy Days donuts"' [|m_byrd@hotmail.com](mailto:%7Cm_byrd@hotmail.com) "I "'JD"' [jdtowing@shaw.ca](mailto:jdtowing@shaw.ca) "'Jelly Beans Icecream"' [nrobrien@yahoo.ca](mailto:nrobrien@yahoo.ca) [1] "'kbs"' [jscheel@astral.com](mailto:jscheel@astral.com) "'Lodge"' [boundarylodge@shaw.ca](mailto:boundarylodge@shaw.ca)
"'Minor Hockey"' [stephanievautour@telus.net](mailto:stephanievautour@telus.net)
"'Mountian FM"' [christines@mountianfm.net](mailto:christines@mountianfm.net)
"'Museum"' [boundarymuseum@shaw.ca](mailto:boundarymuseum@shaw.ca)
"'NSP TRAVEL"' [nsptravel@telus.net](mailto:nsptravel@telus.net) 3 "'Pipe Band'" <'bmcgibbon@xplornet.c...
"'Red Hatters"' [pjura@telus.net](mailto:pjura@telus.net) ["'Roxul"' [peter.regenberg@roxul.com](mailto:peter.regenberg@roxul.com)
"'search and rescue'" [barrysav@telus.net](mailto:barrysav@telus.net) "'selkirk"' [akinakin@selkirk.ca](mailto:akinakin@selkirk.ca)
"'Shriners"' [pappat118@gotsky.com](mailto:pappat118@gotsky.com) ${ }^{3}$ "'shriners'" [patpat119@gotsky.com](mailto:patpat119@gotsky.com)
"'Station Pub"' [mrpidd@shaw.ca](mailto:mrpidd@shaw.ca) El"'TEACHERS ASSOC"' [lp51@bcft.ca](mailto:lp51@bcft.ca)
"'Theatre"' [gemtheatre@shaw.ca](mailto:gemtheatre@shaw.ca) 81"'Toy Shack"' [foundations@telus.net](mailto:foundations@telus.net)
"'tractors"' [kaylines1@gmail.com](mailto:kaylines1@gmail.com) B"'Unifab"' [kevin@unifab.ca](mailto:kevin@unifab.ca)
"'1"' [info@westerntraveller.ca](mailto:info@westerntraveller.ca) 5 "'10"' [info@newhorizonmotel.com](mailto:info@newhorizonmotel.com)
"'11" [johnnysmotel@shaw.ca](mailto:johnnysmotel@shaw.ca) " "12"' [villagerestaurant@shaw.ca](mailto:villagerestaurant@shaw.ca)
"'13"' [clresort@shaw.ca](mailto:clresort@shaw.ca) 핀"14"' [cascadecovervpark@hotmail.com](mailto:cascadecovervpark@hotmail.com)
"'15"' [bluemountainlodge@shaw.ca](mailto:bluemountainlodge@shaw.ca)
"'16"' [reservations@campbeverlyhills.ca](mailto:reservations@campbeverlyhills.ca) |3"17"' [jnallach@hotmail.ca](mailto:jnallach@hotmail.ca)
"'18"' [info@christinalakemotorinn.com](mailto:info@christinalakemotorinn.com)
"'2"' [stay@thevictorianmotelandrvpark.com](mailto:stay@thevictorianmotelandrvpark.com) " "'3"' [totemmo@shaw.ca](mailto:totemmo@shaw.ca)
"'4"' [skandscourt@shaw.ca](mailto:skandscourt@shaw.ca) F "'5"' [theobriens3@shaw.ca](mailto:theobriens3@shaw.ca)
"'6"' [ecampbell@shaw.ca](mailto:ecampbell@shaw.ca) 7in "'7" [riviera@telus.net](mailto:riviera@telus.net)
"'8"' [riversidemotel@telus.net](mailto:riversidemotel@telus.net) "'9"' [info@parklane-resort.com](mailto:info@parklane-resort.com)

Attach0.html
Canada day 2012 parade.doc 47K
canaday day poster start 2012.doc 20K
parade as well as the route map.
We also encourage everyone to play music on their float as well lets make it more festive
We will have a free water station half way thru the route compliments of Coke and Your Dollar store with more for all parade participations Cheers
Chris-Anne

## YOU ARE INVITED TO JOIN IN

Who any business, group or organization
What Canada Day Parade
Theme Queen's Diamond Jubilee
When July 1, 2012
Where LEGION 7353 6th St
CONTACT INFORMATION

LOGISTICS
COORDINATORS

BUD ALCOCK
kettleriverfisher@gmail.com
CHRIS-ANNE
gfparades@gmail.com
250-442-2252 ph
$250+-442-3307$ fax

## Entry form for parade

- No fee is required for entering the parade, however an entry form must be filled out to participate deadline to enter is June 20, 2012
- PRIZE RIBBONS AWARDED FOR $1^{\text {ST }} \mathbf{2}^{\text {ND }}$ AND $3^{\text {RD }}$
- remember the theme
- Be creative
- Have fun

Please check all that applies:
$\square$ Walking group or individual
$\square$ Float
$\square$ Mounted and/or Horse Drawn; (must have Pooper scooper)
$\square$ with music $\square$ recorded $\square$ live
Name of firm/Organization/Individual:
Mailing address:
Contact person:
Email:
Phone:
Date: Signature
Print Name:

THIS YEARS THEME IS THE QUEEN'S DIAMOND JUBILEE<br>"HER MAJESTY" WILL ACCOMPANY US ON OUR JOURNEY THRU GRAND FORKS

# RECEIVED <br> JUN 112012 

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS


Committee
P.O. Box 2949

Grand Forks, BC
VO 1H0
June 6, 2012
Mayor Taylor \& Councillors Corporation of the City of Grand Forks Box 220
Grand Forks, BC VOH 1H0

Dear Mayor Taylor and Council,
Re: Grand Forks Canada Day Celebration


On behalf of the Canada Day Committee, I am writing to invite you to participate in the Opening Ceremonies for the Grand Forks Canada Day Celebrations to be held in Gyro Park on Sunday July 1, 2012... The ceremonies will start at 11:00 a.m. in Gyro Park. The Parade will begin at 10:00 am starting from the Royal Canadian Legion Parking Lot. It will end at Selkirk College parking lot and The Colour Party Procession will turn right on $5^{\text {th }}$ Street and continue on to Gyro Park stage area for Opening Ceremonies. Mayor Taylor will be the emcee and entertainment co-chair for the celebrations that will run until $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$. We hope to have two Council members assist with cutting the Canada Day cake at 12:30 pm.

Thank you for the assistance the City has provided in previous years and we look forward to your participation in this exciting event again this year. Please confirm your attendance by contacting Anna Lactin from Community Futures Boundary at 250-4422722 or email anna@boundarycf.com as soon as possible and if you have any questions or concerns.

The RCMP has been advised of the $5^{\text {th }}$ Street road closure from 07:00 am to 4:00 pm.

Best regards,
oyoctin
Anna Latin
Chair, Canada Day Committee

JUN 132012
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS
Derrald Thompson, 1589 Lancaster Street, White Rock, B.C.
V4B 3H6

Mayor and Council,
City Hall,
The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks, P.O. Box 220,

Grand Forks, B.C.
VO 1H0


Dear Mayor and Council Members:
My name is Derrald Thompson. With my parents I lived in Grand Forks from 1928 to 1937. I attended Grand Forks Public School from grades 1 to 8 . We lived in what was then called the North Fork area (now Riverside). I have my paternal grandparents, my youngest brother, and other relatives buried in the Grand Forks Cemetery. I visit the gravesights annually, and while in the area I stay in your city's RV Park and visit my 'old swimming hole' that has now been turned into a park.

Here are a few comments, together with an offer.

- The Grand Forks Cemetery is exceptionally well kept and is a pleasure to visit. I have held it up as an example to other places I have visited.
- While some improvements have been made to the City Park RV site the RV spaces could be improved with 'pads' and grassed areas between the spaces. Also 'cable' TV would be an asset if it is available.
- The Riverside Park (my old swimming hole) is nice but the junky buildings next to it should be cleaned up or have a hedge planted to block them off from the Park.

There are no benches in the Park and visitors have no history of the Park. My offer is to cover the costs of supplying and placing two good quality park benches in the Park. I also have a picture of the 'swimming hole' as it was in the early to mid thirties, together with a history of the Park (as best as I can recall it). I would be willing to cover the costs of having the picture and history placed appropriately at the Park. I am enclosing copies for your consideration.

You may contact me by letter to my home address, or by e-mail at derralds@telus.net.


Derrald Thompson

The North Honk Swimming Hole
During the operating days of the Unanby $\mathscr{S}_{\text {melter }}$ old photos show what appears to be a one lane can bridge across the Unanby Riven (also called the North Fork of the Kettle Riven) at this point. This bridge was later converted to a footbridge and around 1930 it was judged unsafe and was demolished. The young people living in this area just across the riven from the smelter used the river at this point as a swimming holes After the footbridge was demolished the young swimmers managed to get four old telephone poles from the telephone company, they lashed these together, two poles side by side and then end to end, and floated them across the rivers to form a floating footbridge. This floating bridge was cut loose at the Smelter side of the riven and allowed to swing down riven during high water. When the water lowered in early summer it was pushed upstream and swung out to float across the river for another swimming season. At midriver a rapt with diving board was attached and neat to the rapt was attached a large log for logger type riding. Many a North Fork youngster, including this writer, leaned to swim in this swimming hole..

During these earlier years the area of the city now named Riverside was commonly known as the North Fork and many a North Fork youngster leaned to swim and dive in this swimming hole In those days this swimming hole area was the anealls unofficial $P_{\text {ark }}$ and it hosted many corn roasts and other young people events.
by.. Donald L. Thompson
Note:
Madely/carrect to suit you.


# Financial Information Act Financial Information Regulation (FIR), Schedule 1 <br> Statement of Financial Information (SOFI) Index to FIR Schedule 1 and the Checklist 

## Page 1: Corporation Information

## Ministry Information

## General: Section One

1(1)(a) Statement of assets and liabilities
1(1)(b) Operational statement
1(1)(c) Schedule of debts
1(1)(d) Schedule of guarantee and indemnity agreements
1(1)(e) Schedule of employee remuneration and expenses
1(1)(f) Schedule of suppliers of goods and services
1 (2) [Explanatory information for reference]
1 (3) Statements prepared on a consolidated basis or for each fund
$1(4) \&(5) \quad$ Notes to the statements and schedules in section 1(1)
Page 2: Statement of Assets \& Liabilities: Section Two
2 Balance sheet
Changes in equity and surplus or deficit
Operational Statement: Section Three
3(1) Statement of Income / Statement of Revenue and Expenditures Statement of Changes in Financial Position
$3(2) \&(3) \quad$ Omission of Statement of Changes in Financial Position, with explanation
3(4) Requirement for community colleges, school districts and municipalities
Statement of Debts: Section Four
$4(1)($ a $) \& 4(2) \quad$ List and detail the schedule of long-term debts
4(1)(b) Identify debts covered by sinking funds / reserves
4(3) \& (4) Omission of schedule, with explanation
Page 3: Schedule of Guarantee and Indemnity Agreements: Section Five
5(1) List agreements under the Guarantees and Indemnities Regulation
5(2) State the entities and amounts involved
$5(3) \&(4) \quad$ Omission of schedule, with explanation
Page 3 \& 4: Schedule of Remuneration and Expenses: Section Six

| $6(1)$ | [Definitions for reference] |
| :--- | :--- |
| $6(2)($ a) | List remuneration / expenses for each elected official, member of board, Cabinet appointees |
| $6(2)(\mathrm{b})$ | List each employee with remuneration exceeding $\$ 75,000$, plus expenses |
| $6(2)(\mathrm{c})$ | Consolidated total for all employees with remuneration of $\$ 75,000$ or less |
| $6(2)(\mathrm{d})$ | Reconcile difference in total remuneration above with operational statement |
| $6(3)$ | Exclude personal information other than as required |

Page 3 \& 4: Schedule of Remuneration and Expenses: Section Six (continued)
$6(4) \&(5) \quad$ [Explanatory information for reference]
6(6) Report employer portion of El and CPP as a supplier payment
$6(7)(a) \&(b) \quad$ Statement of severance agreements
6(8) Explain an omission of statement of severance agreements
6(9) [Statement of severance agreements to minister - not required unless requested]

## Page 4: Schedule of Suppliers of Goods or Services: Section Seven

7(1)(a) List suppliers receiving payments exceeding \$25,000
$7(1)$ (b) $\quad$ Consolidated total of all payments of $\$ 25,000$ or less
7(1)(c) Reconcile difference in total above with operational statement
7(2)(a) [Explanatory information for reference]
7(2)(b) Statement of payments of grants or contributions
7(2)(c) [Explanatory information for reference]

## Page 5: Inactive Corporations: Section Eight

8(1) Ministry to report for inactive corporations
8(2)(a) Contents of report - statements and schedules under section 1(1) to extent possible
8(2)(b) Contents of report - operational status of corporation
Approval of Financial Information: Section Nine
9(1) Approval of SOFI for corporations (other than municipalities)
9(2) Approval of SOFI for municipalities
9(3) Management report
9(4) Management report must explain roles and responsibilities
$9(5) \quad$ Signature approval is for all contents of the SOFI
Access to the Financial Information: Section Ten
10(1) to (3) [Explanatory information for reference]

## Financial Information Regulation, Schedule 1 Checklist - Statement of Financial Information (SOFI)

## For the Corporation:

Contact Name: M. I. Cecile Arnott, CGA
Chief Financial Officer
Phone Number:
(250) 442-8266
carnott@grandforks.ca

For the Ministry:
Ministry Name: $\qquad$ Reviewer:
Date Received: $\qquad$ Deficiencies:

Date Reviewed:
Deficiencies Addressed:

| Yes |  | No |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Yes |  | No |  |

Approved (SFO): $\qquad$ Further Action Taken:

Distribution: Legislative Library $\square$ Ministry Retention $\square$

| FIR <br> Schedule 1 <br> Section | Item | Yes | No | N/A |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General |  |  |  |  |  | Comments |
| 1 (1) (a) | Statement of assets and liabilities | X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |  |
| 1 (1) (b) | Operational statement | X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |  |
| 1 (1) (c) | Schedule of debts | $X$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (1) (d) | Schedule of guarantee and <br> indemnity agreements |  |  | $X$ |  |  |
| 1 (1) (e) | Schedule of employee remuneration <br> and expenses | $X$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (1) (f) | Schedule of suppliers of goods and <br> services | $X$ |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |  |
| 1 (3) | Statements prepared on a <br> consolidated basis or for each fund, <br> as appropriate | $X$ |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |  |
| 1 (4) | Notes to the financial statements for <br> the statements and schedules listed <br> above | $X$ |  |  |  |  |


| FIR Schedule 1 Section | Item | Yes | No | N/A | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement of Assets \& Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | - A balance sheet prepared in accordance with GAAP or stated accounting principles / policies, and <br> - Show changes in equity and surplus or deficit due to operations | $x$ X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |
| 3 (1) | Prepared in accordance with GAAP or stated accounting principles / policies and consists of: <br> - a Statement of Income or Statement of Revenue and Expenditures, and <br> - a Statement of Changes in Financial Position | X <br> X <br> X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 3(2) \\ 3(3) \end{array}$ | - The Statement of Changes in Financial Position may be omitted if it provides no additional information <br> - The omission must be explained in the notes |  |  | X X |  |
| 3 (4) | Community colleges, school districts, and municipalities must prepare a Statement of Changes in Financial Position for the Capital Fund | X |  |  |  |
| Schedule of Debts |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4(1)(a) \\ & 4(2) \end{aligned}$ | List each long-term debt (secured by debentures, mortgages, bonds, etc.), stating the amount outstanding, the interest rate, and the maturity date | X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |
| 4 (1) (b) | Identify debts covered by sinking funds or reserves and amounts in these accounts | X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4(3) \\ & 4(4) \end{aligned}$ | - The schedule may be omitted if addressed under section 2 or 5 and it provides no additional information <br> - The omission must be explained in a note to the schedule |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & x \\ & x \end{aligned}$ |  |


| FIR Schedule 1 Section | Item | Yes | No | N/A | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schedule of Guarantee and Indemnity Agreements |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 (1) | List financial agreements that required government approval prior to being given (see Guarantees and Indemnities Regulation in FIA Guidance Package) |  |  | X |  |
| 5 (2) | State the entities involved, and the specific amount involved if known |  |  | X |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5(3) \\ & 5(4) \end{aligned}$ | - The schedule may be omitted if addressed under section 2 or 4 and it provides no additional information <br> - The omission must be explained in a note to the schedule |  |  |  |  |
| Schedule of Remuneration and Expenses (See Guidance Package for suggested format) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 (2) (a) | List separately, by name and position, the total remuneration and the total expenses for each elected official, member of the board of directors, and employee appointed by Cabinet | $x$ |  |  |  |
| 6 (2) (b) | List alphabetically each employee whose total remuneration exceeds $\$ 75,000$ and the total expenses for each [excluding the persons listed under 6 (2) (a)] | $x$ |  |  |  |
| 6 (2) (c) | Include a consolidated total for employees whose remuneration is $\$ 75,000$ or less [excluding the persons listed under 6 (2) (a)] | $x$ |  |  |  |
| 6 (2) (d) | Reconcile or explain any difference between total remuneration in this schedule and related information in the operational statement | X |  |  |  |
| 6 (3) | Exclude personal information other than name, position, function or remuneration and expenses of employees | X |  |  |  |


| FIR <br> Schedule 1 <br> Section | Item | Yes | No | N/A | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6 (6) | Report the employer portion of EI <br> and CPP as a supplier payment to <br> the Receiver General for Canada <br> rather than as employee <br> remuneration | X |  |  |  |
| 6 (7) (a) <br> 6 (7) (b) | Include a statement of severance <br> agreements providing: <br> the number of severance <br> agreements under which <br> payment commenced in the <br> fiscal year being reported on for <br> non-union employees, and <br> the range of equivalent months' <br> compensation for them <br> (see Guidance Package for <br> suggested format) | $X$ |  |  | There were no severance agreements under <br> which payments were made in the year <br> between the City of Grand Forks and any <br> employee |
| 6 (8) | Provide the reason for omitting a <br> statement of severance agreements <br> in a note to the schedule of <br> remuneration and expenses |  | $X$ |  |  |

Schedule of Suppliers of Goods or Services
(See Guidance Package for suggested format)
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline 7 \text { (1) (a) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { List in alphabetical order all suppliers } \\
\text { of goods and services who received } \\
\text { aggregate payments exceeding }\end{array}
$$ \& X \& \& \& <br>

\$ \$ 25,000\end{array}\right]\)| 7 (1) (b) | Include a consolidated total of all <br> payments to suppliers who received <br> $\$ 25,000$ or less | $X$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7 (1) (c) | Reconcile or explain any difference <br> between the consolidated total and <br> related figures in the operational <br> statement | $X$ |  |
| 7 (2) (b) | Include a statement of payments for <br> the purposes of grants or <br> contributions | $X$ |  |

Inactive Corporations corporation if the corporation is not

| FIR Schedule 1 Section | Item | Yes | No | N/A | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | operating to the extent required to produce a SOFI |  |  |  |  |
| 8 (2) (a) | The ministry's report contains the statements and schedules required under section 1 (1), to the extent possible |  |  | X |  |
| 8 (2) (b) | The ministry's report contains a statement of the operational status of the corporation (see Guidance Package regarding what to include) |  |  | X |  |
| Approval of Financial Information |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 (1) | Corporations other than municipalities - the SOFI is signed as approved by the board of directors or the governing body (see Guidance Package for example) |  |  | X |  |
| 9 (2) | Municipalities - the SOFI is approved by its council and by the officer assigned responsibility for financial administration (see Guidance Package for example) | X |  |  |  |
| 9 (3) | A management report is included, signed by the head and chief financial officer, or by the municipal officer assigned responsibility for financial administration (see examples in annual report at http://www.gov.bc.ca/cas/popt/) | X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |
| 9 (4) | The management report explains the roles and responsibilities of the board of directors or governing body, audit committee, management, and the auditors | X |  |  | Audited Financial Statements |
| 9 (5) | Signature approvals required in section 9 are for each of the statements and schedules of financial information, not just the financial statements | X |  |  |  |

# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS 

Index to Financial Statements
December 31, 2011

| Responsibility For Financial Reporting | - Page 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Consolidated Financiai Statements |  |
| independent Auditors' Report |  |
| Consolidated Statement of Financial Position |  |
| Consolidated Statemeni of Operations |  |
| Consolidated Statement of Change in Net Financial Assets | - Page $2-3$ |
| Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows | -Page 4 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financiai Statements | - Page 5 |
| Other Financial Information |  |
| Schedule - Segmented Information | - Fage 7 |

May 15, 2012

## Responsibility For Einancial Reporting

Management is responsible for the preparation of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the accounting principles and policies disclosed in note it the consolidated financial statements and include amounts that are based on estimates and judgments. Management believes that the winancial staterients fairly present The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks consolidated financial position and results of operations. The integrity of the information presentad in the financial statements, including estimaies and judgments relating to matters not concluded by fiscal year-end, is the responsibility of managernent. The financial statements have baen approved by Council.

Mansgement has established and maintained approprisie systems of internal control including policies and procedures, which are cesigned to provide reasonable assurance that The Corporation of the City of Grand Fork's assets are safeguardet and that reliable financial records are mainiained to form a proper basis for preparation of the financial statements.

The indepenkent external auditors, Berg Naqvi Lehmann, Chartered Accountants, have been appointed by Council to express an opinion as to whether the consolidated financiak statements present fairly, in all material respects, The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks financial position, results of operations, and changes in financial position in conformity with the accounting principles and policies disclosed in note it to the consolidated financial statements. The report of Berg Naqui Lehmann, Chartered Accountants, follows and cutlines the scope of their examination and their opinion on the consolidated financial statements.

## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

## To the Mayor and Council <br> The Corporation of the Ciky of Grand Foriss

We have audited the consolidiated statement of financial position of The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks as at December 31, 2011 and the consolidated steiement of operations, consolidated statement of changes in net financial assets and consolidaied statement of cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statemants based on cur audit.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

 Wenagement is responsible for the preparation ard fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles and in accordance with Section 167 of the Community Charter of $B C$, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.
## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we comply wlth ethical requirements and plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit invoives performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounte and disclosures in the financial statements. The proceriures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statementa, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the city's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal conirol. An audll also includes evaluating the appropriateness of acccuniting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates made by management, as wall as avaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT (continued)

To the Mayor and Councii
The Corporation of the City of Crand Forks

## Opinion

in our opinion, the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks as at December 31, 2011, and its financiai periomance and its cash flows for the yaar then ended in accordance with Canadian public secior accounting standards.


May 15, 2012
Nelson, B.C.

| FINANCIAL ASSETS | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash（note 2） | \＄7，340，791 | \＄5，930，590 |
| Investmant | 100 | 100 |
| Accounts receivable | 1，553，059 | 2，308，4，49 |
| MFA deposit（note 3） | 9，513 | －9，199 |
| Inventories for resale | 26，811 | 46，710 |
| Loan receivable（note 4） | 209，285 | 225，786 |
| Due from Trust | 405 |  |
|  | 9，239，964 | 8，550，834 |
| L．IABILITIES |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued lizoilities（note 5） | 1，962，331 | 2，＇154，632 |
| Due to Trust |  | 1，272 |
| Deferred gas tax grants（note 3） | 1，011，546 | 798，719 |
| Demporary loan | 316，497 | 319，453 |
| Development cost charges | 1，537，426 | 1，537，426 |
| Development cost charges | 377，805 | 334，979 |
| Restricted revenues Long－terin dobt（note 6） | 10，465 | 109，630 |
| Long－tarn dobt（note 0） | 914，274． | 1，076，484 |
|  | 6，130，344 | 6，333，595 |
| NET FINANCIAL ASSETS | 3，109，620 | 2，217，239 |
| NON－FINANCIAL ASSETS |  |  |
| Prepaid expenses | 38，446 | 37，248 |
| Inventory of supplies | 281，762 | 239，676 |
| Tangible capital assets（note 7） | 26，713，397 | 26，990，406 |
|  | 27，033，605 | 27，297，330 |
| AcCumulated SURPLUS | \＄30，143，225 | \＄29，514，569 |
| Represented by： |  |  |
| Operating funds equity（note S） | \＄1，387，863 | \＄1，313，307 |
| Reserve funds（note＇0） | 2，956，239 | 2，284，340 |
| Equity in tangible capitai assets（note 11） | 25，799，123 | 25，513，922 |
|  | \＄30，143，225 | \＄29，514，539 |

## COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES（note 18）



にながとこのはと

## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS <br> CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

For the Year Ended December 31， 2014

|  | 2011 <br> Budget | 2011 <br> Actual | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUE Actual |  |  |  |
| Taxes | \＄2，800，700 | \＄2，619，503 | \＄2，464，571 |
| Sales of goods and services（note 13） | 5，574，000 | 5，855，689 | 5，344，020 |
| Other revenues | 481，000 | 510，861 | 492，570 |
| Senior government grants－operating | 540，300 | 738，769 | 539，823 |
| Senior govemment grants－capital | 440，000 | 280，837 | 2，820，472 |
| Other restricted income－operations library | ， | 280，837 | 2，10，590 |
| Interest income | 10，000 | 97，748 | 51，892 |
| Investment income on sinking fund | ， | 6，157 | 5，©63 |
|  | 9，846，000 | 10，109，564 | 11，756，301 |
| Transfer to Library Maintenance | － | （1）2，4731 | － |
|  | 9，846，000 | 10，107，091 | 11，756，301 |
| EXPENDITURES |  |  |  |
| General government | 1，044，800 | 928，814 | 895，095 |
| Fublic real estate | 244，600 | 348，006 | 284，679 |
| Protective service | 420，700 | 428，665 | 402，539 |
| Traneportation services | 1，240，650 | 1，069，466 | 903，835 |
| Environmental health services | 169，400 | 165，149 | －157，868 |
| Public healih and welfare | 96，300 | 105，494 | 154，771 |
| Ervironmental development | 170，700 | 256，489 | 106，554 |
| Recreation and cultural services | 801，800 | 631，273 | 674，312 |
| Utility services 674，312 |  |  |  |
| Electrical | 3，471，600 | 3，246，720 | 2，850，198 |
| Water | 965，200 | 659，989 | 671，228 |
| Sewer | 799，800 | 648，198 | 595，075 |
| Debt interest | 271，800 | 37，432 | 35，133 |
| Amorization | 1，500，000 | 1，258，734 | 1，433，537 |
| Gain on disposition | － | （ 305，994） | $\left(\begin{array}{r} 55,573 \end{array}\right)$ |
|  | 11，197，350 | 9，478，435 | 0，199，181 |
| ANNUAL SURPLUS（DEFICIT） | （1，351，350） | 628，656 | 2，557，120 |
| ACCUMULATED SURPLUS， <br> BEGINNING OF YEAR |  |  |  |
| ACCUMULATED SURPLUS（DEFICIT），END OF YEAR | \＄（1，351，350） | \＄30，143，2．25； | \＄29，514，569 |



Fノの日かくバー

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANNUAL SURPLUS | \$ 628,656 | \$ 2,557,120 |
| Acquisition of tangible capital assets | ( 998,475) | $(4,797,666)$ |
| Amorization of tangible capital assets | 1,258,734 | 1,433,537 |
| Gain on sale of capital assets | ( 305,994) | ( 55,573) |
| Pioceeds from sale of capital assets | 322,743 | 295,248 |
| Net change in prepaid expenses and supplies inventory | $(13,284)$ | 29,564 |
| (INCREASE] DECREASE IN NET DEBT | 892,380 | ( 537,770) |
| NET FINANCIAL ASSETS, BEGINNING OF YEAR | 2,217,240 | 2,755,0i0 |
| NET FINANCIAL ASSETS, END OF YEAR | \$ 3,109,62.0 | \$ 2,217,240 |



Ching Adernistrative Officer


| OPERATING TRANSACTIONS 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual surplus | \$ | 628,656 | * 2,557120 |
| Non cash iterns |  |  |  |
| Amortization |  | 1,258,734 | 1,433,537 |
| Gain on sale of tangible capital assets |  | 305,994) | ( $\quad 55,573)$ |
| Change in prepaid expenses and supplies inventory |  | 13,284) | ( 29,564 |
| Change in Met Financial Assets/Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Change in MFA dabt deposits |  | 655,390 | $(101,563)$ |
| Change in inventories for resale |  | $314)$ 19899 | ( 974) |
| Change in loan receivable |  | 19,899 | ( 225,786$)$ |
| Change in accounts payable and accrued liabilitias |  | (192,300) | ( 50,405 |
| Change in due to trusi |  | 1,677) | ( 3,934) |
| Change in cieferred revenues |  | 208,874 | 262,787 |
| Change in temporary lozn |  | 20,87 | 1,537,423 |
| Change in development cost charges Change in restricted revenues |  | 42,826 | 17,334 |
| Change in restricted revenues |  | 99,165) | (1,025,058) |
| Cash Provided by Operating Transactions |  | 2,218,143 | 4,453,780 |
| CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS |  |  |  |
| Purchase of tangible capital asseis |  | 998,475) | (4,797, 663 ) |
| Proceeds from sale of tangible caplial asseis |  | 322,743 | 295,248 |
| Cash Applied to Capital Transactions |  | 675,732) | $(4,502,418)$ |
| INVESTING TRANSACTIONS |  |  |  |
| FINANCING TRANSACTIONS |  |  |  |
| Dabt issued |  | 46,556 |  |
| Repayment of leng-term deit | ( | 192,806) | $145,914)$ |
| Actuarial adjustment | 1 | 15,960) | 5,063) |
| Cash Received from Financing Transactions | ( | 162,210) | 114,898 |
| iNCREASE IN CASH |  | ,380,201 | 71,160 |
| CASH, BEGINNING OF YEAR |  | ,960,590 | 5,889,430 |
| CASH, END OF YEAR |  | ,340,791 | \$ 5,060,590 |



Chiefinmintrive Officer
FiNADCAC

## 1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The rollowing is a summary of the significant accounting policies of The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks:

## Basis of Presentation

The Consolidated Financial Statements of the City, which are the representation of management, are prepared in accordance with Canadian generaly accepied accounting principles for governments as prescribed by the Public Sector Accounting Board (PSAB) of the Canadian Instifute of Chartered Accountants. The Consolidate Financial Statements reflect the combined results and activities of the reporting entity which is comprised of the Operating, Capital and Reserve funds. Inter-fund transactions have been sliminated on consolidation.
i) Operating Funds: These funds include the General, Electrical, Water and Sewer operations of the City. They are used to record the operating costs of the seryicas provided by the City.
ii) Capitai Funds: These funds include the General, Elecirical, Water and Sewer Capital funds. They are used to record the acquisition and disposal of property and equipinent and their related financing.
iii) Reserve Funds: Under the Community Charier, City Council may, by bylaw, establish reserve funds for specified purposes. Money in a reserve fund, and interest earned thereon, must be expended by bylaw only for the purpose for which the fund was established. If the amount in a reserve fund is greater than required, City Council may, by bylaw, transfer all or part of the balance to another reserve fund.

## Revenue Recognition

The City's records revenue on the accrual basis and includes revenue in the period in which the transactions or events that give rise to the revenues occur. Taxation and utility fee revenues are recognized in the fiscal period to which they relate. Sale of services and user fees are recognized When the service or product is rendered by the City. Grant revenues are recorded when the funding becomes recsivable. Uneamed revenue in the current period is recorded as deferred revenue.

## Deferred Charges

The City's records paymenis for operating expencilures pertaining to future years as cieferred charges. They are recorded as expenditures when the economic beneift is realized.

## 1. SIGNIFICANT ACGOUNTING POLICIES (containued)

Tangible Capital Assets
Tangibla capital asseis are recorded at cost less accumulated amortization and are classified accorcling to their functional use. Amortization is recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life commencing in the year the asset is put into service. Donated tangible capital assets are reporied at the fair yalue at the time of donation. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

| Building | $40-75$ years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Building improvernents | $10-40$ years |
| Fixtures, furniture, equiment and vehicles | $5-20$ years |
| rr infrastucture | $4-10$ years |
| Parks infrastructure | $15-50$ years |
| Paving and roads | $10-100$ years |
| Sewer infrastructure | $10-100$ years |
| Water infrastructure | $10-100$ years |

## Restricted Revenues and Deferred Revenues

Receipts which are restricted by the legislation of senior governments or by agreements with external parties are deferred and reported as restricted revenues. When qualifying expenditures are incurred restricted revenues are brought into revenue at equell amounts.

Revenues recived in advance of expenclitures which will be incurred in a later period are deferred until they are earned by being matched against those expenciltures.

## Financial Insiruments

The City's financia! instruments consist of casin, temporary investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable, capital lease obligations and long-term debt. It is management's opinion that the City is not exposed to significant interest, currency, or credit risks arising from these financial inatruments. The fair values of these financial instrumente approximate their carrying values.

## Budiget Figures

The buciget figures are based on the acicpted Five-Year Financial Plan for the year 2011.
Use of Estimates
The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statemants, and the reported emounts of revenues and expendilures during the reporiing period. Significant areas requiring estimates include the usafut lives of tangible capital assets for amortization, the determination of payroll and employee future beneft accruals and the provision for contingencies. Actual results could differ from management's besi estimates as aciditional information becomes available in the future.
2. GASH

Restricted cash
Statutory Reseryes
Deferred Gas Tax Grant funds
Deferred diэveiopment cosi funds

Unrestricted cash and investments

-

| 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$ 2,871,602$ | $\$ 2,904,598$ |
| $1,011,546$ | 816,128 |
| 3375,857 | 333,999 |
| $4,259,005$ | $4,054,725$ |
| $3,081,786$ | $4,905,865$ |
| $\$ 7,340,794$ | $\$ 5,060,590$ |

## 3. DEBT RESERVE FUNDS - MUUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY

The Municipal Finance Authority of British Columbia provides capital financing for regional districts and their member municipalities. The Authority is required to establish a Debt Reserva Fund. Each regional district through its member municipalities who share in the proceeds of the debt issue is required to pay into the Debt Reserve Fund certain amounts set out in the debt agreements. The interest earned by the Fund less administrative expenses becomes an obligation to the regional districts. If at any time a regional district has insufficient funds to meet payments on its obligations, it musi then use the monies to its credif within the Debt Reserve Fund. Upon maturity of a debt issue, the unused portion of the Debt Reserve Fund established for that issue will be discharged to the Municipalify. The proceeds from these discharges will be credited to income in the year they are received. As at December 31, 2011 the total of the Debt Reserve Fund was:


Only the cash portion of MFA deposits is included as a financial asset.

## 4. LOAN RECEIVABLE

The City has entered into a long term capital leasa agreement with the Regional District of Kooignay Boundary in consiceration of the sale of a building and lands. The term of the agreament is 12 years commencing August 1, 2010. Annual blended payments are $\$ 27,000$.

## 5. EMPLOYEE FUTURE BENEFITS

The City provicies a beneit to its unionized employees upon retirement. Those eligible employees who retire from service shall receive an amount equal to one and one half of their accumulated sick leave credits, up to, but not exceeding, 150 days. Employess absent for medical reasons have wages charged against, and deducted from the sick leave accumulated. Upon retirement, any employee heving accumulated sick leave is eligible to receive a cash gratuity payment. The payment amount is a percentege of accurnulated sick leave based on years of service. The City has commitied to fully funding this future benefit over the years of the employees' current service. As at December 31, 2011, $\$ 488,516(2010-\$ 450,785)$ has been accrued by the City to cover this obligation.

Contracts between the City and members of its management team establish a sick leava bank of 1086 days for managers which provides full pay for any management employee from onset of illness until covarage commences under long term diaability insurance. As ait December 31, 2011, $\$ 331,782$ (2010-\$322,119) has been accrued by the City to cover this obligation.

## 6. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS

Balance, beginning of year

General \#1863
General \#1887
Sewer \#1556
Total MFA debt General capital lease obligations

Total long-term debt

| $\begin{array}{r} 457,994 \\ 70,000 \\ 109,312 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 41,645) \\ 3,496 \\ 6,495) \end{array}$ | $\$$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,039) \\ 33 j \\ 4,085) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 414,310 \\ 66,471 \\ 98,732 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 637,306 |  | - | 1 | 51,036) | $($ | 6,157) |  | 579,513 |
| 439,178 |  | 46,556 |  | 141,170 | 1 | 9,803) |  | 334,761 |
| \$1,076,484 | \$ | 46,556 |  | 192,806) | \$( | 15,960) | \$ | 914:274. |

The requirements for future repayments of principal on existing MFA debt for the next five years are estimated as follows:

|  | General Capital |  | Sewer Capital |  | Total Capital |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$ | 45,140 | \$ | 6,495 | \$ | 51,635 |
| 2013 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
| 2014 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
| 2015 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
| 2016 |  | 45,140 |  | 6,495 |  | 51,635 |
|  |  | 225,700 | \$ | 32,475 |  | 258,175 |

B. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS (continued)

The requiraments for future repayments oi principal on existing capital lease obligations for the nex: five years are estimated as follows:

| 2012 | $\$ 108,970$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2013 | 98,343 |
| 2014 | 89,4113 |
| 2015 | 47,673 |
| 2016 | 2,517 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 346,616 |
| Less amount representing interest | 11,855 |
|  | $\$ 334,764$ |
|  | $\$ 3$ |

7. TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS

|  | 2011 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Book Value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\operatorname{Cost}$ | Accumulated Amorlization | Net <br> Book Vaiue: |  |
| General Capital Fund |  |  |  |  |
| Land - infrastructure | \$ 1,368,648 | \$ | \$ 1,368,648 | \$ 1,374,638 |
| Land - for resale | 183,607 | - | 183,607 | -183,607 |
| Buildings | 5,824,633 | 2,307,400 | 3,617,233 | 3,675,029 |
| Engineering structures | 19,178,716 | 8,429,678 | 10,749,038 | 10,808,658 |
| Machinery anci equipment | 1,453,585 | 912,732 | 540,853 | 490,890 |
| Equipment fleet-emergency | 1,081,066 | 551,798 | 529,268 | 574,478 |
| Equipment fleet - pubic works | 3,435,775 | 1,843,621 | 1,392,154. | 1,689,188 |
|  | 32,526,030 | 13,945,229 | 18,580,801 | 18,796,488 |
| Waterworks Utility Capital Fund | 6,949,912 | 3,726,917 | 3,2.22,895 | 3,347,225 |
| Electrical Utility Capitai Fund | 2,221,015 | 1,484,373 | 736,642, | 765,811 |
| Sewer Utility Capital Fund | 7,337,812 | 3,164,763 | 4,173,059 | 4,076,883 |
| Total tangible capital assets | \$49,034,669 | \$22,321,276 | \$26,713,397 | \$26,990,407 |

## 8. FEDERAL GAS TAX GRANT

Gas Tax funding is provided by the Government of Canada. The use of the funding is established by a funding agreement between the City and the Union of British Columbia Municipalities. Gas Tax funding may be used toward's designated public transit, community energy, water, wastewater, solid waste and capacity building projects, as specified in the funding agreements.

|  |  | 2011 | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cpening balance | \$ | 799,719 | \$ | 581,597 |
| Amount recelved in the year |  | 212,698 |  | 212,717 |
| Interest eamed |  | 14,237 |  | 5,405 |
| Less amount spent on eligible projects |  | 12,108) |  | - |
| Closing batance of unspent funds | \$ 1,011,546 |  | \$ 799,719 |  |

9. OPERATING FUND EQUITY

10. RESERVE FUND CONTINUTTY

|  | Balance Beginning of Year |  | Purchases |  | Transfers (to) from Other Funds |  |  | Sales | interest Earned |  | Balance End of Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital reserve | \$ | 278,788 | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | 3,724 | \$ | 282,512 |
| Equipment replacement |  | 497,201 |  | 23,250) |  | 59,044 |  | 20,650 |  | 6,272 |  | 559,817 |
| Land sale |  | 402,918 |  | - |  | - |  | 298,750 |  | 17,870 |  | 719,538 |
| Tax sale larid |  | 59,251 |  | - |  | - |  |  |  | 796 |  | 60,047 |
| Cash in lieu of parking |  | 16,447 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 221 |  | 10,668 |
| Slag sele |  | 1,029,734 |  | - |  | - |  | 269,940 |  | 17,983 |  | 1,317,657 |
|  |  | 2,284,339 | \$ | 23,250) | \$ | 59,044 | \$ | 589,240 |  | 46,866 |  | 2,956,239 |

## 11. EQUITY IN TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS

Equity in Tangible Capital Assets (TCA) represents the net book value of total capital assets less longterm obligations assumed to acquire those assets. The change in consolidated equity in tangible capital assets is as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equity in TCA, beginning of year Add: | \$25,913,921 | \$22,904,365 |
| Capital acquisitions |  |  |
| Debt principal repayments | 192,806 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,797,663 \\ 145,914 \end{array}$ |
| Actuarial adjustment | 15,960 | 5,963 |
| Less: |  |  |
| Disposition of Capital Asset at NBV | ( 16,749) | ( 239,675) |
| Assets purcinased fundod by debt | ( 46,556) | ( 266,775) |
| Amortization | $(1,258,734)$ | $(1,433,537)$ |
| Equity in TCA, end of year | \$25,799,123 | \$25,913,921 |
| Represented by: |  |  |
| General capital fund | \$17,765,259 | \$17,829,314 |
| Electrical utility capital fund | 736,642 | -769,811 |
| Sewer utility capital fund | 4,074,327 | 3,967,571 |
| Water utility capital fund | 3,222,895 | 3,347,225 |
| Capital fund equity at year end | \$25,799,123 | \$25,913,921 |

## 12. MUNICIPAL PENSION PLAN

The City and its employees contribute to the Municipal Pension Plan (the plarn), a jointly trusteed pension plan. The Board of Trustees, representing plan members and employers, is responsible for overseeing the management of the pernsion pian, inclucing investment of the assets and administration of benefits. The pension plan is a multi-employer contributory pension pian. Basic pension benefits provided are defined. The plan has about 173,000 active members and approximately 63,000 retired members. Active members include approximately 35,000 contributors from local governments.

Evary three years an actuarial valuation is performed to assess the financia; position of the plan and the adequacy of plan funding. The most recent valuation as ai December 31, 2009 indicated an unfunded liability of $\$ 1,024$ million for basic pansion benefits. The next vaiuation was as at December 31, 2012 with results available in 2013. Defined contribution plan accounting is applied to the plan as the plan exposes the participating entities to actuarial risks associated with the current and former empioyees of other entities, with the result that there is no consistent and reliable basis for allocating the obligation, plan assets and cost to individual entities participating in the plan.

The City's employer contributions to the plan in the fiscal year were $\$ 243,909$ (2010-\$216,276).
13. SALE OF SERVICES

|  |  | 2011 |  | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Garbage collection and RDKB landfill contraci | \$ | 168,227 | \$ | 164,625 |
| Cemetery |  | 37,903 |  | 20,914 |
| Airport (net of cost oif fuel sold) |  | 19,837 |  | 24,555 |
| Transportation custom work orders |  | 39,764 |  | 86,404 |
| Environmontal development |  | 11,654 |  | 2,550 |
| Campground |  | 29,737 |  | 31,650 |
| Sundry |  | 2,224 |  | 2,900 |
| Slag |  | 269,940 |  | 261,193 |
| Electrical utility user and connection fees |  | 3,834,378 |  | 3,386,751 |
| Sewer utility user and connection fees |  | 719,424 |  | 635,626 |
| Waterworks utiliy user and connection fees |  | 722,601 |  | 686,852 |
|  |  | 5,856,689 |  | 5,344,020 |

## 14. EXPENDITURES BY OBJECT

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Goods and services | $\$ 5,211,618$ | $\$ 4,724,365$ |
| Interest and finance charges | 37,432 | 35,133 |
| Salaries, wages and benefits | $3,276,645$ | $3,031,218$ |
| Amortization | $1,258,734$ | $1,433,537$ |
| Cain on disposel | $(305,994)$ | $(55,573)$ |
|  | $\$ 9,478,435$ | $\$ 9,199,181$ |

## 15. COLLECTION FOR OTHER GOVERNMENTS

The City collected and remitted the following taxes on behalf oi other Governments. These are not Included in the City's financial statements.

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provincial Government - School Taxes | \$ 1,561,54.7 | \$ 1,522,908 |
| Provincial Government - Police Tax Levy | 189,760 | 175,387 |
| British Columbia Assessment Authority | 46,666 | 47,182 |
| Regional Hospital Districts | 170,542 | 115,655 |
| inunicipal Finance Authority | 124 | 120 |
| Regional Distrit of Kootenay Boundery | 1,251,856 | 1,126,282 |
|  | \$ 3,220,495 | \$ 2,987,534 |

16. TRUST FUNDS

Funds held in trust and adrinistered by the City are as follows:

| Assets 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash <br> Due from (to) the Ceneral Operating Fund | \$ | 140,682 | 3 | 132,540 |
|  | 1 | -405) |  | 1,272 |
|  | \$ | 140,277 | \$ | 133,812 |
| Trust Fund Balances |  |  |  |  |
| Cometery care Employee assistance program | \$ | 130,595 | \$ |  |
|  |  | 9,682. |  | 8,624 |
|  | \$ | 140,277 | \$ | 133,81? |

Trust funds are not inciuded in the Ciy's financial statements.

## 17. RECONCILIATION TO BUDGET

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Annual surplus | $\$(1,351,350)$ | $\$ 2,323,200$ |
| Débt principal repayments | $(2,51,900)$ | $(250,700)$ |
| Purchase of tangible capital assets other than by debt | $(2,653,500)$ | $(5,625,000)$ |
| Budgeted transfer from (to) reservalaccumulated surpius | $1,286,750$ | 490,000 |
| Non-cash item - amortization | $1,500,000$ | $1,312,500$ |
| Debt proceeds | $1,470,000$ | $1,750,000$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | $\$$ |

## 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

Contingent Liabilities
The City, as a member of the Regional District of Kcotenay Ecundary, is jointly and severally liable for the future capital liabilities of the Regional District.

The laan agreements with the Municipal Finance Autionrity provide that if the Authority does not have sufficient funds to moet its payments and obligations, it shall make payments from the debt reserve fund which is in turn established by a similar debt reserve fund of the City and all otiner borrowing participants. If the debt reserve fund is deficient, the Authority's obligations become a liability of the Regional District, and may become a liability of the participating municipalities.

# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) <br> As At Degember 31, 2011 

## 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENT LIABBLLITIES (continued)

Reciprocal Insurance Exchange Agreement
The City is a subscribed member of the Municipal Insurance Asscciation of British Columbia (The "Exchange") as provided by Section 3.02 of the Insurance Act of the Province of Britisin Columbia. The main purpose of the Exchange is to pool the risks of liability so as to lessen the impact upon any subscriber. Under the Reciprocal insurance Exchainge Agreemani, the City is assessed a premium and spacific deductible for its claims based on population. The obligation of the City with respect to the Exchange and/or contracts and obligations entered into by the Exchange on behalf cif its subscribers in connection with the Exchange are in every case several, not joint and several. The City irrevocably and unconditionalily undertakes and agrees to indemnify and seve harmless the other subscribers against liability losses and costs which the other subscriber may suffer.

Contractual Obligations
The City has contracted with the Regional District of Kootenay Boundary to provide Building and Plumbing Inspection services. Under the contract the City is obliged to pay the Regional District a requisition based on yarious componente calculated annually and the Regional Disitict is obliged to remit ail fees collecter on behalf of the city.

Property Held for Redevelopment
The City holds real property which it intends to offer for sale in the future under terms compatible with its development plans.

## 19. COMPARATIVE FIGURES

Certain comparative figures have been reclassified to conform with the current year's presentation.
THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS SCHEDULE - SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Corporation of the CIty of Grand Forks
for the year ended December


## The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks

## Schedule showing the remuneration and expenses pald to or on behalf of each employee for the year ended December 31, 2011 <br> Financial Information Regulation (Sections 1(1)e, 6(2)a to 6((6)



The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks
Schedule of suppliers of goods and services for the year ended December 31, 2011
Financial Information Regulation (Section 1 (1) f \& 7)

1. Alphabetical list of suppliers who received aggregate payments exceeding $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ Supplier name
As Attached ..... $\$ 9,567,122$
2. Consolidated total paid to suppliers who received aggregate payments of $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ or less $\mathbf{1 , 3 1 8 , 4 3 1}$
3. Payments to suppliers for grants and contributions exceeding $\$ \mathbf{\$ 5 , 0 0 0}$

Subtotal: All payments for goods, services, grants and contributions
$10,885,553$


# The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks Alphabetical list of suppliers who received aggregate payments exceeding $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ for the year ended December 31, 2011 <br> Financial Information Regulation (Section 1 (1) f \& 7) 

| ABH CAR SALES LTD. | 48,832 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ALPINE (INTERIOR) | 84,598 |
| ARGOSY CONSTRUCTION GROUP INC. | 470,698 |
| B.C. ASSESSMENT AUTHORITY | 46,826 |
| BOUNDARY MUSEUM SOCIETY | 75,060 |
| BRITISH COLUMBIA LIFE \& CASUALTY COMPANY | 34,557 |
| CANADA REVENUE AGENCY | 33,684 |
| CITY OF NELSON | 37,823 |
| CU CREDIT c/o CUETS | 82,373 |
| CUPE LOCAL 4728 | 32,267 |
| DAK COMPUTER SERVICES | 35,061 |
| DAVE DALE INSURANCE LTD. | 80,867 |
| ELITE ROOFING LTD. | 40,992 |
| FORTIS BC | 1,197,978 |
| FORTIS BC INC | 1,955,272 |
| GRAND FORKS ART GALLERY | 128,723 |
| GRAND FORKS JANITORIAL SERVICES | 44,675 |
| GRAND FORKS VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS ASSOCIATION | 64,033 |
| GUILLEVIN INTERNATIONAL INC. | 50,423 |
| INSURANCE CORP. OF B.C. | 38,825 |
| KOOTENAY BOUNDARY REGIONAL | 29,829 |
| MARTECH ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS | 147,991 |
| MINISTRY OF FINANCE REAL PROPERTY TAXATION BRANCH | 632,268 |
| MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTH. | 167,195 |
| MUNICIPAL INSURANCE | 33,052 |
| MUNICIPAL PENSION PLAN | 435,270 |
| OAKCREEK GOLF \& TURF INC. | 29,059 |
| PACIFIC APEX | 45,133 |
| PACIFIC BLUE CROSS | 106,848 |
| PHOENIX MARINE SERVICES INC. | 52,192 |
| PICA ENGINEERING | 53,127 |
| POWER PAVING LTD. | 47,854 |
| RECEIVER GENERAL CANADA | 722,098 |
| REDI ELECTRIC | 25,099 |
| REG.DIST. KOOTENAY BOUNDARY | 1,533,676 |
| REVENUE SERVICES OF BRITISH COLUMBIA | 39,850 |
| SCHOOL DISTRICT \#51 (BOUNDARY) | 85,543 |
| SEQUOIA FUELS | 52,720 |
| SPRING FUEL DISTRIBUTORS INC | 101,666 |
| TELUS COMMUNICATIONS (B.C) INC. | 30,770 |
| URBAN SYSTEMS LTD. | 267,397 |
| VADIM COMPUTER MANAGEMENT GROUP LTD. | 40,811 |
| VIMAR EQUIPMENT LTD. | 41,678 |
| VODA COMPUTER SYSTEMS LTD | 46,306 |
| WEST KOOTENAY BOUNDARY REGIONAL HOSPITAL | 141,105 |
| WORKERS COMPENSATION BOARD | 45,759 |
| XEROX CANADA LTD. | 29,262 |
|  | 9,567,122 |

The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks Consolidated Statement of Changes in Capital Fund Balances for the year ended December 31, 2011
Financial Information Regulation (Section 3 (4)

| Revenue |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \underline{2011} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Actual } \\ & \underline{2010} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Donations from groups and individuals Senior government grants - Capital | \$ | - |  | 3.185 |
|  |  | 277,638 |  | 2,820,572 |
|  |  | 277,638 |  | 2,823,757 |
| Transfer from Development Cost Charges Transfer from Other Restricted Revenues |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - |  |  |
|  |  | 277,638 |  | 2,823,757 |
| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |
| General government Utility services |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 35,136 |  | $1,002,442$ |
|  |  | 998,473 |  | 4,797,666 |
| Change in Financial Assets |  | $(720,835)$ |  | $(1,973,909)$ |
| Capital Funding from Reserves |  | 58,486 |  | 715,959 |
| Expenditures funded by Debt or Operating Surplus |  | $(662,349)$ |  | $(1,257,950)$ |
| Capital loan debt incurred Transfers from (to) Surplus |  | 21,200 |  |  |
|  |  | 641,149 |  | 991,175 |
| Increase (Decrease) in Capital Fund Balances |  | - |  | - |
| Capital Fund Balances - Beginning of Year |  | - |  | - |
| Capital Fund Balances (Due to Operating Funds) - End of Year | \$ | - | \$ | - |

## The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks

## Statement of Severance Agreements

There were no severance agreements made between The Corporation of the City of Grand Forks and its non-unionized employees during the fiscal year 2011.

* Prepared under the Financial Information Regulation, Scheule 1, subsection 6(8)


Phoenix Mountain Alpine Ski Society
Box 2428, Grand Forks, BC VOH 1HO Canada
Office phone: 250-442-5870 • Mountain phone:250-445-6565 • Fax: 250-442-5090 • skiphoenix@gmail.com

J une 20, 2012
Attention: Lynne Burch
Dear Grand Forks City Councilors;
It is our intention to operate a Beer Garden at the James Donaldson Park during the concert that is part of the Canada Day celebrations in Grand Forks. This activity has a goal of attracting more ticket sales to help cover the cost of the performance and to fundraise and bring community awareness to the Phoenix Mountain group.

We respectfully request permission to obtain a Special Occasion Liquor License in order to operate the Beer Garden at James Donaldson Park for July $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }} \mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ from the hours of 6 pm until 11 pm . Your approval is necessary for this event.

We will provide certificate of insurance for the event, and the GFI Committee has communicated their cooperation as well. There will be appropriate fencing and security.

Please direct correspondence for this event c/ o Susan Harris at CIBC-7310 $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Street, Grand Forks (mailing address PO Box 670, Grand Forks, BC VOH 1HO).

Thank you,
(Susan Harris 250-442-2181 xt 222 or cell 250-584-4626)
Per
Phoenix Mountain Alpine Ski Society

## Canada Day Committee Meeting Minutes Jun 13, 2012

## Canada Day Theme 2012: "Diamond Jubilee"

Attending: Anna Lactin, Wendy Butterfield, Susan Harris, Chris Ann Gilmore, Beverly Osachoff, Zak Waterlow, Preet $\qquad$ ,

CC: Lynn Burch, CAO, City of Grand Forks, Wendy McCulloch GM, CFB, Sarah Winton, CFB

Diamond Jubilee will be honored by:

1. Having River's Edge Theatre member, Christy Luke, play 'Queen' in the parade. Anna will get Christy in touch with Parade Committee.
2. Having a 'Fascinator’ (crazy bonnet) contest after the opening ceremonies at Gyro Park. The fascinator contest will be included in Canada Day advertising. Anyone can enter. They can make or buy their fascinators. 'Queen" Christy Luke will be the judge for the contest. We have the following prizes secured:

- 1st prize - $\$ 50$ gift certificate from BUY LOW FOODS
- $2^{\text {nd }}$ prize - $\$ 25$ gift certificate from EXTRA FOODS
- $3^{\text {rd }}$ prize - $\$ 10$ gift certificate from JOGAS ESPRESSO CAFE


## 4. Parade:

-Susan will create poster for Parade recruitment.
-Community Futures will print posters for Parade recruitment.
-The Gazette \& the Sentinel have agreed to donate advertisement space for parade recruitment.
-Anna will have posted to CF \& Chamber websites + Facebook \& twitter

## 5. Gyro Park:

-Elks Pancake Breakfast will start at 7:00 am in Overwaitea parking lot.
-Farmer's Market will set up on $5^{\text {th }}$ St. starting at 8:00 am
-Artisans will set up on Art Gallery grounds starting at 8:00 am
-All vendors must be set up by 9:30 am.
-Vendors at Gyro park will pay a $\$ 10$ vendors fee. This fee will go towards the Music Event in James Donaldson Park.
-Will need to rent porta potties - place behind library. - Anna will arrange
-Entertainment has been arranged.
-Chris Ann will donate helium balloons at Gyro park. Parade Committee clowns will pass them out. Need a balloon runner - ?
-Advertisement to go out to media, websites and social media Friday, Jun 15.
-Email poster details to Susan: susan.harris@nethop.net

## 6. James Donaldson Event:

-Zak provided a poster for the James Donaldson Music event.
-Anna will print posters at Community Futures. Zak will distribute.
-Live (Vancouver-based) bands secured: - Ladyhawk

- For Pier Vengeance Trio
- Coldwater Road
- Jason \& The Diatonics, and guests
-Entrance Fees will be $\$ 10$ to $\$ 50$ per ticket for entrance. Proceeds will go towards the music event.
-Anna approached 7 local businesses in regards to sponsorship of the music event. We have received an agreement to sponsor from CIBC and Credit Union so far. This has reduced the entrance fees to the figures stated above.
-Sponsors will be included in all advertising. Advertising to be incorporated into the main Canada Day ad.


## 7. Beer Gardens / Concessions:

-Susan has secured Phoenix Ski Hill Society to run the beer gardens and The Border Bruins to run the concession stand.
-There will be only the one food vendor at the Music Event.
-Liability insurance will be paid from beer garden / concession profits.
-The profits will be split 50/50 after all expenses are paid with the music event.
-The 2 organizations will be highlighted in our advertisements.

## 8. Open Discussion:

## 9. Next Meeting Date:

- Wed, Jun 20, 2012 -

Email meeting.

## TASK LIST FOR MEETINGS SCHEDULED FOR JUNE 11 <br> $1^{\text {TH }}, 2012$

| ISSUEREGULAR MEETING OF COUNCIL | ASSIGNED | COMPLETED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Recommendations From Staff for Decisions: |  |  |
| a) Chief Administrative Officer's Report - Strategic Plan Goal Action RESOLVED THAT THE CHIIF ADMINITRATVE OFICER'S REPORT DATED JUNE $5^{5 H}$, 2012, REGARDING THE ACTION, REQUIRING "STAFF TO EVALUATE TAX REERT EBCK TO COUNCIL BY THE END OF JUNE, 2012", AS OUTLINED IN THE CORPOPATE STRATEGIC PLAN 2012-2014, BE RECEIVED, AND REFERRED TO THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR FURTHER REVIEW AND DISCUSSION WITH DOWNTOWN PROPERTY OWNERS, AND REPORT BACK TO COUNCILLATER THISYEAR | Lynne/Diane | Done |
| Summary of Information Items: |  |  |
|  LOUOR LICENSE TO THE BOUNDARY LADIES FASTBALL ORGANIZATION FOR THE TWO DAY EVEN FROM JONE 230 TO 24HH 2012, AT THE ANGUS MACDONALD PARK SUBUECT TO THE BOUNDARY LADIES FASTBALL ORGANIZATION OBAANNG BOP PARTY PARTY ALCOHOL) LABBITY INSURANCE, NAMING THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS AS AN ADDITIONAL INSURED ON THAT POLICY FOR THE TWO DAY EVENT; ALL LIQUOR PROVIDERS TO HOLD A SERVE-IT-RIGHT LICENSE CERTIFICATE; AND ICBC "DRINKING AND DRIVING" WARNING POSTERS TO BE DISPLAYED. | Diane | Done |
| d) Grand Forks Flying Association COPA Flight 62 Insurance Coverage for the Grand Forks Flying Association - For the Grand Forks Flying Association "Airport Appreciation Day FlyFyying Association - For then In" on August $26^{h}$ 2012. <br> RESOLVED THAT COUNCIL AGREES TO OFFICIALLY SPONSOR AN AIRPORT APPRECIATION DAY FLY IN ON AUGUST 26 ${ }^{\text {TH. }}$, 2012, AS OUTLINED IN THE CORRESPONDENCE FROM THE GRAND FORKS FLYING ASSOCIATION COPA (CANADIAN OWNERS AND PILOTS ASSOCIATION) FLIGHT 62, TO HOLD AN AIRPORT APPRECIATION DAY FLY-IN AT THE GRAND FORKS MUNICIPAL AIRPORT | Wayne/Diane | In Progress |
| Late Items: <br> RESOLVED THAT THE MAYOR WRITE A LETTER OF SUPPORT TO MAYOR LAWRENCE D. CHERNOFF, AS CHAIR FOR THE WEST KOOTENAY REGIONAL AIRPORT ADVISORY COMMITTEE WITH REGARD TO WEST JET SERVICES COMING TO THE WEST KOOTENAY REGION. |  |  |
|  | Diane | Done |
| QUESTIONS FROM THE PUBLIC \& THE MEDIA: |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION

DATE : June 12, 2012<br>TOPIC : Interest Payable for Late-Comer Agreements<br>PROPOSAL : Bylaw to Establish the Interest Payable for Late-Comer Agreements In Accordance with Section 939 of the Local Government Act

## PROPOSED BY : Chief Administrative Officer

## SUMMARY:

As development occurs within municipal boundaries, there are times when the extension of services such as water and sewer services are required, that will serve land other than the land being developed. Requiring a developer to pay for the entire extended service, knowing that in the future there are other adjoining lands that may benefit from the provided services without having to pay to have the service extended does not seem fair. A mechanism to allow for the developer to front the cost of extending the service and to recoup some of this cost from the owners of benefiting properties as they develop in the future is found in the Local Government Act, Section 939, an excerpt copy which is attached for reference. We refer to these payments as "Late-Comer" payments.

While this legislation has been available to municipalities for the past $30+$ years, the City of Grand Forks has not had the occasion to utilize it. With the new construction of the Silver Kettle Development, water and sewer services were required to be extended at the developer's cost. However there are vacant lands to the south that may one day be developed and could connect to these services now that Silver Kettle Development has installed them.

The essence of the late-comer agreement involves the extension of the utility service being installed at the developers cost, the determination of the proportion of the cost of providing the service that is deemed to be excess or extended, the determination of which part of the extended service that is considered to benefit lands other than those of the developer, and to determine an amount which will be returned to the developer when the benefitting lands are developed in the future. This arrangement is enshrined in what is known as a "Late-Comer Agreement". In accordance with the legislation, interest is payable on charges determined by "Late-Comer Agreements, and in accordance with Section 939, this interest rate must be established by bylaw.

Bylaw No. 1934 has been drafted to outline this proposed rate of interest, for "Late-Comer"
Agreements. The bylaw provides that interest at a rate equal to prime as established by the Grand Forks \& District Savings Credit Union from time to time, shall be payable and calculated annually for the determined "Late-Comer" agreement term. Bylaw No. 1934 is being presented to Council for three readings at this time.

Staff continues to work with Silver Kettle Developments on an appropriate "Late-Comer Agreement" for the excess or extended services. Once the agreement has been finalized, and the developer's engineer has signed off and forwarded the drawing of the extended service portion, the agreement will
be presented to Council for their endorsement. In the meantime, Bylaw No. 1934 is being presented to Council for consideration at this time.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Option 1: Council determines to give Bylaw No. 1934, first, second and third reading.

## OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES:

Option 1: Council determines to give Bylaw No. 1934, first, second and third reading: This option will provide for the "Late-Comer Agreement" to be developed within the parameters of the legislation.

Option 2: Council resolves receive this report, and presented bylaw for information. This option would reflect Council's position that they are not interested in proceeding with the "Late-Comer Agreement".

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

Option 1: The advantage to this option is that Council is preparing the ground rules so to speak for the Late-Comer Agreement between the developer and the City. These agreements are beneficial as they signal to the development community that the City is willing to work with them, and while there is no intention for the City to subsidize development, there is also no intention that the developer will be stuck with costs those others will eventually benefit from. This report is the subject of the required bylaw to establish the rate of interest payable on extended service which forms the basis of the "Late Comer Agreement". The bylaw is required prior to the finalization of the "Late-Comer Agreement". There is very little that could be considered negative about this bylaw. It simply establishes an interest rate payable on the extended service.

Option 2: The disadvantage to this option is that this "Late-Comer Agreement or any future "LateComer Agreements" will not be able to be completed. There is no risk to proceeding with the bylaw, as the interest payable resulting from this bylaw will be collected from the developer of benefitting properties and paid to the signatory developer who installed the extended services at sometime in the future.

## COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:

There is no direct cost to the City for proceeding with the bylaw. There are some administrative indirect costs, such as Staff time and legal advice in preparing and negotiating the "Late-Comer Agreement".

## LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:

Authority to adopt the Interest Payable for Late-Comer Agreements Bylaw comes from Section 939 of the Local Government Act.


Department Head orCAO


Reviewed by Chief Administrative Officer

# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS <br> BYLAW NO. 1934 

## A Bylaw To Establish A Rate of Interest Payable For Late-Comer Agreements

WHEREAS Council may, by bylaw, pursuant to Section 939 require a developer to provide excess or extended services, in which case pursuant to Section 939 (8) of the Local Govemment Act it must establish a rate of interest payable for "Late-Comer" Agreements;

AND WHEREAS Council has deemed it necessary to establish this rate of interest, by bylaw;

NOW THEREFORE Council for the Corporation of the City of Grand Forks, in open meeting assembled, ENACTS, as follows:

1. If an owner of land is required to pay all or part of the costs of excess or extended services as a condition of subdivision or development approval, (Late-Comer Agreement), interest at a rate equal to prime as established by the Grand Forks \& District Savings Credit Union, shall be included and calculated annually, payable for the period beginning when the excess or extended services were completed, up to the date that the connection is made or the use begins.
2. That this bylaw may be cited as the "Interest Payable for Late-Comer Agreements Bylaw No. 1934, 2012".

Read a FIRST time this $\qquad$ day of June, 2012.

Read a SECOND time this $\qquad$ day of June, 2012.

Read a THIRD time this $\qquad$ day of June, 2012.

FINALLY ADOPTED this $\qquad$ day of June, 2012.

Mayor Brian Taylor

Corporate Officer - Diane Heinrich

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of Bylaw No. 1934, cited as the "Interest Payable for Late-Comer Agreements Bylaw No. 1934, 2012", as adopted by Council on the $\qquad$ day of 2012.

Corporate Officer of the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the City of Grand Forks

(AN) Sep 28/99
(3.1) Before it is adopted, a bylaw under subsection (1) (a) or (b) that establishes standards or requirements in relation to highways in an area outside a municipality
(a) must be approved by the minister responsible for the Transportation Act, if the regional district provides the services referred to in section 800 (2) (i) [approving officer services], and
(b) may be approved by that minister for the purposes of section 13.1 (4) of that Act.
(4) If a local government, an improvement district or greater board operates a community water or sewer system, or a drainage collection or disposal system, the local government may, by bylaw, require that a system referred to in subsection (1) (c) be connected to the local government, improvement district or greater board system, in accordance with standards established in the bylaw. (5) If there is no community water system, the local government may, by bylaw, require that each parcel to be created by the subdivision have a source of potable water having a flow capacity at a rate established in the bylaw.
(6) As a condition of
(a) the approval of a subdivision, or
(b) the issue of a building permit,
a local government may require that the owner of the land provide works and services, in accordance with the standards established in a bylaw under this section, on that portion of a highway immediately adjacent to the site being subdivided or developed, up to the centre line of the highway.
(7) As a condition of the issue of a building permit, a local government may require that the owner of the land provide, on the site being developed, works and services in accordance with the standards established in a bylaw under this section.
(8) Requirements under subsections (6) and (7)
(a) may only be made insofar as they are directly attributable to the subdivision or development, and
(b) must not include specific services that are included in the calculations used to determine the amount of a development cost charge, unless the owner agrees to provide the services.
(9) If the owner agrees to provide the services referred to in subsection (8) (b), the calculation of the development cost charge is subject to section 933 (8).
RS1.979-290-989; 1985-79-8; 1987-14-45; 1997-25-149; 1959-37-209; 1938-43-312 (B.C. Reg. 43/2000); 2000-7-175; 2004-44-126.

## Excess or extended services and latecomer payments

939. (1) For the purposes of this section, "excess or extended services" means
(a) a portion of a highway system that will provide access to land other than the land being subdivided or developed, and
(b) a portion of a water, sewage or drainage system that will serve land other than the land being subdivided or developed.
(2) A local government may require that the owner of land that is to be subdivided or developed provide excess or extended services.
(3) If an owner, in accordance with a bylaw under section 938 , provides a highway or water, sewage or drainage facilities that serve land other than the land being subdivided or developed, this section applies.
(4) If a local government makes a requirement under subsection (2), the cost of providing the excess or extended services must be paid for by
(a) the municipality or regional district, or
(b) if the local government considers its costs to provide all or part of these services to be excessive, by the owner of the land being subdivided or developed.
(5) If the owner is required under subsection (4) (b) to pay all or part of the costs of excess or extended services, the municipality or regional district must
(a) determine the proportion of the cost of providing the highway or water, sewage or drainage facilities that it considers constitutes the excess or extended service,
(b) determine which part of the excess or extended service that it considers will benefit each of the parcels of land that will be served by the excess or extended service, and
(c) impose, as a condition of an owner connecting to or using the excess or extended service, a charge related to the benefit determined under paragraph (b).

(Add) Mar 26/88
(6) If the municipality or regional district pays all or part of the costs of excess or extended services, it may recover costs
(a) by a charge under subsection (5) (c),
(b) by a tax imposed in accordance with Division 5 [Local Service Taxes] of Part 7 of the Community Charter, other than section 211 (1) of that Act, or
(c) by fee imposed in accordance with section 363 [imposition of fees and charges] of this Act or section 194 [municipal fees] of the Community Charter.
(7) If the owner pays all or part of the costs of excess or extended services, the municipality or regional district must pay the owner
(a) all the charges collected under subsection (5) (c), if the owner pays all the costs, or
(b) a corresponding proportion of all charges collected, if the owner pays a portion of the costs.
(8) A charge payable under subsection (5) (c) must include interest calculated annually at a rate established by bylaw, payable for the period beginning when the excess or extended services were completed, up to the date that the connection is made or the use begins.
(9) Subject to subsection (10), charges payable for latecomer connections or use under subsection (5) (c) must be collected during the period beginning when the excess or extended services are completed, up to a date to be agreed on by the owner and the local government and, failing agreement, to a date determined under the Commercial Arbitration Act, but no charges are payable beyond 15 years from the date the service is completed.
(10) If there is a phased development agreement under section 905.1 [phased development agreements] that is directly related to the construction and installation of the excess or extended services, no charges are payable beyond 15 years from the date the service is completed or the end of the phased development agreement, whichever is later.

RS1979-290-990; 1987-14-46; 1999-37-210; 2003-52-397 (B.C. Reg. 465/2003); 2006-3-19; 2010-6-1.22.

## Completion of works and services

940. (1) All works and services required to be constructed and installed at the expense of the owner of the land being subdivided or developed must be constructed and installed to the standards established in the bylaw under section 938 before the approving officer approves of the subdivision or the building inspector issues the building permit.
(2) As an exception, the approval may be given or the permit issued if the owner of the land
(a) deposits, with the municipality or regional district, security
(i) in the form and amount established in the bylaw, or
(ii) if no amount and form is established in the bylaw, in a form and amount satisfactory to the approving officer or building inspector having regard to the cost of installing and paying for all works and services required under the bylaw, and
(b) enters into an agreement with the municipality or regional district to construct and install the required works and services by a specified date or forfeit to the municipality or regional district the amount secured under paragraph (a).
(3) As an exception, security may not be provided under subsection (2) to a regional district in relation to the construction of a highway unless a designated highways official, as defined in the Land Title Act, approves the provision of security for that purpose.

# THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION 

DATE : June 18, 2012
TOPIC : A Bylaw to Amend the City of Grand Forks Water Regulations and Rates Bylaw No. 1501

PROPOSAL : First, Second and Third Readings Bylaw 1938
PROPOSED BY : Chief Financial Officer

## SUMMARY:

The 2012 to 2016 adopted 5 year plan reflects a $4.6 \%$ increase in User Fee Collection for Water Rates. Further, Council directed that the Customer, Fixed and Capital Charges remain the same as those charged in 2010 \& 2011. Bylaw 1938 reflects these. The Bylaw also intends to the amend the Residential (3/4") connection charge to reflect the City's practice of averaging these residential connection charges to avoid residents being treated differently simply because they happen to construct a home on the opposite side of the roadway from where the waterline happens to be located. The connection charges have been averaged to ensure our residents are paying the same no matter what side of the street they live on. All other provisions and rates in the bylaw remain the same.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Council gives first, second and third reading to Bylaw No. 1938 .
OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES; No specific options are given due to the fact that the revenue increase is as addressed in the 5 year plan.

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

The benefit is that the costs of the service are balanced with the required revenue.

## COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:

Increasing the fees ensures that this component of the budget is balanced.

## LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:

In accordance with the Community Charter, Council may, by bylaw, regulate and control the Water service of the City of Grand Forks and amend rates, terms, and conditions under which water service will be provided and supplied to all users and for the collection of rates for the service provided;


Chief Finaneial Officer


## THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS

## BYLAW NO. 1938

## A Bylaw to Amend the City of Grand Forks <br> Water Regulations and Rates Bylaw No. 1501

WHEREAS in accordance with the Community Charter, Council may, by bylaw, regulate and control the Water service of the City of Grand Forks and amend rates, terms, and conditions under which water service will be provided and supplied to all users and for the collection of rates for the service provided;

NOW THEREFORE, the Council for the Corporation of the City of Grand Forks in open meeting assembled ENACTS, as follows:

1. This Bylaw may be cited for all purposes as the "City of Grand Forks Water Regulations and Rates Amendment Bylaw No. 1938, 2012".
2. That Bylaw No. 1501, cited as "City of Grand Forks Water Regulations and Rates Bylaw No. 1501, 1997" be amended by deleting "Schedule B" and replacing it with a new "Schedule B", which is identified as "Appendix 1 " and attached to this bylaw.
3. That this Bylaw shall come into force and effect upon adoption.

Read a FIRST time this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2012.

Read a SECOND time this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2012

Read a THIRD time this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2012

FINALLY ADOPTED this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2012

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and correct copy of Bylaw No. 1938, the "City of Grand Forks Water Regulations and Rates Amendment Bylaw No. 1938, 2012", as passed by the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the City of Grand Forks on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2012.

Corporate Officer of the Municipal Council of the City of Grand Forks

## SCHEDULE "B"

## SERVICE CHARGES

## 1. Charges for installation of water service:

*A deposit of $100 \%$ of cost, is payable in advance, prior to installation
*includes initial turn on of water
(a) -Residential: 19 mm diameter (3/4")
(b) Commercial, Industrial, Institutional Minimum Charge
*NOTE: Water Meter Mandatory
(c) renewal (upgrading, including meter retrofit)
d) additional service costs not included in (a), (b), and (c) above:

- service or main extension (greater than 25.4 mm diameter and/or where the service line exceeds 15 m in length
- restoration
- asphalt road repair
- concrete curb
- sidewalk (concrete)
- boulevard landscaping
$\$ 2,300.00$, including any additional service costs itemized in (d)

At cost, including any additional service costs itemized in (d)

At cost, including any additional service costs itemized in (d)

At cost, including any additional service costs in (d)

Costlinear meter + any additional service costs listed below:
\$ 45.00/square meter
85.00 /linear meter
120.00/linear meter
18.00/linear meter
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Schedule "B" cont'd
2. Charges for each time the water supply is turned on/off
$\begin{array}{llc}\text { - during normal working hours } & \$ & 50.00 \\ \text { - outside normal working hours } & \$ & 100.00\end{array}$
3. Purchase of water from City Bulk Water Facility

Rate per cubic meter or portion thereof $\$ 4.00$

## 4. User Rates - Effective July 2012

|  | Per Unit Bi- <br> monthly Fixed <br>  <br> Capital <br> Charge | Per Account <br> (per meter) Bi- <br> monthly Fixed <br>  <br> Capital <br> Charge | Per Account <br> Bi-monthly <br> Customer <br> Charge | Per Cubic <br> Meter | Bi-Monthly <br> Variable Water <br> Charges for <br> Non-Metered, <br> Per Residence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| User Class |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metered Multi- <br> Family <br> Apartment (one <br> tax folio) | $\$ 20.50$ | 7.00 | 0.113 |  |  |
| Commercial <br> Office <br> Properties <br> (water use <br> restricted to <br> staff <br> washroom) |  | 18.50 | 7.00 | 0.113 |  |
| Commercial <br> (Class06) <br> Properties not <br> listed below |  | 51.00 | 7.00 | 0.124 |  |
| Large Industrial <br> (Class 04) <br> Properties |  | 51.00 | 7.00 | 0.124 |  |
| Commercial <br> laundry, car <br> wash <br> Properties |  | 51.00 | 7.00 | 00 |  |
| Hotels, <br> Restaurants, <br> Malls |  | 51.00 | 7.00 | 0.124 |  |
| Institutions, <br> schools, <br> recreation <br> facilities <br> (arenas, pools) <br> irrigation <br> systems |  |  |  | 7.00 |  |
| Buildings not <br> connected to <br> Water System <br> on lots where <br> service is <br> available |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential <br> Properties not <br> metered | 37.25 |  |  |  |  |

# THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS REQUEST FOR COUNCIL DECISION 

| DATE | $:$ | June 18, 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TOPIC | $:$ | A Bylaw to Amend the City of Grand Forks <br> Sewer Regulations and Rates Bylaw No. 1500 |
| PROPOSAL | $:$ | First, Second and Third Readings Bylaw 1939 |
| PROPOSED BY | $:$ | Chief Financial Officer |

## SUMMARY:

The 2012 to 2016 adopted 5 year plan reflects a $4.8 \%$ increase in User Fee Collection for Sewer Rates. Further, Council directed that the Customer, Fixed and Capital Charges remain the same as those charged in 2010 \& 2011. Bylaw 1939 reflects these.

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Council gives first, second and third reading to Bylaw No. 1939.
OPTIONS AND ALTERNATIVES; No specific options are given due to the fact that the revenue increase is as addressed in the 5 year plan.

## BENEFITS, DISADVANTAGES AND NEGATIVE IMPACTS:

The benefit is that the costs of the service are balanced with the required revenue.

## COSTS AND BUDGET IMPACTS - REVENUE GENERATION:

Increasing the fees ensures that this component of the budget is balanced.

## LEGISLATIVE IMPACTS, PRECEDENTS, POLICIES:

In accordance with the Community Charter, Council may, by bylaw, regulate and control the Sewer service of the City of Grand Forks and amend rates, terms, and conditions under which sewer service will be provided and supplied to all users and for the collection of rates for the service provided;


Chieffinmanal Officer


Reviewed by: Chief Administrative Officer

# THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF GRAND FORKS 

## BYLAW NO. 1939

## A Bylaw to Amend the City of Grand Forks <br> Sewer Regulation and Rates Bylaw No. 1500

WHEREAS in accordance with the Community Charter, Council may, by bylaw, regulate and control the Sewer service of the City of Grand Forks and amend rates, terms, and conditions under which sewer service will be provided and supplied to all users and for the collection of rates for the service provided;

NOW THEREFORE the Council for the Corporation of the City of Grand Forks in open meeting assembled ENACTS, as follows:

1. This Bylaw may be cited for all purposes as the "City of Grand Forks Sewer Regulations and Rates Amendment Bylaw No. 1939, 2012".
2. That Bylaw No. 1500, cited as "City of Grand Forks Sewer Regulation and Rates Bylaw No. 1500, 1997" be amended by deleting "Schedule B" and replacing it with a new "Schedule B", which is identified as "Appendix 1" and attached to this bylaw.
3. That this Bylaw shall come into force and effect upon adoption.

Read a FIRST time this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2012.

Read a SECOND time this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2012

Read a THIRD time this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2012.

FINALLY ADOPTED this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2012

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and correct copy of Bylaw No. 1939, the "City of Grand Forks Sewer Regulations and Rates Amendment Bylaw No. 1939, 2012", as passed by the Municipal Council of the Corporation of the City of Grand Forks on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2012
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## SCHEDULE "B"

## SERVICE CHARGES

A: Charges for installation of a sewer service:
** A deposit of $100 \%$ of cost, is payable in advance, prior to installation
** Includes initial removal of sewer plug

## Residential

a) 100 mm (4 inch) diameter
\$2,300, including any additional service costs itemized in (c)

## Commercial / Industrial / Institutional / Multi-Family

b) $\quad 152 \mathrm{~mm}$ (6 inch) diameter

At cost, including any additional service costs itemized in (c)
c) additional service costs

- service or main extension (100 mm to

152 mm diameter and/or where the service length is greater than 15 m )

- restoration
- asphalt road repair
- concrete curb
- sidewalk (concrete)
- boulevard landscaping
- retention catch basin

Cost plus any additional service costs itemized in (c)
$\$ 45.00 /$ sq. meter \$85.00/lin. meter $\$ 120.00 / \mathrm{lin}$. meter
$\$ 18.00 /$ sq. meter
\$1,500.00/each

B: Charges to Septic Service Contractors/Haulers
$\$ 25.00$ per load

Appendix 1
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C: Sewer Rates - Effective July 2012

|  | Bi-Monthly <br> Fixed <br>  <br> Capital <br> Charge | Bi-Monthly <br> Fixed <br>  <br> Capital <br> Charge | Bi- <br> Monthly <br> Customer <br> Charge | Sewer <br> Rates <br> Charge <br> per <br> 1/3 cubic <br> meter <br> Of <br> metered <br> water | Bi-Monthly <br> Variable <br> Sewer <br> Charges for <br> non-Metered |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| User Class | Per unit | Per <br> Account <br> (per meter) | Per <br> Account |  | Per Residence |
| Metered multi-Family Apartment <br> (one tax folio) | 31.25 |  | 10.50 | 0.400 |  |
| Commercial Office Properties <br> (water use restricted to staff <br> washroom) |  | 34.25 | 10.50 | 0.400 |  |
| Commercial (Class 06) <br> Properties not listed below |  | 56.25 | 10.50 | 0.400 |  |
| Large Industrial (Class 04) <br> Properties |  | 56.25 | 10.50 | 0.400 |  |
| Commercial laundry, car wash <br> properties |  | 56.25 | 10.50 | 0.400 |  |
| Hotels, Restaurants, Malls |  | 56.25 | 10.50 | 0.400 |  |
| Institutions, schools, recreation <br> facilities (arenas, pools) <br> irrigation systems |  | 56.25 | 10.50 | 0.400 |  |
| Buildings not connected to <br> sewer system on lots where <br> service is available |  | 31.25 | 10.50 |  |  |
| Residential Properties not <br> metered | 40.00 |  | 10.50 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
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